REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION

OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

IN THE

PUNJAB AND ITS DEPENDENCIES

For the Year

1866.

PRINTED BY AUTHORITY.

LAHORE:

PRINTED AT THE PUNJAB PRINTING COMPANY'S PRESS

1867.

No. 1884.

From

J. W. Smyth Esquire,
Registrar of the Chief Court of the Punjab.

To

T. H. Thornton, Esquire, D. C. L.,
Secretary to Government Punjab and its dependencies.

Dated Lahore, 9th April 1867.

SIR,

CHIEF COURT OF THE PUNJAB.

CRIMINAL.

PRESENT —A. A. ROBERTS, ESQR., C. B., C. S. I.
C. BOULNOIS, ESQR., *Judges.*

I am directed to submit for the information of Government, the Report of the Chief Court on the Administration of Criminal Justice in the Punjab during the year 1866, together with the usual Statistical Returns. The reports received from Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners are also forwarded in original for perusal.

Establishment of the Chief Court.

2. The most prominent feature in the Criminal Administration of the year was the establishment of the Chief Court of the Punjab, under Act IV of 1866, with original criminal jurisdiction over European British subjects. Under the provisions of the Act the Judicial Commissioner for the Punjab, Mr. A. A. Roberts, C. B., C. S. I., became the Senior Judge of the Court, and Mr. C. Boulnois, Barrister-at-Law, was appointed the Second Judge. The Judges entered upon the execution of their office on the 19th February 1866, and from that date the office of Judicial Commissioner for the Punjab has ceased to exist. The working of the Chief Court in the exercise of its criminal jurisdiction will be noticed in a subsequent part of this report.

Statistics of crime and police omitted from this report.

3. As suggested by the Honorable the Lieutenant Governor in the orders upon the Criminal Report for 1865, the Court have excluded from the present report all matters directly relating to the statistics of crime and police. The Statistical Returns appended to the report have also been revised, in accordance with the instructions conveyed in your letter No. 1556 dated 10th December 1866. Accordingly the number of offences reported to have been committed during the year is not now shown in the judicial returns.

PART I.—ORIGINAL TRIALS.

A. In the Courts of Magistrates.

Total cases and persons brought to trial.

4. The total number of cases brought to trial in the Magisterial Courts has increased from 44,423 in 1865 to 46,757 in 1866. The total number of persons brought to trial has increased in a still larger ratio, from 91,159 in 1865 to 1,03,678 in 1866. For several years the number of cases and persons brought to trial in the Magisterial Courts of the Punjab has been steadily increasing, as will appear from the following statement. The corresponding figures for the North West Provinces in 1865 have been added for the purpose of comparison :—

Year	No. of cases brought to trial.			No. of persons brought to trial				
	Not Bailable.	Bailable.	Total.	Under examination on 1st Jany. & received by transfer during the year.	Apprehended during the year			Total No. of persons brought to trial.
					Not Bailable.	Bailable.	Total.	
1862	12,198	22,051	34,249	744	19,931	44,106	64,037	64,781
1863	10,438	23,600	34,038	554	16,662	48,460	65,122	65,676
1864	12,432	29,927	42,359	470	19,968	60,906	80,874	81,344
1865	13,488	30,935	44,423	658	21,387	70,114	91,501	91,159
1866	13,047	33,710	46,757	652	20,833	82,193	1,03,026	1,03,678
N. W. P. 1865.					26,108	72,007	98,115	

Increase in bailable cases.

5. This continuous increase, while observable to a slight extent in non-bailable cases, is confined almost entirely to bailable cases. During the last 5 years the number of bailable cases brought to trial has increased steadily, from 22,051 in 1862 to 33,710 in 1866. During the same period the number of persons brought to trial for bailable offences has increased from 44,106 in 1862 to 82,193 in 1866.

Causes of increase.

Petty offences now included in the returns.

6. This large increase in the number of prosecutions in bailable casesy is attributable to various causes. In the first place, man offences of a very petty character which used formerly to pass unnoticed are now brought into Court and shown in the returns. This is especially the case as regards petty nuisances, obstructions to thoroughfares, and other offences punishable under

Section 34 of the Police Act. This class of cases has increased very largely of late years; and during 1866 no fewer than 12,184 persons were prosecuted for offences punishable under the Police Act, and especially under the Section of the Act above quoted. In the Lahore District alone prosecutions under that Section were instituted against 3,673 persons, most of whom were charged before the Board of Honorary City Magistrates with committing nuisance within the city or suburbs of Lahore. In the Umritsur District the number similarly prosecuted was 2,365; in Rawulpindee (where cases of this class disposed of by the Cantonment Magistrate have not heretofore been shown in the returns) it was 1,483; and in Goorgaon 1,171.

New offences created by recent legislation. The Stamp Act.

7. In the next place, the tendency of recent legislation has been to create new offences; and of this the Stamp Act may be taken as an instance. Before the year 1860 prosecutions for breaches of the Stamp law were unknown in the Punjab. In that year Act XXXVI of 1860 was passed, and the Punjab was brought under the same Stamp law as is in force in the Regulation Provinces. Prosecutions for breaches of the Act, at first very sparingly instituted, have since then been gradually increasing in frequency, and in 1866 the number of persons so prosecuted was 3,838. The number of these prosecutions in any district is very much dependent on the idiosyncrasy of the Deputy Commissioner, and accordingly varies very much in different districts. Thus, in Hoshyarpore stringent measures were set on foot during the year by the Deputy Commissioner for punishing systematic evasion of the law, and prosecutions were instituted against 1,081 persons; while in the somewhat similarly situated districts of Jullundur and Goordaspore only 90 and 46 persons respectively were prosecuted during the same period. Prosecutions under the Stamp Act have been numerous in the following districts.

In Hoshyarpore	1,081	persons	were	prosecuted.
,, Umritsur,	561	,,	,,	,,
,, Sealkote,	309	,,	,,	,,
,, Goojrat,	226	,,	,,	,,
,, Kurnal,	191	,,	,,	,,
,, Rawulpindee,	169	,,	,,	,,
,, Loodiana,	129	,,	,,	,,
,, Mooltan,	112	,,	,,	,,
,, Montgomery,	105	,,	,,	,,

There were no prosecutions under the Act in the Peshawur Division: in Goojranwalla only 10 persons were prosecuted; in Rohtuck, only 15; in Simla, 16; in Ferozepore, 27; in Delhi, 29; in Sirsa, 32; and in Umballa, 37.

Increased facilities for instituting prosecutions.

8. Another cause which has most materially contributed to the increase of prosecutions for bailable offences is the increased facility which has been afforded in recent years for instituting such prosecutions. Before the year 1860, prosecutions for these offences were required to be commenced by a petition written on a stamp of the value of eight annas, but under the provisions of the Stamp Act passed in that year complainants were relieved of this charge and allowed to present their petitions on plain paper. Formerly also in this class of cases complainants were required to defray the cost of dieting and procuring the attendance of witnesses, but since 1862 they have been relieved of these charges also. Thus, for several years prosecutions in the Criminal Courts have been attended with no expense whatever to complainants. Moreover, the Criminal Courts have been materially increased in number of late years. Besides the Honorary or Jageerdar Magistrates scattered over the province, and the Boards of City Magistrates established in the larger towns, a large proportion of the Naib Tehseeldars have been invested with Magisterial powers, and at every Tehseel there is at least one and at many two officers exercising Magisterial functions.

Increase of prosecutions in some respects a matter for congratulation, and in others for regret.

9. With these increased facilities for instituting prosecutions it was only to be expected that the number of complaints in petty cases should very considerably increase; and, so far as this increase evinces a readier disposition on the part of the people to refer their quarrels to the authorized tribunals instead of seeking redress by unauthorized means, it is a matter for congratulation rather than regret. But there is reason to fear that the facility and cheapness with which the Criminal Courts can be resorted to has tended to foment petty litigation, and has had a demoralizing influence upon the people. To unscrupulous individuals a prosecution in the Criminal Court offered the readiest means of gratifying private animosity or compelling an adversary to yield to an unjust or doubtful demand. Several District Officers notice the increasing readiness with which petty charges, chiefly of assault, are brought into Court, either without any foundation at all or on merely the slightest provocation. In 1866 the number

Increase in charges of assault.

of persons prosecuted for assaults or for the allied offences of causing hurt or using criminal force was 26,197. That a large proportion of the charges were groundless or should never have been brought into Court may be inferred from the result of the prosecutions. Thus, of the 26,197 persons prosecuted, the charges against 6,152 were withdrawn by the complainants themselves, and only 10,864 or considerably less than one-half the number prosecuted were convicted and punished. The following districts are conspicuous for the large number of persons prosecuted for assaults, causing hurt, and use of criminal force :—

Districts.	No. of persons prosecuted.	No. of persons convicted.	No. of persons the charges against whom were withdrawn.
Umritsur, ...	1,787	936	188
Rawulpindee, ...	1,714	431	724
Goordaspoor, ...	1,389	452	519
Peshawur, ...	1,298	708	0
Goojranwalla, ...	1,259	463	46
Umballa, ...	1,215	493	443
Shahpoor, ...	1,190	337	398
Lahore, ...	1,127	647	169
Delhi, ...	1,072	313	447
Kangra, ...	1,057	330	357

These frivolous and vexatious complaints a source of much inconvenience.

10. Not only do these frivolous and vexatious complaints needlessly occupy much of the time of the Courts, but they are a means of much annoyance, inconvenience, and expense to many innocent persons, whether as witnesses or as accused. From their desire to keep such cases out of Court, some officers are occasionally betrayed into irregular practices. The Judicial Commissioner in last year's report quoted the remark of the Deputy Commissioner of Delhi, that some district officers with a view to saving the valuable time of their subordinates were in the habit of rejecting every complaint of assault off-hand on the Urzee when the petitioner did not bear on his person the evident marks of ill-usage. So Major Mercer, Deputy Commissioner of

Sealkote, accounts for the decrease of common assault cases in his district by a practice equally irregular which he has introduced. He writes—"This "(decrease) has been achieved by directing Sub. Magistrates to return petitions "in the most trivial cases for a day or two to allow of complainant's temper "cooling down before he comes into Court, the result being in many cases "that he did not return at all. In cases obviously arising from vindictiveness "and when no hurt whatever has been caused, complainants are sometimes "referred to the Magistrate at the Sudder before enquiry is made. This "usually terminates in the same result: the complainants do not appear "again." It is not surprising therefore that the number of persons punished for assault in the Sealkote district should have decreased from 416 in 1865 to 259 in 1866.

The recent enactment imposing a stamp duty in such cases satisfactory.

11. As superseding the necessity for resorting to irregular practices of this kind, and persuaded that some restriction has proved to be necessary, the Court observe with satisfaction that in the recent Act amending the stamp law provision has been made for the imposition of a stamp duty in this class of cases. Any hardship which the measure might otherwise have entailed is obviated by the provision in the Act authorizing the Magistrate at his discretion to remit the duty in individual cases.

Other bailable offences noticed.

12. Of other bailable offences brought into Court during the year there are none calling for much remark. 255 persons were prosecuted for adultery, and 2,163 for enticing away married women with a criminal intent. Of the persons prosecuted for adultery, nearly one-fifth (48) were in the Sealkote district; next is Dera Ismail Khan, 20; Peshawur, 19. The largest number of persons prosecuted for enticing away married women was in the Umritsur district (278); Jullundur, 172; Loodiana, 123; Sealkote 115. 1,034 persons were prosecuted for gambling, nearly one-third of whom (324) were in the Umritsur district; 130 were in Jullundur; 110 in Delhi; and 63 in Rawulpindee.

Number of cases disposed of.

13. Of the total number of cases, 46,757, brought to trial, involving 1,03,678 persons, all were disposed of during the year, except 357 cases involving 726 persons. The largest numbers of cases were disposed of in the following districts:—

District.	Disposed of.			Pending at close of the year.		Date of oldest case pending.
	Cases.		Persons	Cases.	Persons.	
	Enquiries.	Trials.				
Umritsur, ...	25	4,087	9,926	27	58	17th August 1866.
Lahore, ...	33	3,435	8,625	13	30	18th November „
Peshawur, ...	26	2,573	5,772	42	128	16th January „
Rawulpindee, ...	64	2,521	6,622	3	10	21st December „
Kangra, ...	93	2,118	4,580	29	37	24th September „
Umballa, ...	9	1,782	3,438	3	13	12th July „

Largest number in Umritsur and Lahore.

14. Umritsur and Lahore stand, as in last year's report, at the head of the list. It is to be remembered, however, that in these districts a considerable proportion of the cases are of a very petty character,—a large number as before observed being cases of nuisance under Section 34 of the Police Act.

Accused whether on bail or in custody.

15. Of the 735 persons under trial at the end of the year, 348 were in custody, 160 on bail, and 227 on personal recognizance. The Deputy Commissioner of Kangra is inclined to doubt whether bail is often taken under the provisions of Sections 212 and 249 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in non-bailable cases, when the evidence given in support of the accusation is, in the opinion of the Magistrate, not such as to raise a strong presumption of the guilt of the accused person. The point is not noticed by any other Deputy Commissioner, but Major Cracroft is right in remarking that under the circumstances above set forth the accused is entitled as of right and not as a favor to be admitted to bail.

Oldest pending cases.

16. The oldest pending cases were in the following districts:—

Peshawur,...	16th January	1866.
Umballa, ...	12th July	„
Umritsur,...	17th August	„
Dera Ghazee Khan, ...	11th September	„
Rohtuck, ...	19th September	„
Kangra, ...	2[illegible]th September	„
Delhi, ...	25th September	„

Of the 32 districts in the Punjab, in 8 there were no cases at the end of the year of older duration than one month, in 14 none more than two months, in 3 none more than three months; and it was only in the 7 districts mentioned above that cases of more than three months' duration remained undisposed of.

Explanation of delay

17. With regard to the old cases pending in his Court, the Deputy Commissioner of Peshawur writes:—"The duration of "cases is certainly long on the average, and there are two "causes for this;—1st. A number of the crimes which form the subject of "trials are of a very grave character, and it is of importance that justice "should not miscarry through undue haste in the proceedings: the frequency "also of the cases in which foreigners are among the parties concerned tends "also to protract enquiries. 2nd. In the cases that are tried by the Sub-"Judge very great delay has occurred during the past year, simply because the "Deputy Commissioner's time has from various causes been so much occupied "by other matters that he has been unable after the conclusion of the trial "to devote to the decision of the case the number of hours which would be "required to dispose of it satisfactorily. But little hardship to the accused "is thus involved, as it is only cases in which their guilt has become apparent "during the trial that are allowed to remain thus undecided."

The case in the Umballa district pending from the 12th July at the close of the year was one of dacoitee. The delay in disposing of the case of 17th August in the Umritsur district was unavoidable, the accused being of unsound mind and incapable of making his defence. Excluding this case, the oldest case in the Umritsur district was one pending from the 26th November.

Number of enquiries.

18. The distinction between an enquiry and a trial is reported by nearly all the Deputy Commissioners to be well understood. From the way however that the subject is referred to in some of the reports, and from the numbers shewn in the returns, there is still reason to apprehend that in a few districts the distinction has not always been observed. The largest and smallest number of enquiries are shewn in the following districts:—

Kangra, ...	93	Sealkote, ...	1
Hoshyarpore, ...	91	Simla, ...	2
Dera Ismael Khan, ...	82	Jhelum, ...	3
Kohat, ...	67	Goojranwalla, ...	4
Rawulpindee, ...	64	Loodiana, ...	6
Mozuffergurh, ...	61	Ferozepore,...	8
Sirsa, ...	51	Umballa, ...	9
Delhi, ...	46	Hissar, ...	10
Goorgaon, ...	41		

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

19. The largest number of enquiries are shown in the returns for Kangra, Hoshyarpore, and Dera Ismaël Khan, but the Deputy Commissioners of those districts do not touch upon the subject in their reports except to remark that the distinction between an enquiry and a trial is well understood. They have now been called upon to furnish special explanation on this point.

20. On the other hand, in Sealkote only one enquiry is reported to have been held, and from the Deputy Commissioner's remarks on the subject the return in this respect appears to have been correctly prepared. There is an apparent tendency to include among enquiries cases referred under Section 273 Criminal Procedure Code to Subordinate Magistrates *for trial* in which the accused have been discharged without a formal charge having been drawn up. This may account for the large number of enquiries shown in some of the district returns. Full instructions were issued on the subject in Judicial Book Circular XXI of 1865, and but little difficulty need therefore be experienced in the correct preparation of the return.

Preliminary enquiries in Sessions cases.

21. The number of preliminary enquiries by Magistrates under Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure in cases triable by the Court of Sessions was 384. In the North Western Provinces in 1865 it was 2,187. The number is small in the Punjab compared with that in the Regulation provinces, owing to the fact that Deputy Commissioners invested with powers under Act XV of 1862 are able to dispose of a large number of cases which in Regulation provinces would have to be committed to the Sessions Courts.

Enquiries by Subordinate Magistrates.

22. With regard to the other class of enquiries, those namely made by Subordinate Magistrates under Sections 135, 180, 273 and 276 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the number during the year was 482. In the North Western Provinces in 1865 it was 1,626.

Total number of trials.

23. The total number of trials disposed of by Magistrates of all grades was 45,280 in 1866, compared with 42,884 in 1865. The number in the North Western Provinces in 1865 was 58,175.

Total number of miscellaneous cases.

24. The number of miscellaneous cases disposed of was 50,481 in 1866, compared with 1,86,375 in the North Western Provinces in 1865.

Average duration of enquiries.

25. The average duration of enquiries in the province increased from 10 days in 1865 to 12 days in 1866. The average is most favorable in the following districts :—

	No. of enquiries.	*Average duration.*
Sealkote,	1	2 days.
Kohat,	67	2 ,,
Hoshyarpore,	91	4 ,,
Shahpore,	37	4 ,,
Mozuffurgurh,	61	4 ,,
Goorgaon,	41	6 ,,
Dera Ismail Khan,	82	6 ,,
Ferozepore,	8	7 ,,

While it is least favorable in the following :—

	No. of enquiries.	*Average duration.*
Goojranwalla,	4	98 days.
Simla,	2	47 ,,
Rawulpindee,	64	34 ,,
Dera Ghazee Khan,	12	30 ,,
Jhelum,	3	29 ,,
Huzara,	10	27 ,,
Rohtuck,	13	24 ,,
Umballa,	9	21 ,,

26. No explanation is given of the excessive delay which occurred in disposing of the 4 enquiries in the Goojranwalla district, nor have the Deputy Commissioners of the other districts in which delay has occurred furnished any explanation on the subject.

Average duration of trials.

27. The average duration of criminal trials in the entire province has also slightly increased, from 5 days in 1865 to 6 days in 1866. It was shortest in the Lahore district, where 3,435 cases were disposed of, with an average duration of only two days : in Goorgaon, Simla and Hoshyarpore the average duration was three days; in Loodiana, Ferozepore and Montgomery it was four days ; in nine other districts, including all the districts of the Rawulpindee Division, it was five days.

28. The average duration is least favorable in the following districts :—

	No. of trials.	*Average duration.*
Umritsur,	4,087	12 days.
Kohat,	600	11 „
Kangra,	2,118	10 „
Jhung,	792	9 „
Bunnoo,	1,095	
D. I. Khan,	953	
Sealkote,	1,201	8 „
Huzara,	615	

No explanation is given of the long average duration in Umritsur, Kohat and Kangra. The longest average duration in 1865 was shown in the Kohat district, and although it has decreased from 13 days in 1865 to 11 days in 1866, there is still room for improvement. The duration in the Kangra district is affected by local circumstances.

Duration of trials how calculated.

29. With reference to His Honor's remarks on last year's report, the Court observe that the duration of a trial in cases sent up by the police is calculated from the day on which the case first comes before the Magistrate till the day on which the final order of discharge, acquittal, or conviction, is passed; it embraces as well the period which elapses from the commencement of the preliminary enquiry by the Magistrate till the drawing up of the charge sheet as the further period which elapses from the drawing up of the charge sheet till the conclusion of the trial. In cases commenced by petition in Court the duration is calculated from the date on which the complaint is made.

30. The Court believe that this method of calculating the duration of trials is uniformly followed in all the districts except in Goojranwalla and Huzara, where the exceptional practice is stated to obtain of calculating the duration from the date on which the accused attends in Court. The attention of the Deputy Commissioners of these districts will be called to the subject.

The disposal of business satisfactory.

31. Although there has been some falling off in the disposal of cases in 1866 as compared with 1865, still, considering the increase of business during the year, the Court are inclined to accept the results as satisfactory.

Agency by which cases were disposed of.

32. The following statement exhibits the agency by which the cases in the Magistrates' Courts were disposed of :—

Officers	No of officers actually engaged in disposing of cases during the year, whether for the whole or only a part of the year	Aggregate No of months during which the officers in the preceding column were at work during the year.	Average No. of officers in each grade at work during the entire year	Average No. of cases disposed of by each class of officers	Cases disposed of on an average by each person in the class during the entire year.	
					No	Per-centage of whole
Deputy Commissioners in the exercise of powers under Act XV of 1862, ..	44	329	27	994	37	10 2
Deputy Commissioners in the exercise of the ordinary powers of a Magistrate of a district as defined in the Criminal Procedure Code, ...	59	370	31	3,710	120	
Assistant Commissioners exercising the full powers of a Magistrate, ...	58	312	26	4,722	182	15 4
" " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 1st class, ..	34	175	16	1,679	105	
" " " " " " 2nd class, ..	24	99	8	733	92	
European Extra Assistant Commissioners exercising the full powers of a Magistrate, ...	19	164	15	2,528	172	5 6
" " " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 1st class,	1	2		12		
" " " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class,	2	6		41		
Native Extra Assistant Commissioners exercising the full powers of a Magistrate, ...	38	344	29	6,816	235	15 6
" " " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 1st class,	7	26	2	194	97	
" " " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class,	3	22	2	211	105	
Tehseeldars exercising the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 1st class, ...	122	1027	86	10,889	137	31 2
" " " Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class, ...	56	422	35	3,524	101	
Naib Tehseeldars exercising the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 1st class,	3	19	2	113	56	5·2
" " " " Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class,	52	410	34	2,296	68	
Cantonment Magistrates exercising the full powers of a Magistrate,	9	90	8	1,142	145	2 5
" " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 1st class, ...	1	·2		6		
" " " the powers of a Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class,	1	3		14		
Judges of Courts of Small Causes exercising the full powers of a Magistrate, ...	9	76	6	641	107	1·4
Canal officers exercising the powers of Subordinate Magistrate 1st class, ..	8	66	6	44	7	0 14
" " " the powers of Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class, ..	2	18	2	1	1	
Customs officers exercising the powers of Subordinate Magistrate 1st class,	6	65	5	4	1	
" " " the powers of Subordinate Magistrate 2nd class, ..	14	156	13	9	1	
Forest officers exercising the powers of Subordinate Magistrate 1st class,	2	24	2	3	2	
Officers in charge of Jails exercising the full powers of a Magistrate, ..	3	24	2	2	1	
Honorary Magistrates sitting as a Board in towns and exercising the powers of a Sub Magte. 1st class,	19	36	3	3,267	1,089	7 9
" " " " " " the powers of a Sub Magte 2nd class,	5	12	1	427	427	
Honorary or Jagirdar Magistrates sitting separately throughout the Province exercising full powers, ..	4	38	3	578	194	4 7
" " " " " " " Sub Magte. 1st class,	24	277	23	1,233	54	
" " " " " " " Sub Magte 2nd class.	4	36	3	366	122	

Per-centage disposed of by each class of Magistrates.

·33. Thus of the total number of cases disposed of in the Magisterial Courts of the Punjab during 1866, 35·2 per cent. were disposed of by European Stipendiary Magistrates, 15·6 by Native Stipendiary Magistrates, and 4·7 per cent. by Honorary Native Magistrates. No less than 36·4 per cent. of the total cases were disposed of by Tehseeldars and Naib Tehseeldars; 7 9 per cent. were disposed of by the Boards of Honorary Magistrates sitting in the towns of Lahore, Umritsur, Delhi, and Goojranwalla. The following table shews the number of cases disposed of by these Boards compared with the total number of cases disposed of in the districts in which they are respectively established.

District.	Total No. disposed of in the district.	Total No. disposed of by the Board of City Magistrates.
Lahore, ..	3,468	1,531
Umritsur, ..	4,112	1,101
Dehli, ..	1,673	635
Goojranwalla, ..	1,410	422

Honorary City Magistrates.

34. The Boards of Honorary City Magistrates thus disposed of a large number of cases, chiefly of a petty character, and they relieve the Deputy Commissioners of much miscellaneous work. They continue to afford great satisfaction.

The Deputy Commissioner of Delhi reports:—

"The Honorary Board of City Magistrates have discharged their work "during the year efficiently and well. Of the 635 cases disposed of by them, only "10 cases were appealed to me, and in only 2 cases was their order disturbed. "* * * The Honorary Magistrates of Delhi give great satisfaction. The "establishment of a Board of Honorary Magistrates at Delhi has been in every "way a success." The Deputy Commissioner of Umritsur writes:—"The "Honorary Magistrates do I believe substantial justice, and their Court is "generally popular and respected. The procedure authorized for Honorary "Magistrates' cases where punishment can exceed six months seems to me at "variance with law, and it proves when appeal takes place most unsatisfactory "to the Appellate Court. I think that Honorary Magistrates should be bound "to adopt the ordinary style of record prescribed in the Criminal Procedure "Code when trying this class of cases." So the Deputy Commissioner of

" Lahore :—" The Bench of City Magistrates as usual decided a very large num-
" ber of cases, chiefly of local nuisances, breaches of the Police Act, &c., which
" require no lengthened enquiry, but the decision of which by the Honorary
" Magistrates is a great relief to the stipendiary officers. The City Magistrates
" write no judgments. A brief abstract record of the case is kept by a native
" writer and signed by the Honorary Magistrates. This I believe is not quite
" in accordance with correct procedure, but as it is the system introduced when
" the Bench of Honorary Magistrates was first established, as the Honorary
" Magistrates would be utterly disheartened if required to adhere to the ordinary
" forms prescribed by the Criminal Procedure Code in regard to the record of
" evidence, and as the cases they take up are for the most part of the pettiest
" kind, I have not thought it necessary or expedient to interfere with the old
" procedure established by the orders of the Local Government. The Honorary
" City Magistrates have rendered me most efficient help in administering the
" affairs of the city, and my best thanks are due to them."

Procedure of Honorary City Magistrates.

35. The Deputy Commissioners of Lahore and Umritsur are no doubt right in considering that the procedure of these Boards is not in strict conformity with the Code of Criminal Procedure, but for reasons similar to those set forth by the Deputy Commissioner of Lahore the Court have not hitherto insisted on a strict observance of the rules of procedure by the Honorary City Magistrates. Further legislation may probably be necessary on this point, but in the meantime the Court recommend the extension of Act XXVIII of 1867 to the Punjab, which removes doubts as to the legality of sentences passed by these Magistrates sitting as a Board.

Jageerdar Magistrates.

36. The Jageerdar Magistrates are generally favorably reported of. Although the aggregate number of cases disposed of by them is considerable, the number disposed of by any individual Jageerdar Magistrate with some few exceptions is small. Most cases were disposed of by the following Jageerdar Magistrates :—

Sirdar Shumshere Singh,	Umritsur district	201
The Raja of Seeba,	Kangra ,,	196
The Raja of Nadoun,	,, ,,	155
Devee Dyal,	Goojranwalla	98
Jeewun Singh of Boorea,	Umballa,	78

37. The Deputy Commissioners in the exercise of the powers conferred under Act XV of 1862 disposed of 994 cases as compared with 1174 cases in 1865 and 1,100 cases in 1864. For the reasons stated by the Judicial Commissioner in the Criminal Report for 1865, the Court view with satisfaction the decrease in the number of these cases disposed of during the past year. The largest number were disposed of by the Deputy Commissioners of the following districts :—

Cases disposed of by Deputy Commissioners under Act XV of 1862.

Peshawur, ...	124
Sealkote, ...	102
Umritsur, ...	65
Loodiana, ...	62
Kurnal, ...	43

The number of cases disposed of by the Deputy Commissioner of Peshawur is large, and the Deputy Commissioner, as already * observed, has adverted in his report to the delay which has necessarily occurred in the disposal of these cases in consequence of so much of his time being occupied with other pressing duties. The Court express a hope that the additional Sessions Judge of the Peshawur Division will relieve the Deputy Commissioner of some of these cases.

* Vide para. 17 *supra*.

38. The following statement shews the extent to which the different Sessions Judges were relieved by the exercise by Deputy Commissioners of enhanced powers under Act XV of 1862. The number of cases actually disposed of by Sessions Judges are also shewn for the purpose of comparison :—

Divisions.	No. of cases triable under the Criminal Procedure Code by the Court of Sessions and			Per-centage of cases disposed of by Deputy Commissioners to the number in Column 4.
	Disposed of by Sessions Courts.	Disposed by Deputy Commissioners in the exercise of powers vested in them by Act XV of 1862.	Total	
1	2	3	4	5
Delhi, ..	23	101	124	81
Hissar, ..	20	27	47	57
Umballa, ..	10	97	107	91
Jullundur, ..	30	74	104	71
Umritsur, ..	36	208	244	85
Lahore, ..	40	101	141	72
Rawulpindee, ..	32	118	150	79
Derajat, ..	27	61	88	69
Mooltan, ..	30	34	64	53
Peshawur, ..	42	173	215	80
Total, ..	290	994	1,284	77

39. Thus, of 1284 cases disposed of during the year, and which in Regulation provinces would have been disposed of exclusively by the Sessions Judges, the Deputy Commissioners in the exercise of powers vested in them under Act XV of 1862 disposed of 994 or 77 per cent., while the Sessions Judges disposed of only 290 or 23 per cent. In 1865 the Deputy Commissioners disposed of 82 per cent. and the Sessions Judges of only 18 per cent.

Witnesses attending in Magistrates' Courts

40. The details regarding the number of witnesses who attended in criminal trials in the Magistrates' Courts, and the periods during which they were kept in attendance, are shewn in Appendix VI. The total number of witnesses who were examined in the 46,400 cases disposed of was 1,21,514 in 1866 as compared with 1,16,343 in 1865, being on an average between two and three witnesses in each case. In the North-West Provinces, where the number of trials in 1865 was 61,988, the number of witnesses required during that year to give their attendance in the Magistrates' Courts was 2,50,327, being on an average four witnesses is each case.

Detention of witnesses

41. Of the 1,21,514 who attended, 1,09,773 or 90 per cent. were discharged after one day's attendance; 8,379 or 7 per cent. after two days' attendance; and 1930 or 1½ per cent. after three days: 1,432 or rather more than one per cent. were detained for periods exceeding three days. No witnesses were in attendance at the close of the year. These results although not so favorable as those shewn by the returns for 1865 may on the whole be considered satisfactory.

The diary of witnesses how far reliable

42. Considerable pains appear to be taken by the district officers as a body to ensure the accuracy of the returns. A witness register is kept up in every Court, and some method more or less perfect appears to be adopted in every district for checking the entries in the registers. The majority of District officers are inclined to believe that the returns may be accepted as substantially correct. A few still accept them with distrust. The Deputy Commissioner of Hoshyarpoor thinks that as a rule the registers are not trustworthy in Tehseel Courts though they probably are so at the Sudder. The Deputy Commissioner of Goojrat in reviewing the return for his district, which shews no witness detained longer than two days and only 35 so long, thus writes :—"As I view these figures I am "bound to admit that I question their entire accuracy, but they exhibit

" the results shewn in the registers kept up in each Court, and from time to " time laid before the presiding officer. While I feel satisfied that some few " witnesses may have been detained 3, 4, or even 5 days, I am convinced that almost the entire number were released on the first day." So the Commissioner of the Derajat, while he states that he has always been sceptical regarding the results shewn in the witness diary, expresses his belief that cases of undue detention are exceptional.

43. The Court believe that the figures shewn in the return, though they cannot claim to be more than approximately correct, may be taken as a fair representation of the periods during which witnesses are detained in the Magistrates' Courts. Constant scrutiny and attention on the part of the Magistrates are required to obtain even approximate correctness in these returns, and the Deputy Commissioners should enjoin on their subordinates the necessity of frequent if not daily comparison of the diaries with the recognizances under which the witnesses are in attendance. On the subject of checking the entries in the diaries, Lieutenant Colonel McNeile, Officiating Commissioner of Delhi, truly observes :—" Comparison with the misls is worthless as a check, for " it is the day of the witness's actual and not his recorded arrival that is " required to be known; and no really efficient system for the purpose " occurs to me but unremitting attention on the part of the Magistrates " themselves in calling for witnesses and receiving their recognizances daily, " just as they call for petitioners and receive their complaints."

Comparative detention of witnesses in different districts.

44. As was the case in 1865, so in 1866 no witness in the Goorgaon district was detained more than one day. The Deputy Commissioner does not allude in his report to the means adopted in that district for obtaining so exceptionally favorable a result; and as it must happen that Sundays and holidays frequently intervene in the course of criminal trials, the Court view the Goorgaon return with distrust. In Delhi, Hissar, and Goojrat the returns shew no witnesses detained longer than two days. None were detained more than three days in Sirsa, Simla, Umritsur, and Bunnoo. The districts in which most witnesses were detained for periods of 4 days and upwards are the following :—

Districts.	Total No. of witnesses who attended.	Witnesses detained for four days and upwards.	
		No.	Per-centage on total number who attended.
Huzara, ...	2,253	260	11·5
Mooltan, ...	3,553	204	6·0
Kohat, ...	1,306	157	12·0
Goojranwalla, ...	3,966	113	2·8
Shahpoor, ...	3,240	109	3·4
Loodiana, ...	5,051	105	2·1
Kurnal, ...	7,118	88	1·2
Peshawur, ...	5,585	74	1·3

45. Comparing the results with the number of witnesses examined, the returns are least favorable in the following districts :—

Districts.	Total No. of witnesses in attendance.	Per-centage discharged after				
		One day.	Two days.	Three days.	Four days.	Longer periods.
Kohat, ...	1,306	62	17	8	6·7	5·3
Huzara, ...	2,253	73	9	6	5·3	6·2
Peshawur, ...	5,585	71	22	5	1·0	0·3
Mooltan, ...	3,553	84	8	2	1·7	4·0
Goojranwalla, ...	3,966	82	11	3	1·4	1·4
Shahpoor, ...	3,240	83	12	1	1·3	2·1
Loodiana, ...	5,051	86	7	4	1·4	0·6

46. In Peshawur very few witnesses are detained for a longer period than three days, and in this respect the return for 1866 exhibits a marked improvement as compared with that for 1865. The returns are particularly unfavorable for the districts of Kohat, Huzara, and Mooltan. The officers in whose Courts the greatest detention occurred will be called upon to furnish an explanation on the subject.

47. The following are the officers in whose Courts witnesses were detained for the longest periods :—

Districts.	Officers.	No. of witnesses discharged after										
		One day.	2 days	3 days.	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days	8 days.	9 to 15	16 to 23	Total.
Mooltan, ..	Mr. J. E. Rowe, ..	147	73	49	43	15	28	31	2	29	12	429
Shahpoor, ..	Mr. A. E. Walker,	205	40	19	21	14	7	...	19	24	..	349
Huzara, ..	Lt. R. M. Lang, ..	327	76	68	93	55	49	24	..	..	..	692
Kohat, ..	Lt. P. L. Cavagnari,	94	70	15	6	12	..	5	12	..	..	214
Mooltan, ..	Lt. H. V. Riddell,	28	19	8	16	13	7	..	..	..	..	91
Goojranwala,	Major P. H. Babbage,	225	90	3	8	6	6	9	..	..	..	347
,,	Zahoor-ood-deen, ..	351	196	85	34	11	14	2	..	..	..	693
Loodiana, ..	Rai Moona Lall, ..	851	273	192	72	11	19	..	..	..	..	1421

Results of Criminal trials

48. Out of 1,02,714 persons disposed of on trial in the Magistrates' Courts during the year, 66,449 were either convicted or committed to the Sessions for trial, being a proportion of 64·7 per cent as compared with 65 per cent in 1865 and 62 per cent in 1864. In non-bailable cases the proportion of persons convicted or committed to the Sessions was 61 per cent in 1866 compared with 62 per cent in 1865 and 58 per cent in 1864. The results for 1866 thus agree very closely with those for 1865. The proportions of acquittals, convictions, and committals in cases disposed of by the Magistrates are shewn more in detail in the following statement, and are compared with preceding years :—

Year.	No. of persons disposed of on trial.		Per-centage committed to Sessions.		Per-centage convicted.		Per-centage acquitted and discharged.	
	In Non-Bailable offences.	In Bailable offences.	In Non-Bailable offences.	In Bailable offences.	In Non-Bailable offences.	In Bailable offences.	In Non-Bailable offences.	In Bailable offences.
1862,	20,015	44,157	3·5	0 12	59·3	71·8	37·1	28·1
1863,	16,658	48,501	2 9	0 14	55 4	67·4	41·6	32 4
1864,	19,782	60,769	2·8	0 10	55·8	63 8	41·3	36·0
1865,	21,262	70,185	2·2	0 09	60 2	65·4	37·5	34·5
1866,	20,639	82,075	2·6	0 08	59 1	65·3	38·2	34·5

49. Taking convictions and commitments to the Sessions together, the highest per-centages in non-bailable cases are shown in the following districts, and are compared with the returns for the same districts in the previous year :—

Proportions of convictions in different districts

	1866.	1865.
Simla, ...	84 *per cent.*	77 *per cent.*
Hoshyarpore, ...	75 „ ...	83 „
Lahore, ...	75 „ ...	73 „
Sealkote, ...	75 „ ...	70 „
Rohtuck, ...	71 „ ...	62 „
Goordaspoor, ...	70 „ ...	56 „
Umballa, ...	68 „ ...	58 „
Loodiana, ...	68 „ ...	57 „
Mooltan, ...	68 „ ...	73 „

50. The lowest per-centages of convictions and committals in non-bailable cases are shown in :—

	1866.	1865,
Shahpoor, ...	49 *per cent.*	34 *per cent.*
Dera I. Khan, ...	46 „ ...	52 „
Kurnal, ...	47 „ ...	50 „
Peshawur, ...	48 „ ..	54 „
Kohat, ...	52 „ ...	46 „
Bunnoo,... ...	53 „ ...	66 „
Sirsa, ...	54 „ ...	52 „
Goojrat,... ...	55 „ ...	70 „

51. Shahpoor in 1866 as in 1865 is the district in which the lowest per-centage is shown, and this is due, if not entirely at least to some extent to the peculiar views held by the Deputy Commissioner on the subject of arrests. Captain Davies considers that if a complaint is made against a person at a police station in a case cognizable by the police, the only way in which the police officer can cause the accused to be brought before him is by arrest; and if the police officer summon the accused to the station though merely for the purpose of examining him in regard to the complaint, he must in every case be shown in the returns as arrested and be included among the persons disposed of by the Magistrate. On this subject the late Sudder Court of Agra issued instructions to the Magisterial officers in the North Western Provinces to the effect that persons summoned by the police under Section 144 Criminal Procedure Code and examined under Section 145 need not be shown in the returns as arrested, unless the

Low per centage of convictions in Shahpoor

process described in Section 91 of the Code be adopted, or unless they be *detained* after such examination in consequence of being suspected of having committed some offence or of being vagabonds. If they be *detained* or put under restraint of any kind, or if their liberty be in any way interfered with, they must be regarded as arrested, and if they be ultimately discharged by order of the Magistrate without being brought before him they must be shown in the Magistrate's statements among "persons discharged by the police without having been brought before the Magistrate." The Chief Court consider that this is the correct view to take. The Deputy Commissioner of Shahpoor will be separately addressed on this subject.

Per-centages in other districts.

52. With regard to the other districts—Simla, Hooshyarpore, Lahore, and Sealkote show the highest per-centages of convictions and committals in 1866, as they did in 1865. Considerable improvement is observable in Goordaspoor, Rohtuck, Umballa, Loodiana, and especially in Umritsur, where the per-centage rose from 39 in 1865 to 62 in 1866. There has been a large falling off in Goojrat, from 70 in 1865 to 55 in 1866. The per-centage in Kurnal, low last year, has fallen still lower, from 50 to 47.

Punishments awarded by Magistrates.

53. The following statement shows the nature of the punishments inflicted by the Magistrates in 1866 as compared with preceding years :—

Description of Punishment.	1866.		1865.	1864.	1863.	1862.
	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage of the whole number.	Per-centage of whole.	Per-centage of whole.	Per-centage of whole.	Per-centage of whole.
Transportation, ...	78	0·1	0·2	0·2	0·1	..
Imprisonment with fine, ..	7,058	10·7	12·3	12·5	11·5	8·9
Ditto do. whipping,	231	0·3	0·1	0·9	1·2	2·3
Ditto do. fine and whipping, ..	298	0·5	0·4	2·0	4·2	5·7
Ditto without fine or whipping, ..	3,259	5·0	6·3	6·0	6·5	7·0
Whipping and fine, ...	32	0·05	0·1	0·1	0·4	0·9
Whipping alone, ...	2,018	3·5	3·7	2·6	1·4	3·5
Fine alone, ...	48,972	74·3	68·8	67·2	66·2	59·1
Security for good behaviour, ..	1,713	2·6	3·0	4·2	3·4	4·8
Security to keep the peace, ..	573	0·9	0·9	0·5	0·7	1·6
Recognizances to keep the peace,	1,630	2·5	3·8	3·8	3·2	5·9
Total, ..	65,862	100·45	99·9	100·0	98·8	99·7

The above results may be arranged as follows:—

Year.	Total number of persons punished.	Per-centage fined only.	Per-centage imprisoned only.	Per-centage whipped only.	Per-centage sentenced to combined punishment.	Per-centage ordered to find security or enter into recognizances.	Number of persons sentenced to transportation.
1862	43,606	59·1	7·0	3·5	16·9	12·3	..
1863	50,024	66 2	6·5	1·4	16·9	7·3	56
1864	19,795	67 2	6·0.	2 6	15·4	8·5	97
1865	58,267	68·8	6 3	3·7	13·1	7·7	102
1866	65,862	74 3	5·0	3·5	11·5	6 0	78

General result.

54. There has been a considerable increase in the per-centage of persons sentenced to fine alone as compared with previous years. The increase has been gradual during the last five years, from 59 per cent. in 1862, to 74 per cent. in 1866. Considering the large increase as already noticed in the number of petty cases during the same period, this result was to be expected. There has been a corresponding decrease in the number of persons sentenced to imprisonment, whether alone or combined with other punishments.

Persons transported by Deputy Commissioners.

55. Only 78 persons were sentenced to transportation by Deputy Commissioners during the year as compared with 102 in 1865. The decrease corresponds with the decrease in the number of cases disposed of by Deputy Commissioners under Act XV of 1862. Sentences of transportation were passed by the following Deputy Commissioners:—

Persons sentenced to transportation.

In Goorgaon, ...	1
„ Kurnal, ...	4
„ Hissar, ...	1
„ Umballa, ...	32
„ Umritsur, ...	7
„ Goordaspore, ...	3
„ Sealkote, ...	1
„ Lahore, ...	12
„ Rawulpindee, ...	7
„ Jhelum, ...	2
„ Mozuffurgurh, ...	7
„ Peshawur, ...	1

The number was large in Umballa in consequence of the Deputy Commissioner having disposed of a number of dacoitee cases during the year.

Persons imprisoned by Magistrates.

56. Altogether 10,924 persons were sentenced by the Magistrates to imprisonment, either as the sole punishment or in combination with fine or whipping or both. Of these, 474 were sentenced to *simple* imprisonment and the remainder to *rigorous* imprisonment.

Terms of imprisonment.

57. Of the persons sentenced to imprisonment :—

6,774	were sentenced	for periods	not exceeding 6 months.			
1,903	„	„	„	above 6 months and not exceeding		1 year.
1,830	„	„	„	above 1 year	„ „	2 years.
321	„	„	„	above 2 years	„ „	5 „
3	„	„	„	above 5 „	and under	7 „
15	„	„	for 7 years.			

Persons sentenced to fine by Magistrates.

58. There were 56,463 persons sentenced by the Magistrates to fine in 1866, either as the sole punishment or in combination with other punishments. Of this number

3	were sentenced	to fines of	1,000 Rs. and upwards.				
14	„	„	„	500 „	and below	1,000	Rs.
64	„	„	„	200 „	„ „	500	„
809	„	„	„	50 „	„ „	200	„
55,573	„	„	„	below 50 Rs.			

Average amount of each fine.

59. The average amount of fine imposed on each person was nearly 10 Rs. as compared with 11 Rs. in 1865 and 13 Rs. in 1864.

Amount of fine imposed and realized.

60. The following table exhibits generally the amount of fine imposed and realized during the year as compared with preceding years and with the latest returns for the N. W. P. :—

Years.	Total No of persons fined.	Amount of fine imposed.	Fine Realized.		Amount ordered to be paid to complainants in compensation under section 44 C P C			Average amount of fine imposed on each person.
			Amount.	Per cent	*Ordered.*	*Paid.*		
					Amount	Amount.	Per cent	
		Rs.	Rs.		Rs	Rs		
1862,	31,976	4,75,930	2,53,973	53	33,479	14,992	45	15
1863,	52,401	4,59,518	2 63,496	56	40,865	20 254	50	9
1864,	40,684	5,52,736	2,95,155	53	66,832	24,561	37	13
1865,	47,634	5,51,611	2,97,130	54	75,656	23,851	31	11
1866,	56,463	5,54,171	3,37,740	61	65,425	29,440	45	10
N. W. P. 1865,	30,360	3,46,763	2,08,344	60	27,657	12,106	44	11

61. The Court observe with satisfaction that the remarks made in the previous year's report have had a favorable effect in regard to the realization of fines. The total amount of fines imposed during the year was Rs. 5,54,171, of which Rs. 3,37,740, or 61 per cent were realized. The amount realized in the previous year was only 54 per cent., As observed in last year's report, it should be understood that the above sum is the amount realized in 1866 from the fines imposed in that year. A portion, which may be calculated at 6 or 7 per cent of the outstanding balance, will be realized during the present or future years.

Proportion of fines realized to fines imposed.

62. The Court considers that a fair proportion of the fines imposed in the entire province has been realized. This proportion is affected by one or two circumstances which may be here noticed. In the first place, the amount shown in the returns as imposed includes fines which were afterwards remitted on appeal, and which therefore ceased to be recoverable. Further, under the present law, fines can only be realized from *moveable* property *within* the jurisdiction of the Magistrate by whom they are imposed; and thus though an offender sentenced to fine may be in good circumstances, if his property be situated in another district, or if it

consist of land or houses situated within the district where he was sentenced, it cannot be proceeded against by the Magistrate in realization of the fine. The Deputy Commissioner of Hoshyarpore notices several instances occurring in his district where heavy fines were imposed in addition to imprisonment, but which it was found impossible to realize within the year, as the offenders though possessed of considerable property in other districts had little or no moveable property within his jurisdiction. In such cases the offender has no object to gain by paying the fine at once: on the contrary, he may die during the term of his substantive imprisonment, and the fine if not paid would then be saved to his heirs; moreover, his friends have the use of the money during his imprisonment, or it may be employed in some remunerative investment. It is only when the substantive term of imprisonment is about to expire, and the further term fixed in default of payment of fine is about to commence, that the offender finds it to his interest to pay the fine, and even then, as noticed by the Commissioner of Jullundur, offenders in many cases prefer to suffer imprisonment rather than pay the fine.

Realization of fines in Lahore district.

63. The following table, prepared by the Deputy Commissioner of Lahore, is interesting as showing how far the foregoing causes operated in preventing the realization of the fines imposed in his district during 1865 and 1866.

	1865.	1866.
Per-centage of fines realized, ...	45·95	65·53
Per-centage unrealized because property is out of jurisdiction, ...	9·38	4.08
Ditto because of remission on appeal, ...	5·75	9·21
Ditto because of no assets, *i. e.* the fines should never have been imposed, ...	19·15	13·17
Ditto in course of realization, ...	19·75	8·01
Per-centage compensation awarded under Section, 44 C. P. C., ...	9·22	6·96
Ditto paid on compensation awarded, ...	23·23	36·30

"From these figures," the Deputy Commissioner writes, "it will be "seen that there has been a marked improvement in the management of the "fine department during the year under review. There has been an increase "of 20 per cent. in the realizations; and although fewer complainants were "awarded compensation (from which it is to be inferred that fewer were consi-"dered entitled to it) those who were considered entitled to compensation got "13 per cent. more than complainants did last year. I attribute this to three

" causes :—1st, repeated orders to subordinate officers to proportion fines to " the condition in life of the offenders ; 2nd, the increase of petty offences, in " which a small fine was the only punishment ; and 3rd, the periodical scrutiny " of the fine register by a responsible officer, Mr. Priestley. Altogether, the " fines were more moderate and better assessed than last year. The average " of fines in 1866 was only Rs. 4-6 to Rs. 10-12 in 1865."

64. Considerable improvement is observable in other districts also during the year under review, as will appear from the following table :—

DIVISION.	DISTRICT.		Total No. of persons fined.	Average amount of fine imposed on each person.	Per-centage of fine realized.	Per-centage of fine imposed ordered to be paid in compensation.	Per-centage of the amount ordered actually paid in compensation.
DELHI.	Delhi, ...	1865,	925	12	50	14	31
		1866,	1,135	8	76	4	51
	Goorgaon, ...	1865,	1,718	8	57	2	23
		1866,	2,468	5	76	4	80
	Kurnal, ...	1865,	1,638	10	66	6	39
		1866,	1,549	11	66	6	43
	Total, ...	1865,	4,276	10	59	7	33
		1866,	5,152	7	72	4	46
HISSAR.	Hissar, ...	1865,	805	18	45	18	25
		1866,	1,082	14	63	14	27
	Rohtuck, ...	1865,	818	15	63	5	90
		1866,	837	10	69	8	48
	Sirsa, ...	1865,	724	19	47	7	31
		1866,	647	10	61	6	55
	Total, ...	1865,	2,347	17	51	11	37
		1866,	2,566	12	65	11	36
UMBALLA.	Umballa, ...	1865,	1,365	12	60	5	77
		1866,	1,690	10	71	8	61
	Loodiana, ...	1865,	1,351	10	71	5	56
		1866,	1,724	7	63	9	37
	Simla, ...	1865,	473	16	30	10	38
		1866,	399	24	31	10	91
	Total, ...	1865,	3,189	12	58	6	57
		1866,	3,813	10	59	9	60

DIVISION.	DISTRICT.		Total No. of persons fined.	Average amount of fine imposed on each person.	Per-centage of fine realized.	Per-centage of fine imposed ordered to be paid in compensation.	Per-centage of the amount ordered actually paid in compensation.
JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur, ...	1865,	1,447	10	77	6	96
		1866,	1,250	12	66	6	60
	Hoshyarpoor, ...	1865,	1,457	13	46	35	6
		1866,	2,252	7	86	4	95
	Kangra, ...	1865,	3,262	6	54	29	18
		1866,	2,521	5	74	7	53
	Total, ...	1865,	6,166	9	57	25	15
		1866,	6,023	7	76	6	67
UMRITSUR.	Umritsur, ...	1865,	5,773	7	37	23	10
		1866,	6,595	11	63	19	20
	Goordaspoor, ...	1865,	932	17	35	11	11
		1866,	1,050	15	48	5	129
	Sealkote, ...	1865,	1,418	12	56	8	9
		1866,	1,573	12	50	8	25
	Total, ...	1865,	8,123	9	41	17	10
		1866,	9,218	12	59	15	26
LAHORE.	Lahore, ...	1865,	3,626	11	46	9	28
		1866,	6,521	4	66	8	36
	Ferozepoor, ...	1865,	1,465	13	49	11	17
		1866,	1,520	12	55	5	62
	Goojranwalla, ...	1865,	1,282	11	35	11	26
		1866,	1,124	14	44	16	59
	Total, ...	1865,	6,373	11	45	10	24
		1866,	9,165	7	57	9	51
RAWULPINDEE.	Rawulpindee, ...	1865,	2,376	11	62	9	41
		1866,	3,356	8	63	18	38
	Jhelum, ...	1865,	1,688	10	81	13	73
		1866,	2,316	10	83	11	37
	Goojrat, ...	1865,	1,430	15	45	10	16
		1866,	1,600	12	48	7	103
	Shahpoor, ...	1865,	1,053	24	53	9	16
		1866,	1,184	14	52	17	53
	Total, ...	1865,	6,547	19	59	10	36
		1866,	8 456	10	63	13	49

DIVISION.	DISTRICT.		Total No. of persons fined	Average amount of fine imposed on each person	Per-centage of fine realized.	Per-centage of fine imposed ordered to be paid in compensation.	Per centage of the amount ordered actually paid in compensation
DERAJAT.	Bunnoo, ...	1865,	1,786	11	80	10	83
		1866,	1,782	7	81	8	82
	Dera Ismael Khan, ...	1865,	866	13	62	3	100
		1866,	1,126	11	69	10	83
	Dera Ghazee Khan, . ..	1865,	1,141	18	35	19	52
		1866,	1,153	13	60	19	51
	Total, ...	1865,	3,793	13	58	11	64
		1866,	4,061	10	70	12	65
MOOLTAN	Mooltan, ...	1865,	894	14	41	14	46
		1866,	1,159	13	16	12	17
	Jhung, ...	1865,	836	12	79	21	41
		1866,	974	16	29	6	47
	Montgomery, ...	1865,	856	14	77	4	43
		1866,	1,366	17	63	10	78
	Mozuffergurh, ...	1865,	471	16	64	19	37
		1866,	713	12	60	17	84
	Total, ...	1865,	3,057	14	65	14	49
		1866,	4,212	15	43	11	58
PESHAWUR	Peshawur, ...	1865,	2,432	12	54	18	39
		1866,	2,463	11	61	19	32
	Huzara,.	1865,	773	11	46	16	44
		1866,	802	11	77	12	37
	Kohat, ...	1865,	559	14	64	37	55
		1866,	528	16	44	45	57
	Total, ...	1865,	3,764	12	54	21	44
		1866,	3,793	12	61	22	42

Comparative results—Increase.

65. In every Division except Mooltan there has been an increase in the proportion of fines realized. In the Jullundur Division it has increased from 57 to 76 per cent; in the Lahore Division from 45 to 57 per cent; in the Hissar Division from 51 to 65 per cent; in the Delhi Division from 59 to 72 per cent; and in the Derajat from 58 to 70 per cent. The increase is most noticeable in the following

Districts:—in Hoshyarpore from 46 to 86 per cent; in Huzara from 44 to 77 per cent; in Umritsur from 37 to 63; in Delhi from 50 to 76; and in Lahore from 46 to 66.

Decrease.

66. In the Mooltan Division the per-centage of fines realized has fallen from 65 per cent in 1865 to 43 per cent in 1866. The decrease is particularly observable in Jhung, from 70 to 29 per cent, and in Mooltan from 41 to 16 per cent. The attention of the Deputy Commissioners of these districts will be specially called to the subject.

Whipping.

67. The following statement shows how far whipping was resorted to as a punishment during the year as compared with previous years :—

Year.	No. of persons convicted during the year of offences for which whipping is awardable.								Persons sentenced to be whipped.															
	1st Conviction.			2nd Conviction			Total.		1st Conviction.						2nd Conviction.						Total.			
									Adults.		Juveniles.		Total.		Adults.		Juveniles.		Total.		Adults.		Juveniles.		Total.	
	Adults.	Juveniles.	Total.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Total.	Adults.	Juveniles.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted	No	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.	No.	Per cent. whipped to persons convicted.
1864	..		...	...	..	..	10,805	718	2,119		317	...	2,436	...	361		11	...	372	...	2,480	23	328	46	2,808	24
1865			...	..		...	11,254	538	1,761	...	343	...	2,104	...	551	..	19	..	570	...	2,312	21	362	67	2,674	23
1866	9,764	472	10,236	839	31	870	10,603	503	1,660	17	281	70	1,941	19	589	70	23	74	612	70	2,249	21	304	60	2,553	23
N. W. P. 1865	..		..	...	..	..		..	...	..	...	...	3,719	..	..	..	..	...	374	...	3,482	.	611		4,093	..

While the total number of persons whipped has decreased from 2,674 in 1865 to 2,553 in 1866, the proportion of persons whipped to persons convicted of offences for which the punishment of whipping is awardable has remained the same in the two years—being 23 per cent. The proportion for adults is exactly the same as in 1865, being 21 per cent., but for juveniles it has decreased from 67 per cent. in 1865 to 60 per cent. in 1866. Of adults convicted of offences for the first time 1,860 were whipped, whether as the sole punishment or in addition to other punishments; being 17 per cent. of the number who were liable to be whipped on first conviction. Of juveniles so convicted, 281 or 70 per cent. were whipped; 589 adults on second conviction suffered whipping whether as the sole punishment or in addition to other punishments, being 70 per cent of the number liable to be whipped. Of 31 juveniles convicted a second time and liable to be whipped, 23 or 74 per cent. were whipped.

68. The following statement shews how far whipping was awarded as a sole punishment, and how far it was awarded in addition to other punishment :—

Year.	Persons sentenced to whipping in lieu of any other punishment.									Persons sentenced to whipping in addition to other punishment.								
	On first conviction			*On second conviction*			*Total.*			*On first conviction.*			*On second conviction*			*Total*		
	Adults.	Juveniles	Total.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Total	Adults.	Juveniles	Total	Adults.	Juveniles	Total.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Total	Adults	Juveniles	Total
1864	1,065	279	1,344	48	4	52	1,113	253	1,366	1,054	38	1,092	313	7	320	1,367	45	1,412
1865	1,761	343	2,104	54	5	59	1,815	348	2,163	...	.	.	497	14	511	497	14	511
1866	1,660	281	1,941	64	7	71	1,724	288	2,012	..	...	...	525	16	541	525	16	541
N. W P 1865	...		3,697	..	..	24	...			...	.	.			349	.		349

69. Of the 2,553 persons sentenced to whipping, 372 were sentenced to not more than 10 stripes, 963 to not more than 20, and 1,218 to not more than 30.

Opinions held by Magistrates as to the operation of the Whipping Act

70. The Magistrates still regard whipping alone as an inadequate punishment for any but first offenders, and even then only in minor cases or for juveniles. The operation of the Act is much restricted by the peculiar wording of the provisions relating to second convictions. It is not sufficient that the person be convicted a second time of

an offence allied to that for which he was first convicted, but in order that he may be liable to whipping in addition to other punishment it is necessary that the offence of which he is a second time convicted should be the very same as that of which he was previously convicted. On this subject the Deputy Commissioner of Delhi writes :—"Act VI of 1864 is a most inconvenient Act. "Whilst there is no disinclination on the part of Magistrates to resort to "whipping, under the operation of the Act they were in this district only able "to flog 9 per cent of the criminals punished. Such offences as unnatural "crime and rape should be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate with "flogging for the first offence. Again, the wording of Sections 3 and 4 of the "Act is so peculiar that though a professional criminal may have been con-"victed two or three times of cognate offences, he cannot be whipped unless "he is convicted twice under the very same Section of the Indian Penal Code. "For instance, though a man is liable to be flogged should he the second time "be convicted of outraging the modesty of a woman, or should he twice "commit rape, yet, strange to say, if the first conviction be for outraging "the modesty of a woman (Section 354 Indian Penal Code) and the second "conviction be for rape (Sec. 375) he could not be flogged. Again, were the "third conviction for an unnatural crime (Sec. 377), he would still escape corporal punishment." And similar remarks are to be found in the reports of nearly all the Deputy Commissioners.

Amendment of the Act desired.

71. There is a general desire to see the Act amended in the following respects :—

1st. That offenders may be made liable to whipping in addition to, and not merely in lieu of, other punishment in cases of first conviction.

2nd. That in cases of second conviction it be sufficient that offenders be convicted a second time of a *cognate* offence, and not necessarily of the *very same* offence.

3rd. That offenders be liable to whipping on conviction for the first time of certain offences, as rape, unnatural crime, &c. for which whipping is now only awardable in cases of second conviction. On this subject the Court would refer you to my letter No. 3,366 dated 17th October 1866.

Punishment of Government officials.

72. The punishments imposed during the year on Government officials attached to the Judicial Department are shewn in detail in Appendix IX.

Disputes relating to possession of land.

73. The total number of disputes relating to the possession of land or the right or use of land or water, dealt with under Chapter XXII Criminal Procedure Code was 59. The details are shewn in Appendix X.

Maintenance of wives and children.

74. There were 1388 cases disposed of under Chapter XXI Criminal Procedure Code for maintenance of wives and children. The claim was dismissed in 924 cases, and in 464 cases maintenance was awarded. In 461 cases the maintenance did not exceed 5 Rs. per mensem; in 2 cases 15 Rs.; in 1 case 30 Rs. In no case did it exceed 30 Rupees.

These claims were most numerous in the following districts :—

District.	No. disposed of.	Claim dismissed.	Maintenance awarded.
Goojrat, ...	143	97	46
Jullundur, ...	131	112	19
Loodiana, ...	124	121	3
Hoshiarpore, ...	123	118	5
Sealkote, ...	121	44	77
Umritsur, ...	84	48	36
Rawulpindee, ...	80	68	12

75. This is a most unsatisfactory class of cases to deal with; and, considering the large proportion of the cases which are dismissed, (including a large proportion settled by compromise), there is reason to fear that wives resort to the Criminal Courts from other motives than the legitimate one of compelling unwilling husbands to afford them a maintenance.

The Deputy Commissioner of Goojrat remarks at length on this subject in his report. He writes :—" Observing the number instituted in this district I " have made particular enquiries in regard to this class of cases. I am of " opinion that neglect or refusal to maintain wives is a much more rare offence " among native husbands than is supposed. Setting aside all considerations of " conjugal affection, it is in the interest of the husband to support his wife to " get the benefit of her labors. The menial work performed by a woman is " worth more than her food and clothing, and the husband would not like to

" lose her services even if he had no affection for her. There are of course " some instances where husbands do neglect to support their families, but in my " opinion too frequently when a woman sues for maintenance it is that she is " immoral, and this action is the first step to obtaining a divorce or separation. " There are low creatures who trade in bringing about divorces. The first " step they take in separating a woman from her husband is to get her to " bring an action for maintenance. Many a respectable husband who cared for " his wife has divorced her on her disgracing him by such an action. The " separation and divorce secured, the go-between gets the woman married to " another man who has paid to secure a wife. I am well informed that this " practice is far from uncommon. I may be told that our Courts have the " power to punish women for bringing a false claim for maintenance. But " I answer that, while it may be easy to ascertain that a wife is or is not entitled " to an order for maintenance, it is difficult to prove that her claim is so " utterly false that she deserves punishment. A respectable husband would " defend himself so far as to show that he was ready to maintain his wife, " but for the sake of his own name he would not desire to make disclosures " regarding her misconduct, such as might be required to prove the motives " that led her to institute a false claim against him. In my opinion the " remedy is to transfer this class of case to the Civil Courts. In a true claim " the wife's relations would always provide the means of prosecuting the claim, " and when the woman was really in poverty she might sue under the rules for " pauper suits."

B. In the Courts of Session.

Number of persons for trial in Sessions Courts.

76. The total number of persons committed to the Sessions for trial was 621 in 1866 against 591 in 1865. The largest number were committed by the Magistrates of the following districts :—

Appendix II.

Peshawur, ...	64
Umritsur, ...	56
Lahore, ...	50
Rawulpindee, ...	35
Bunnoo, ...	29

Results of Sessions trials.

77. The number of persons tried during the year by the Sessions Judges amounted to 545, as compared with 501 in 1865. Of these, 380 or 70 per cent were convicted; 152 or 28 per cent were acquitted; and 13 died or were transferred.

The following statement shews the results for each Division :—

DIVISION.	FOR DISPOSAL						DISPOSED OF.						UNDER TRIAL ON 31ST DECEMBER 1866.		Date of oldest case pending.
	REMAINING UNDISPOSED OF ON 1ST JANY. 1866.		COMMITTED DURING 1866.		TOTAL.		PERSONS.				TOTAL.				
							Convicted.								
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons	Cases.	Persons.	Sentenced to death subject to confirmation of Chief Court	Others.	Acquitted.	Died, escaped or transferred.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	
Delhi, ...	1	2	24	50	25	52	6	27	16	1	23	50	2	2	24th Octr.
Hissar, ...	...	.	21	31	21	31	...	21	6		20	27	1	4	15th Octr.
Umballa, ...	5	27	10	20	15	47	3	21	13	..	10	37	5	10	15th Novr.
Jullundur, .	1	1	30	47	31	48	8	36	3	...	30	47	1	1	29th Octr.
Umritsur, ...	4	6	36	59	40	65	3	41	17	...	36	61	4	4	8th Novr.
Lahore, ...	...	...	41	72	41	72	11	40	19	1	40	71	1	1	31st Octr
Rawulpindee,	7	13	26	44	33	57	11	31	14		32	56	1	1	3rd Novr.
Mooltan, ...		...	40	54	40	54	4	21	11	...	27	36	13	18	20th August.
Derajat, ..	6	13	29	70	35	83	3	37	26	10	30	76	5	7	14th June.
Peshawur, .	18	28	40	84	58	112	5	51	27	1	42	84	16	28	20th Nov. 65.
TOTAL, ...	42	90	297	531	339	621	54	326	152	13	290	545	49	76	20th Nov. 65.

Per-centage of acquittals.

78. The largest per-centage of acquittals was in the Derajat Division, where it was 39 ; in Peshawur it was 33 ; in Delhi it was 32. Of 47 persons tried by the Sessions Judge of the Jullundur Division only 3 were acquitted.

Persons under trial at close of the year.

79. 76 persons remained under trial at the end of the year. Of this number, 28, as at the end of 1865, were in the Sessions Court of the Peshawur Division, and 18 were in the Sessions Court of the Mooltan Division.

Oldest pending cases.

80. The oldest pending cases were in the Court of the Sessions Judge of the Peshawur Division : one was pending more than a year, viz : from the 20th November 1865, and another for a year, from the 2nd January 1866. Regarding the 16 cases pending in the Sessions Court of this Division at the close of the year, Major Pollock writes :—

" Of these, 3 cases related to a noted bravo of Kohat, Ameeroola, now in " confinement at Rawulpindee; 4 have been tried by Mr. McNabb, and judge;

" ments have yet to be recorded; 9 remain undisposed of,—8 at Peshawur and " one at Abbottabad. The average duration of each trial was 208 days, and " the date of oldest case pending was 20th November 1865." The Judicial Commissioner in last year's report, in accounting for the high duration of Sessions cases in the Peshawur Division in 1865, attributed it to the very pressing and important political duties which the Commissioner of this Division has to perform and which frequently interfere with his judicial work. He at the same time remarked that as an additional Sessions Judge had been deputed to the Commissioner's assistance greater dispatch in the disposal of cases might be looked for in future. The Court regret to observe that this anticipation has not been realized. Steps have now been taken to ensure the immediate disposal of all the pending cases, and to prevent the recurrence of such lamentable delay in future.

In the other Divisions the oldest pending case is in the Court of the Commissioner of Derajat, and dates from the 14th June 1866. No explanation is given of the cause of delay.

Average duration of trials

81. The average duration of trials in the Sessions Courts is 77 days in 1866, as compared with 46 days in 1865. The following shews the average duration in each Division :—

Lahore, ...	25	days
Umritsur, ...	35	"
Hissar, ...	39	"
Delhi, ...	43	"
Jullundur, ...	50	"
Mooltan, ...	67	"
Rawulpindee, ...	74	"
Derajat, ...	77	"
Umballa, ...	153	"
Peshawur, ...	208	"

The highest, as already noticed, is in Peshawur Division. The next is in Umballa Division, where the average duration was 153 days. This very high duration was caused by some intricate and difficult dacoitee cases which were disposed of during the year.

Jury and Assessors

82. One Sessions trial in the Lahore district was by jury, the accused being an American, and therefore entitled under Section 323 Criminal Procedure Code to be tried by jury. Of 202 cases tried with

the aid of assessors, only in 16 did the Sessions Judge differ in opinion from the majority of the assessors. The details are given in Appendix IV. The Commissioners generally report favorably of the assistance rendered by the assessors in criminal trials. Colonel Farrington, Officiating Commissioner of Rawulpindee, states that he has "as a general rule found them intelligent "and very independent in the way they give their opinion." The Commissioner of Hissar, on the other hand, writes :—"The general character of "assessors is satisfactory, though I have not found that they are of much real "use or render material aid to the Court." This is the only unfavorable opinion that has been expressed. The Court do not doubt that much assistance may be derived from the employment of assessors in criminal trials, and that the system is in every respect highly advantageous.

83. The following statement shews the nature of the punishments inflicted by the Sessions Judges on the 380 persons convicted by them :—

54 persons were sentenced to death subject to the confirmation of the Chief Court.
95 " " " transportation for life.
3 " " " " " more than 10 and not more than 14 years.
5 " " " " " " 7 " " 10 "
17 " " " " " 7 years.
2 " " " imprisonment for more than 10 and not more than 14 years.
18 " " " " " " 7 " " 10 "
13 " " " " " 7 years.
2 " " " " " more than 5 and less than 7 years.
62 " " " " " " 2 and not more than 5 years.
68 " " " " " not more than 2 years.
23 " " " fine alone.
3 " " " whipping alone.

PART II.—APPEALS.

Appeals to the different grades of Appellate Courts.

84. The following statement shews the number of appeals preferred to the different grades of Appellate Courts during the year as compared with previous years :—

Year.	Original cases decided by Subordinate Magistrates.	Appeals to Magistrates of districts from decisions of Sub-Magistrates.		Original cases decided by Magistrates with full powers.	Appeals to Session Judges from decisions by Magistrates with full powers.		Original cases decided by Sessions Judges	Appeals to Chief Court or Judicial Commissioner from orders of Sessions Judges.		Total original cases decided in Courts of all grades.	Total appeals to different Appellate Courts.	
		No.	P. C to decisions.		No.	Per-centage.		No.	Per cent.		No.	Per cent.
1864	20,069	822	4	21,137	2,859	13	297	586	Many of these appeals were inadmissible as being from orders passed upon appeals.	41,892	4,067	10
1865	20,660	1,023	5	22,493	3,184	14	262	482		43,635	4,689	11
1866	25,066	1,460	6	23,131	2,927	13	290	511		48,487	4,898	10
N. W. P. 1865		1,602		16,364	2,221	14	1,543	310			4,133	

85. There has been an increase in the aggregate number of appeals preferred to the Appellate Courts of the Province from 4,689 in 1865 to 4,898 in 1866; but this increase is not in proportion to the increase in the number of original cases disposed of. While there is an increase of one per cent in the proportion of appeals from the sentences passed by Subordinate Magistrates to the total number of cases disposed of by those officers, there is a decrease to the same extent in the proportion of appeals from the sentences passed by Magistrates with full powers. The proportion of cases appealed was 6 per cent for Subordinate Magistrates and 13 per cent for Magistrates.

A. Appeals to Magistrates of Districts.

86. The following is a comparative statement of the results of appeals preferred from the decisions of Subordinate Magistrates to the Magistrates of Districts during the last five years. The corresponding statistics for the N. W. P. for 1865 are added.

Results of appeals to Magistrates of Districts.

Year.	No of original cases decided by Subordinate Magistrates.	Appeals preferred from decisions of Subordinate Magistrates		No of appeals disposed of during the year.	Appeals rejected.		Orders confirmed.		Orders modified.		Orders reversed.		Further enquiry called for.	
		No.	Per centage to decisions		No	Per cent.	No.	Per cent.	No	Per cent.	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent.
1862		789		784	107	13 6	404	51 5	93	11·8	114	14·5	64	8 1
1863		702		698	107	15 3	359	51·4	89	12·7	94	13·5	49	7 0
1864	20,069	822	4	825	128	15 5	423	51 0	104	12 6	143	17·3	27	3 3
1865	20,660	1,023	5	1,012	193	19 0	495	49 0	125	12 0	164	16 0	35	3 4
1866	25,066	1,460	6	1,464	166	11 3	837	57 1	189	12.9	247	16·9	25	1 7
N W P 1865		1,602		1,530	258	16 9	832	54 4	No. 440		Per cent 28 7			

Grouping these results they stand thus:—

Year	Per-centage of appeals to decisions.	Appeals rejected or confirmed	Appeals modified or reversed.	Appeals in which further enquiry was called for
1862,		65 1 per cent.	26 3 per cent.	8 1
1863,		66 7 "	26 2 "	7 0
1864,	4	66 5 "	29 3 "	3 3
1865,	5	68 0 "	28 0 "	3 4
1866,	6	68 4 "	29 8 "	1 7
N W P. 1865,	...	71 3 "	28 7 "	...

87. The per-centage of appeals rejected or confirmed is nearly the same as in 1865: the per-centage modified or reversed has increased: but the per-centage of cases in which further enquiry was necessary is only half what it was in 1865.

88. The largest number of appeals were preferred to the Deputy Commissioners of the following districts:—

District.	No. for disposal including pending on 1st January.	Disposed of	Pending.	Date
Umritsur, ...	172	170	2	10th December.
Umballa, ...	113	113	...	
Goojranwalla, ...	113	112	1	18th December.
Lahore, ...	88	88	...	
Ferozepore, ...	87	87	...	
Kurnal, ...	75	75	...	

The number of course varies with the number of cases disposed of by Sub-Magistrates, and this depends on the number of Sub-Magistrates in the districts, as compared with the number of officers exercising the full powers of a Magistrate.

89. The average duration of appeals in the Deputy Commissioners' Courts was the same as in 1864 and 1865, being 9 days. It was very high in the following districts:—

	Total No. of appeals disposed of.	*Average duration.*
Dera Ghazee Khan, ...	6	... 74 days.
Dera Ismail Khan, ...	20	... 36 „
Hazara,...	4	... 26 „
Umritsur, ...	170	... 25 „
Goojranwalla, ...	112	... 16 „

It was low in Goojrat and Montgomery, 1 day each; Hissar and Rawulpindee, 2 days; Loodiana, Rohtuck, Kangra; Goordaspore and Lahore, 3 days.

Oldest pending appeal.

90. The oldest appeal was pending in the Court of the Deputy Commissioner of Hoshyarpore, and dated from the 5th December.

B. Appeals to Courts of Sessions.

Appeals for disposal by Sessions Judges.

91. The number of appeals for disposal by the Sessions Appellate Courts was as follows:—

Sessions Court.	Appeals for disposal			Disposed of.	Pending	Date of oldest case pending
	Pending on 1st January 1866.	Preferred during 1866	Total.			
Delhi, ...	7	384	391	388	3	30th October.
Hissar, ...	3	207	210	206	4	13th December
Umballa, ...	13	355	368	311	57	4th September
Jullundur, ..	5	134	139	122	12	15th October
Umritsur, ...	14	302	316	309	7	8th July
Lahore,	9	277	286	269	17	28th August
Rawulpindee, ...	24	354	378	350	28	10th October
Mooltan, ...	1	368	369	356	13	20th November
Derajat, ...	9	118	127	127	...	
Peshawur, ...	15	428	443	412	31	12th July
Total, ..	100	2,927	3,027	2,855	172	8th July.
N W P. 1865,	...	.	2,316	2,242	74	

92. The largest number of appeals for disposal was in the Peshawur Division, and next in the Delhi, Rawulpindee, Mooltan, and Umballa Divisions. 172 appeals were pending at the close of the year, of which the large number of 57 were pending in the Umballa Sessions Court; 31 and 28 were pending in the Peshawur and Rawulpindee Sessions Courts respectively. The oldest pending appeal was in the Umritsur Sessions Court, and dated from the 8th July; the next in the Peshawur Court, from the 12th July. No explanation is given of the delay in disposing of either case; but the Commissioner of Peshawur remarks that the case in his Court had been disposed of at the time he wrote his report.

Average duration of appeals in Sessions Courts

93. The average duration of appeals in the Sessions Courts was 23 days in 1866 as compared with 17 days in 1865. The Court consider that this duration is high. In the North

Western Provinces in 1865 it was 19 days. The duration in each Sessions Court was as follows :—

	1866.	1865.
Hissar, ...	7 days.	6 days.
Delhi, ...	9 ,,	6 ,,
Mooltan, ...	15 ,,	12 ,,
Peshawur, ...	16 ,,	22 ,,
Umritsur, ...	20 ,,	16 ,,
Lahore, ...	24 ,,	20 ,,
Jullundur, ...	24 ,,	15 ,,
Derajat, ...	25 ,,	13 ,,
Rawulpindee, ...	27 ,,	16 ,,
Umballa, ...	72 ,,	48 ,,

94. The delay in disposing of appeals in the Umballa Sessions Court is excessive. It is satisfactory to observe that in the Peshawur Sessions Court there is not the same delay in disposing of appeals as there is in disposing of Sessions trials.

Results of appeals to Sessions Judges.

95. The following comparative statement shows the results of appeals preferred to the Sessions Courts from the decisions of Deputy Commissioners and Magistrates with full powers during the last five years. The corresponding statistics of the North Western Provinces in 1865 are also shown.

Year.	No. of original cases decided by Deputy Commissioners and officers with full powers.	Appeals preferred to Sessions Judges from decisions of Depy. Commrs. and officers with full powers.		No. of appeals disposed of during the year.	Appeals rejected.		Orders confirmed.		Orders modified.		Orders reversed.		Further enquiry called for.	
		No.	Per centge to decisions.		No.	Per cent.	No.	Per cent.	No.	Per cent.	No.	Per cent.	No.	Per cent.
1862		2,539		2,472	561	22·7	1,393	56·3	154	6·2	265	10·7	89	3·6
1863		2,570		2,614	513	19·6	1,585	60·6	135	5·1	324	12·4	57	2·2
1864	21,437	2,359	13	2,765	451	16·3	1,694	61·1	203	7·3	359	12·9	61	2·2
1865	22,493	3,184	14	3,227	589	18·2	1,946	60·3	228	7·1	401	12·4	63	1·9
1866	23,131	2,927	13	2,855	467	16·3	1,776	26·2	241	8·4	325	11·4	46	1·6
N. W. P. 1865,				2,242	571	25·4	1,155	51·2	No. 521	Per cent. 23·2				

Grouping these results the numbers stand thus :—

	Rejected or confirmed.	*Modified or reversed.*	*Further enquiry ordered.*
In 1862,	79·0 per cent.	16·9 per cent.	3·6 per cent.
„ 1863,	80·2 „	17·5 „	2·2 „
„ 1864,	77·4 „	20·2 „	2·2 „
„ 1865,	78·5 „	19·5 „	1·9 „
„ 1866,	78·5 „	19·8 „	1·6 „
N. W. P. 1865,	76·6 „	23·2 „	„

The results for 1866 are the same as for 1865.

PART III.—WORKING OF THE CHIEF COURT.

A. As a Court of Original Criminal Jurisdiction.

Sessions held by the Chief Court.

96. The Chief Court held 4 Criminal Sessions during the year, commencing respectively on the 6th March, 2nd May, 3rd October, and 3rd December. At these Sessions 7 trials were held, and the number of persons brought up for trial was 8, one of whom appeared in two cases. The accused were tried by jury, and in every case the jury were unanimous in finding the prisoners guilty.

Charges against the accused.

97. The following table exhibits the Original Criminal trials before the Chief Court during 1866, the charges on which the accused were convicted, and the sentences awarded,

Sessions No.	Date of commencement	Trials	Prisoners.	Of what offence convicted.	Sentence
1	6th March 1866. ..	1	George Stanley, otherwise called George Stanley Clifton, ...	Criminal breach of trust as a servant, under Section 408 of the Indian Penal Code, ...	Rigorous imprisonment for three years.
2	29th May 1866, ..	2	John Amger, ...	Forgery, under Section 465 Indian Penal Code, ...	Rigorous imprisonment for eighteen months.
3	9th October 1866. ..	3	1. James Stimpson, ...	Lurking-house trespass with intent to commit theft, under Section 454 Indian Penal Code, ..	Rigorous imprisonment for five years.
			2. William Loader.	Assisting in concealment of stolen property, under Section 414 Indian Penal Code, ...	Rigorous imprisonment for two years.
		4	1. Ralph Cleghorn, ...	Criminal breach of trust by a public servant, under Section 409 Indian Penal Code, ...	Rigorous imprisonment for three years.
			2 Jeremiah Sullivan, ...	Assisting in the making away with stolen property, under Section 414 Indian Penal Code, ..	Rigorous imprisonment for two years.
4	3rd December 1866.	5	William Joseph Knight.	Cheating, under Section 417 Indian Penal Code, ...	Imprisonment for one year, of which six months to be rigorous.
		6	Peter Charles Macleod, ...	Forgery for the purpose of cheating, under Section 468 Indian Penal Code, ...	Rigorous imprisonment for two years, and simple imprisonment for six months.
		7	Intto.	Escaping from lawful custody, under Section 224 Indian Penal Code.	Simple imprisonment for two months.

B. As a Court of Reference.

Cases referred for confirmation of sentence of death.

98. The number of cases which came before the Chief Court or the Judicial Commissioner for confirmation of sentence of death was 42; and the number of persons in these cases sentenced to death subject to the confirmation of the Court was 49. Of these, the sentence of death passed upon 31 persons was confirmed; in regard to 14 persons it was modified: none were acquitted, and two cases involving 4 persons were pending at the end of the year. The following statement shows the results for each Division :—

From what Division Referred.	Pending on 1st January 1866 and received during 1866.		DISPOSED OF.						Pending on 31st December 1866.		Date of oldest case pending.
			Persons.				Total.				
			Sentence of death.		Acquitted.	Conviction annulled & retrial ordered.					
	Cases.	Persons.	Confirmed.	Modified.			Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	
Hissar, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Delhi, ...	5	5	4	...	...	...	4	4	1	1	21st Dec.
Umballa, ...	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...
Jullundur,	7	9	6	3	...	...	7	9	...	...	...
Umritsur, ...	4	4	1	3	...	...	4	4	...	...	...
Lahore, ...	10	14	10	1	...	...	9	11	1	3	14th Dec.
Rawulpindee,	5	6	4	2	...	...	5	6	...	...	...
Mooltan, ...	4	4	3	1	...	...	4	4	...	...	...
Derajat, ...	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...
Peshawur, ...	3	3	1	2	...	...	3	3	...	...	...
Total, 1866,	42	49	31	14	...	...	40	45	2	4	14th Dec.
1865,	65	78	33	36	6	1	64	76	1	2	...
N. W. P. 1865,	88	104	79	15	10	...	88	104	...	...	...

Compared with preceding years.

99. The following table shows the number of persons referred to the Judicial Commissioner or Chief Court for confirmation of the sentence of death during the last five years, and the number of persons in regard to whom the sentence of death was confirmed.

Year	*Persons referred for confirmation of sentence of death.*	*Persons upon whom the sentence of death was confirmed*
1862	98	61
1863	77	45
1864	60	31
1865	78	33
1866	49	31

C. As a Court of Appeal.

Number and results of appeals.

100. The following statement exhibits the number and results of appeals to the Chief Court during the year :—

From Sessions Judge of	Pending on 1st January 1866.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	APPEALS DISPOSED OF.					Pending on 31st January 1866.	Date of oldest case pending.
				Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified	Orders reversed	Further enquiry ordered.		
Delhi, ..	2	69	71	64	3	...	3	...	1	20th October.
Hissar, ...	1	33	34	28	3	2	1	...	...	...
Umballa, ...	8	24	32	17	12	1	...	...	2	17th Decr.
Jullundur, ...	1	33	34	23	4	2	...	...	5	1st Decr.
Umritsur, ..	...	74	74	61	12	....	...	...	1	29th Novr.
Lahore, ..	1	63	64	55	5	2	...	...	2	21st Novr.
Rawulpindee,	...	89	89	81	4	2	...	...	2	17th Decr.
Mooltan, ...	1	58	59	53	2	1	1	...	2	1st Decr.
Derajat, ..	1	26	27	22	2	1	...	2	...	...
Peshawur, ...	...	42	42	26	2	4	...	1	9	17th Decr.
Total, ..	15	511	526	430	49	15	5	3	24	20th October.

Of 502 appeals disposed of during the year, in 430 the appeal was rejected; in 49 the orders were confirmed; in 15 modified; in 5 reversed; and in 3 further enquiry was ordered. Appellants still persist in preferring appeals from acquittals or from orders passed upon appeal, and hence the large number shewn in the return as rejected. In many of these cases however the proceedings of the lower Courts were called for, and the cases considered on the revision side of the Court.

101. The oldest appeal pending in the Chief Court dated from the 20th October 1866. The average duration of appeals was 12 days as compared with 11 days in 1865.

D. As a Court of Revision.

Number and results of cases disposed of on the revision side.

102. The details of cases disposed of by the Chief Court on the revision side are given in Appendix V. The following statement exhibits the results generally for each Division :—

Division.	Total for disposal.		Orders confirmed.		Orders modified.		Orders reversed and accused acquitted.		Orders annulled and case returned for re-trial.		Total disposed of.		Per-centage		
	Cases	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons	Cases	Persons.	Orders confirm Cases.	Modified. Cases.	Reversed Cases.
Delhi, ...	10	13	3	5	2	2	3	3	1	1	9	11	33	22	33
Hissar, ...	22	27	4	4	3	3	6	9	9	11	22	27	18	14	27
Umballa, ...	5	6	..	...	1	1	2	3	2	2	5	6	.	20	40
Jullundur, ...	13	23	2	4	6	6	3	10	2	3	13	33	15	46	23
Umritsur, ...	10	24	1	1	11	14	5	7	2	2	19	24	5	57	26
Lahore, ...	20	28	5	5	8	14	3	5	4	4	20	28	25	40	15
Rawulpindee,	18	29	6	9	4	5	4	9	4	6	18	29	33	22	22
Derajat, ...	11	17	3	3	2	2	3	3	..	.	8	8	37	25	37
Mooltan, ...	7	8	4	4	3	4	.	...	...	...	7	8	57	42	
Peshawur, ...	8	9	2	3	3	3	.	...	...	...	5	6	40	60	
Total, ...	133	184	30	38	43	54	29	49	24	29	126	170	24	34	23

103. Thus 133 cases came before the Court on the revision side, of which 7 were pending from the previous year, 37 were reported by Sessions Judges and Magistrates, and 89 were called for by the Court. In 30 of these cases the orders were confirmed; in 43 they were modified; in 29 the orders were reversed and the accused acquitted; and in 24 the orders were annulled and the cases returned for retrial; 7 cases were pending at the end of the year.

Conclusion.

104. It now only remains for the Court to draw the attention of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant Governor to the great increase of Criminal business observable throughout the province during the past year, and to the zeal and promptitude with which it has generally been performed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
J. W. SMYTH,
Registrar Chief Court, Punjab.

DELHI DIVISION.

Lieut·Col W McNeile, C. S I., Offg Commissioner and Superintendent

(Received on the 12th February 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES

Number of cases disposed of.	*Enquiries.*	*Trials.*	*Total.*
Delhi, ...	46	1,627	1,673
Goorgaon, ...	41	1,280	1,321
Kurnal, ...	18	1,550	1,568
	105	4,457	4,562

shewing, as compared with 1865, a decrease in enquiries of 84, and an increase in trials of 603.

Amount of work done by each grade of Stipendiary Magistrates, Sub-Magistrates 1st and 2nd class.

(b) Amount of work done by Deputy Commissioners under Act XV of 1862.

(c) Do. by Customs and Canal Officers.

(d) Do by Honorary Magistrates.

2.	*Delhi.*	*Goorgaon.*	*Kurnal.*	*Total.*
Magistrates, ...	710	636	744	2,090
Sub-Magistrate 1st Class,	197	303	282	782
Do. 2nd Class,	92	330	126	548
Deputy Commissioners under Act XV of 1862, ..	39	26	46	111
Canal and Customs Officers,	0	4	0	4
Honorary Magistrates, ..	0	22	100	122
Do. City Magistrates,	635	0	270	905
				4,562

The Deputy Commissioner of Delhi testifies to the efficient working of the Board of City Magistrates; and though the majority of cases disposed of by them were of a petty nature, the fact of there having been only 10 appeals from 635 decisions speaks favorably.

Result of enquiries and trials.

Proportion of acquittals.

3. The acquittals have been as follows :—

Delhi,	.. ..	39 per cent.
Goorgaon,	.. ..	16 „
Kurnal,	.. ..	28·7 „

Duration of enquiries and trials.

4.		*Delhi.*	*Goorgaon.*	*Kurnal.*
Before Sub-Judges,	Days,	11	11	14
„ Magistrate of district,	„	6	3	10
„ Sudder Officers,	„	7	3	10
„ Tehseeldars and Naibs,	„	6	3	3
„ Honorary Magistrates,	„	1	4	2
„ Customs Officers (Goorgaon distt.),	„	0	3	0

Whether distinction between enquiry and trial is understood.

This subject is not noticed by Deputy Commissioner Delhi; but Deputy Commissioners Kurnal and Goorgaon report that the distinction is now better understood.

All the lock-ups are reported to be in a satisfactory condition.

Number of cases pending at close of year; date of oldest case pending, and cause.

5. *Delhi*—8 cases, of which 5 were instituted towards the close of December; oldest of 25th September, for which sanction of Chief Court had to be obtained to its trial at Delhi.

Goorgaon—8 cases, oldest of 26th November; delay owing to witnesses being residents of Boolundshuhur in North West Provinces, who, though summoned several times, have not yet appeared.

Kurnal—6 cases, oldest instituted at end of November; case not being above 45 days old no special explanation has been afforded by Deputy Commissioner.

Diary of witnesses, what system is in force for testing correctness of the Registers.

6. Satisfactory if the entries in Statement V can be relied on; but the facts that in the Goorgaon district no one witness was detained for more than one day, and Kurnal 2 days, are circumstances which lay the Statement open to suspicion; although it should be observed that Deputy Commissioner Kurnal in his report states that the correctness of the register is tested by comparison with the misls. In the other two districts no arrangements appear to be in force for attestation of the diaries. Comparison with the misls is worthless as a check, for it is the day of a witness' actual not his recorded arrival that is required to be known, and no really efficient system for the purpose occurs to me, but unremitting attention on the part of the Magistrates themselves in calling for witnesses and receiving their recognizances daily, just as they call for petitioners and receive their complaints.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

Proportion of persons.

7. 6082 persons were punished, *i. e.*, including sentences of Sessions Court. The following shews the proportion in which the authorized punishments were inflicted :—

Whipped, ...	6·
Fined, ...	84·7
Imprisoned, simple, ...	1·4
Do. rigorous, ...	15·3
Transported, ...	·2

In Delhi district the average amount of fines imposed was Rs. 7-15-4 per head, of which 76 per cent was realized.

In Goorgaon the average was Rs. 4-13-0, and the realizations also 76 per cent.

In Kurnal the average was Rs. 11-2-0, and realizations 66·3. Of Rs. 40,849 imposed in the entire Division, Rs. 1,535 were* ordered to be paid as compensation, of which Rs. 711 were † disbursed. In Goorgaon only were there 3 cases of forfeiture of property.

* Delhi, 4-4-4 per cent of amount imposed.
Goorgaon, 0-6-7 „ „ „
Kurnal, 6-6-2 „ „ „
Sessions Court, no compensation was awarded.

†					
Delhi, ...	50	12	5	Per cent of amount ordered
Goorgaon, .	79	9	5	
Kurnal, ...	43	4	4	

SESSIONS TRIALS.

8. There were 25 cases, involving 52 persons, for disposal; of which one case, in which 2 persons were concerned, belonged to 1865. This shews an increase in the number of cases committed during the year, as compared with last, of 3 cases. They were all disposed of with the exception of 1 case of Goorgaon district and 1 in Delhi.

The result of the disposals is as follows :—

Convicted—67·3—Acquitted—32·7.

The average duration was 43 days, to 45 in 1865.

Of the fines imposed a very small proportion was realized, *i. e.* 11-8-0 per cent. Nothing was awarded as compensation.

All jail deliveries were held in the districts in which the offences had been committed, and all with the aid of Assessors.

APPEALS.

No. of Appeals.

9.	Delhi,	..	..	44
	Goorgaon,	..	..	23
	Kurnal,	..	..	75

Of the above, in Delhi district in 20·5 per cent of the cases were orders of lower Courts reversed; and in 2·3 returned for reinvestigation; in Goorgaon the per-centages were respectively 13 and 4·3, and in Kurnaul 16 and 1·3.

10. The average duration has been much the same in all three districts, viz: 7 days in Kurnaul, 8 Goorgaon and 9 Delhi. Deputy Commissioner Kurnaul disposed of the largest number of appeals. No appeals were pending at the close of year.

Working of the system of record.

11. Deputy Commissioners report that the rules regarding the recording of evidence are duly attended to, and that Native Magistrates write autograph memos of evidence and judgments. Deputy Commissioner Kurnaul, however, remarks that this statement is not applicable to Honorary Magistrates, who simply attach their signature to the proceedings. He has been instructed to require every Honorary Magistrate who can write at all to write his own decision, and the ground for it, as enjoined by Section 429 of the Procedure Code.

Appeals to Sessions Judge.

12. The appellate work has been heavier than during the prior two years. 388 appeals were disposed of, of which in 14·7 orders were reversed, and in 1·8 returned for reinvestigation. The average duration was 9 days. Only 3 appeals were pending at close of the year, the oldest being of 30th October 1866.

* * * * * * * *

MISCELLANEOUS.

Track law.

13. No important cases of the enforcement of the track law took place during the year. In the Delhi district little is done in this way, in Goorgaon the trackers failed several times and in Kurnaul the extent to which an ended track was made penal to the villagers was very small.

Fines, suspensions and dismissals of Judicial ministerial officials.

14. 9 officials in the entire Division were punished by fine; 1 dismissed (Turnkey Kurnaul district); and 1 (1st Judicial reader Delhi district) suspended. This official was prosecuted criminally and convicted of bribery; but was acquitted on appeal by my predecessor.

State of record rooms.

15. Deputy Commissioners report as follows: *Delhi.* "The record room is in good "order, but there is insufficient accommodation in the present Court "House, which has been condemned." *Goorgaon.* "The record

"rooms have been well looked to, and the records may be considered in a satisfactory state." *Kurnaul.* "The state of the records and the record rooms is in all respects satisfactory, as "found by the Commissioner on a late visit to Kurnaul."

* * * * * * * *

General remarks.

17. The Deputy Commissioner Goorgaon has not favored me with any general remarks on the subjects indicated in your Circular already quoted. The Deputy Commissioner Delhi states only that he believes the Criminal Procedure Code to be closely followed in the subordinate Courts, and that he takes every opportunity of reviewing cases decided by them. The Deputy Commissioner Kurnaul reports the same for his district. Captain Parsons also mentions that he made an attempt to prevent girls being brought up as public dancers and singers, the inevitable result of such teaching being a life of prostitution, but his interference in this behalf was held to be illegal and was therefore discontinued.

* * * * * * * *

HISSAR DIVISION.

J. Naesmyth, Esquire, Commissioner and Superintendent.

(Received on the 2nd February 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

Number of cases disposed of.

2. There has been a decrease in the number of disposals in the Rohtuk and Sirsa districts and an increase in Hissar, the latter about 10 per cent. The former as regards Rohtuk is almost inappreciable, and as regards Sirsa not very considerable. All the districts show a decrease in non-bailable offences. In Hissar bailable offences have been much more numerous than in 1865, which is attributed by the Magistrate to increased precautions under Sections 323 and 352 Indian Penal Code.

Amount of work done by each grade of Stipendiary Magistrates &c.

3. As regards the amount of business disposed of by each grade of officers, a comparison with the results of the year preceding shows that the distribution has continued to be judicious; the only difference which seems to require comment is one favorable to the past year's administration, viz. that the Sub-Magistrates 1st class (Tehseeldars) were more employed in each district of the Division; this was especially the case in Hissar. It may also be observed that the proportion of work performed by the Assistant Commissioner at Hissar was only 8 per cent., to 21 per cent. in 1865; this is accounted for by the fact that for three (3) months there was no Assistant Commissioner attached to the district.

Amount of work done by the Deputy Commissioners under Act XV of 1862.

4. In each district the work under Act XV of 1862 was less than in the preceding year, the largest number of cases so disposed of was in Hissar. The decrease in the more grave offences has of course caused the difference. The Magistrates of districts have generally exercised their powers under the Act in a satisfactory manner.

* * * * * * * *

Work done by Customs and Canal Officers.

City Magistrates.

6. The amount of work performed by Canal and Customs Officers in their Magisterial capacity was small, and so far as it extended, satisfactory. There are no City Magistrates.

Result of enquiries and trials. Proportion of acquittals.

7. The proportion of acquittals was smallest in Hissar, and greatest in Sirsa,—where it shows 24 per cent. As compared with 1865, there has been an increase both in Rohtuk and Sirsa. The Magistrates of these districts have offered no remarks on this point, It may be noted however that the Returns for 1865 also showed an increase in these two districts, as compared with 1864, and, as now, this was chiefly marked in Sirsa.

Duration of enquiries and trials.

8. As regards the duration of enquiries and trials, it is believed that the distinction is understood: indeed there seems no difficulty in the matter. The special attention of Magistrates was directed to the remarks of His Honor the Lieutenant Governor on the Annual Report for 1865. A comparison with the results of the preceding year on this point shows favorably for each district, except Rohtuk, where the duration in the Courts of the Tehseeldar Magistrates has exactly doubled. The Magistrate has made no remark in reference to this, which is to be regretted.

State of lock-ups &c

9. The lock-ups in each district are in good condition and repair: the rules regarding bail and personal recognizances have been attended to.

Number of cases pending at the close of the year; date of oldest case pending, and cause

10. The pending files at the close of the year, were satisfactory as regards Rohtuk and Hissar; in Sirsa less so. The oldest pending case belongs to the Rohtuk district, and dates from 19th September 1866; the delay appears to have been unavoidable. In the other districts no case had been pending for more than five weeks.

Diary of witnesses

11. The witness statement will I trust be viewed as satisfactory. The Magistrates report that every care is taken to test the accuracy of the Registers, and this I believe to be the case. The system adopted in each district appears to be nearly identical, and is explained in the district reports.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

Proportion of persons whipped, &c.

12. In all the districts, except Hissar, the proportion of persons whipped exceeded that for the previous year. In Hissar it was reduced by one-half, which is not in any way explained or referred to by the Magistrate. A greater proportion of persons were fined in each district than in 1865, especially in Sirsa; whilst the proportion imprisoned was less in each district.

Realization of fines.

13. With reference to the subject of payment of fines, a fair per-centage was realized. In Hissar 62 per cent; in Rohtuk 86 per cent; and in Sirsa 66 per cent. This refers to fines *imposed during the year.*

Proportion of fines paid as compensation.

14. The proportion paid as compensation under Section 44 Criminal Procedure Code was, for Hissar 4 per cent, Rohtuk 4 per cent, and Sirsa 3 per cent: these payments were ordinarily made within four months from date of the award. The points referred to by paras 8 and 9 of the Government orders on the last Criminal Report have been specially enjoined on district officers, and I trust have been borne in mind.

15. Solitary confinement has I believe been sufficiently resorted to in each district. I always find the cells full in visiting the jails. In no instance has forfeiture of property been resorted to.

Solitary confinement.
Forfeiture of property.

16. As regards the "results of the Whipping Act VI of 1864," I have little to add to the observations formerly noted. Whipping, as a sole punishment, is not found I believe to act as a deterrent of any certainty, except in minor crimes by casual offenders. The power to inflict this punishment in lieu of imprisonment is however very valuable, and saves many from the demoralizing influence of the jails; as to its effective application much depends on the discrimination of the Magistrates. The question as regards paras 3 and 4 of the Act being inapplicable to *analogous* offences, seems worthy of consideration.

Results of Whipping Act.

SESSIONS TRIALS.

17. The number of cases committed to the Sessions exceeded that for 1865. The proportion of persons punished was much the same as in the previous year. There were no capital sentences. The duration of trials was slightly in excess of the former year. One case remained pending at the end of the year, which had been committed for trial in October 1866; it has since been disposed of. Sessions trials had been held at the head quarters of the districts, and all were tried with the aid of Assessors. The general character of Assessors is satisfactory, though I have not found that they are of much real use or render material aid to the Court.

Number of cases.
Duration of trials.
Assessors.

APPEALS.

18. Appeals in all the District Courts have increased, especially in Hissar. The proportion of reversals is considerably less in Rohtuk and Sirsa than for the previous year. In Hissar there is a slight increase. Appeals to the Magistrates were generally promptly disposed of. In Sirsa the results in this respect are less satisfactory than in the other districts.

Number of appeals.
Proportion of reversals.
Average duration.

19. The appeals to the Sessions Judge have somewhat fallen off as compared with 1865. The proportion of reversals to disposals was 17 per cent., to 16 per cent. of the former year; 4 per cent only were returned for re-investigation; the average duration was seven days; the longest time an appeal remained pending was 38 days.

Appeals to Sessions Judge.
Number.
Reversals.
Proportion returned for further enquiry.
Average duration.

20. The system of record prescribed by Judicial Book Circular No. 37 of 1862 continues to work well, and is carefully attended to. Native Magistrates write autograph memos. of evidence and judgments.

Working of the system of record.

MISCELLANEOUS.

21. The chapters of the Criminal Procedure Code referring to recognizance, security to keep the peace, and security for good behaviour, have I believe been properly attended to in each district. I have no special remarks to offer on the District Reports in this respect.

Recognizance and security to keep the peace and for good behaviour.

Track Law.

22. The track law in our own territory continues to work satisfactorily. It is well understood by the people. Difficulty is frequently experienced by the police when tracks lead into the Rajpootana States, where little or no assistance is given by the people or the authorities.

Fines, dismissals, &c. of Judicial Ministerial Officers.

23. No remarks appear to be called for as regards statement No. X in reference to punishment of Judicial Ministerial Officers. I may however note it as my opinion that the general efficiency of the Judicial Establishments in each district has much improved within the last few years. Long established and mischievous cliques have been in a great measure dispersed, and although there is in some places still room for improvement it is satisfactory to find that a good deal has been accomplished in this respect.

State of record rooms.

24. The state of the record rooms is satisfactory. In Hissar some repairs may be necessary consequent on the ravages of white ants.

* * * * * * * * *

Monthly revision of cases.

31. The system of regular monthly revision of cases both by Magistrates and by this office, which has been described in former reports, is strictly maintained; without it, there would be little certainty of accuracy; as it is, there has been very marked improvement since last year, and comparatively fewer cases have had to be submitted for revision by the Chief Court.

Committals to the Sessions. Enquiries and trials. Jurisdiction. Processes.

32. As regards conformity to the Criminal Procedure Code in committals to the Sessions, distinctions between enquiries and trials, determining jurisdiction, issuing of processes, memoranda of examination of witnesses, preparation of charge sheet, recording of defence and final record of finding and sentence, I have found improved accuracy and little cause to animadvert. But constant and close supervision is essential by Magistrates over the work of their subordinates; and the knowledge that this is strictly maintained must tell beneficially on the disposals of all subordinates.

Child stealing and selling into slavery.

33. There appears to be nothing of importance to note, in connexion with the past year's administration, on the subject of child stealing and selling into slavery. This however is a matter which calls for continued vigilance, as the practice is believed to exist in the neighbouring States of Rajpootana, and might be extended across the border.

UMBALLA DIVISION.

Colonel R G Taylor, C B, C. S I, Commissioner and Superintendent.

(Received on the 22nd April 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

Number of cases disposed of.

The number of cases disposed of was 3,604, involving 7,263 persons, showing an increase of 173 cases and 985 persons over the disposals of the year before.

	1865	1866
Non bailable,	486	492
Bailable, ...	1062	1302

The increase in the cases appears principally in the Umballa district under the head of bailable offences, which were 210 cases in excess of the number brought to trial during the preceding year. The increase in non-bailable offences in this district is more than accounted for by the fact that the whole of the Pehoa thana has been added to the district from the 1st July 1866.

	1865	1866
Non-bailable, ...	345	350
Bailable, ...	1087	1100

In the Loodiana district the increase in the number of cases brought to trial is too small to require any explanation.

	1865	1866
Non-bailable, . .	150	104
Bailable, ...	335	282

In the Simla district there was a very marked decrease in crime, especially as regards the number of non-bailable offences. The Deputy Commissioner observes that "no cause of the decrease can be assigned unless it be attributed to the ever changing nature of the Simla community."

Amount of work done by each officer.

The following statement exhibits the amount of work done by each grade of officer:—

Officers.		Umballa		Loodiana.		Simla		Total.	
Powers.	Office	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866
Magistrate under Act XV of 1862, ..	Deputy Commissioner, ...	42	33	40	62	9	2	91	97
Magte. of the district, ..	Ditto	76	39	92	86	62	52	230	177
Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commissioners and E. A. Commissioners,	668	671	264	395	69	238	1,001	1,304
	Cantonment Magistrate,...	259	258		...	..		259	258
	Judge Small Cause Courts,	...	.	109	21	337	92	446	113
Sub-Magtes. 1st class,	Assistant Commissioners and E A. Commissioners,	87	.	59	118	..		146	118
	Cantonment Magistrate, ...	7	.		...	..		7	..
	Honorary Magistrates, .	59	159	81	66	...	...	140	225
	Tehseeldars, ...	230	358	732	686			962	1,044
Sub-Magtes. 2nd class,	Asstt. Commissioners, ...	24		41		.	...	65	
	Tehseeldars, ...	84	273	.			...	84	273
	Total, . .	1,536	1,791	1,418	1,434	477	384	3,431	3,609

Deputy Commissioners have thus disposed of 97 cases under Act XV of 1862, against 91 in 1865. The increase has been entirely in the Loodiana district, where the number has been 62, against 40. The number of persons brought to trial in these cases was 175,—96 in Loodiana, 77 in Umballa, and 2 in Simla. Of these, 42 persons, all tried in the Umballa district, were charged with dacoitee; 37, all in Loodiana, with belonging to a wandering gang of thieves; 14, all in Loodiana, with offences relating to coin, triable by the Court of Session; 14, of whom 11 were in Loodiana, with giving false evidence; 13, of whom 10 were in Umballa, with kidnapping; 11 with rape; 6, all in Loodiana, with adultery; 5 with unnatural offences; 2, both in Loodiana, with bigamy; and 8, of whom 6 were in Loodiana, with forgery. I quote Mr. Elliott's remarks with reference to the numerous cases of coining and of belonging to a wandering gang of thieves, tried in the Loodiana district.

"The quantity of counterfeit coin passing in this and in the surrounding districts "is, I have reason to think, very large. I believe that coiners are numerous both in our own "territory and in the Native states. The chief difficulty in the detection of this crime is "owing to the simplicity of the machinery used by the Sonârs for the manufacture of false "coin, which consists merely of a few earthen moulds or of small metal dice."

"At the commencement of the year I urged the police to take active measures for "the discovery of coiners, and stimulated them with the promise of rewards; and the result "has been that 15 cases have been brought to trial, and 10 persons have been convicted of "offences relating to coins."

"Thirty-seven persons have been tried under Section 401 of the Penal Code for belonging "to a wandering gang of thieves. The offence really committed by these people is that of "leaving their villages without tickets of leave, they being Harnees or Sansees by caste. They "are tried under this Section in accordance with Police Circular 32 of 1865, which was issued "with the sanction of the Judicial Commissioner, but this application of the law is not "satisfactory; and that such a case cannot be tried by a Magistrate, but must be taken up by "the Deputy Commissioner as Sub. Judge is anomalous. A special Act to provide for the "breach of standing rules by these tribes is much wanted."

I do not think that the Judicial Commissioner can be held responsible for the Police Circular referred to by Mr. Elliott. It is at any rate not laid down in the Judicial Commissioner's letter, published with the Circular of the Inspector General of Police, that any person may be taken to belong to a wandering or other gang of persons associated for the purpose of habitually committing theft or robbery, simply on the ground that he belongs to a particular caste, and has been found absent from his village without the permission of the police, and it is to be hoped that other proof of belonging to such a gang is not dispensed with. I observe that of the 37 persons tried 34 were convicted.

The Honorary Magistrates of the Umballa district disposed of 159 cases, against 59 in 1865; and those of the Loodiana district 66, against 81 in 1865. There are no Honorary Magistrates in the Simla district.

The Umballa statement contains no return of the work done by the Canal Officer at Dadoopoor, who was invested with Magisterial powers during the year.

There are no City Magistrates in this Division.

Result of enquiries and trials.

7,263 persons were tried or committed for trial during the year under report, being 885 in excess of the number tried or committed in 1865. The increase took place in almost equal proportions in the Umballa and Loodiana districts, being 18 and 19 per cent respectively. In the Simla district there occurred a decrease of nearly 23 per cent.

Of this aggregate of 7,263 persons, there were—

Committed,	20
Convicted,	4,428
Acquitted and discharged,	2,815

The acquittals and discharges were nearly 39 per cent of the aggregate disposed of, a result for the whole Division much the same as in the previous year.

The details for the districts separately are as follows—

	1866		1865	
Umballa,	39	per cent.	38	per cent.
Loodiana,	41	„ „	44	„ „
Simla,	26	„ „	21	„ „

The Deputy Commissioner of Umballa considers the result as respects his district to be unsatisfactory, and states that it is "owing to sufficient care not being taken to weed out really frivolous cases at time of petitions being given in"; and adds that there were no less than 499 persons let go on compromise. Captain Tighe would wish to see a system of stamps and tulubana introduced with a view to check the institution of petty assault cases. Act XXVI of 1867 will probably effect this object.

The result for non-bailable offences is shown below:—

	Committed, per cent.	*Convicted, per cent.*	*Acquitted and discharged, per cent.*
Umballa, ...	2	68·	30·
Loodiana, ...	0·6	71·	28·4
Simla, ...	1·2	83·2	15·6
Division, ...	1·4	70·8	27·8

Duration of enquiries and trials.

The average duration of enquiries and trials was 5 days for the entire Division against 4 days in the year preceding. The increase has been in the Umballa district by 1 day, and is due to the high average duration of the 33 cases tried by the Deputy Commissioner under Act XV of 1862, viz. 66 days each. Several of these were heavy dacoitee cases, in which many prisoners were implicated, while the most important evidence being given by approvers it required to be carefully sifted.

In the Loodiana district the average duration was 4 days, or the same as in 1865. In the Simla district it was 3 days, against 5 in 1865.

The Deputy Commissioners of Umballa and Loodiana state that in the average duration of trials as shewn in their returns, is included the period occupied in the preliminary enquiry before the Magistrate; and though the Deputy Commissioner of Simla does not notice this point, I have reason to believe that the same rule is followed there.

Enquiries and trials, distinction between.

The Deputy Commissioners of Umballah and Loodiana have been guided by the headings to columns 16 to 21 of Statement II in showing cases as enquiries or trials, and have consequently shown no cases as enquiries which have resulted in a formal trial and conviction or acquittal, or in the discharge of the accused under Chapter XIV C. P. Code by the officer conducting the enquiry. The Simla returns appear to have been prepared on the same principle.

I am doubtful however whether all enquiries under Chapter XII C. P. Code, which have resulted in the discharge of the accused person, have been shown in column 16; and I am surprised to find that the Loodiana returns show only five instances in which an enquiry was made under Sections 135 or 180 C. P. Code, by a Subordinate Magistrate not competent to try or commit for trial, or in which Subordinate Magistrates stopped proceedings on finding that a case brought before them for trial was beyond their jurisdiction (column 17); and the Umballa returns none.

State of Lock-ups.

In the Umballa district there were 11 persons in the lock-up at the end of 1866, and in Loodiana and Simla only one each. The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana reports that the lock-ups exist in all places where Magistrates and Sub. Magistrates are stationed, and are all in good repair and well ventilated, and that bail is taken in all allowable cases.

The Deputy Commissioner of Simla only reports on the lock-up at Simla, stating that it is in good order, and that bail and recognizances are invariably accepted when admissible.

Number of cases pending.

Of the 3611 cases brought to trial, only 7 remained undisposed of at the close of the year, the oldest of which has been pending since 12th July 1866, in the Umballa district. In the other two districts no case was of an older date than 18th December 1866. This is very favorable as compared with the previous year, when 21 cases remained pending, and the oldest of them being of 21st February 1865. The Umballa case of July 1866, above mentioned, is one of dacoitee at Dundalroo, in which several of the offenders had already been convicted by my Court in 1865. The persons now under trial were subsequently apprehended. and have been committed to the Sessions in February 1867. With reference to the two who have been longest under enquiry, having been apprehended on the 12th July 1866, Captain Tighe remarks, that both have confessed to being concerned in a number of cases of dacoitee,

Diary of witnesses.

11,248 witnesses were examined, during the year, of whom 10,422 or 92 per cent. were discharged in one day. Of the rest, none were detained beyond 6 days. The table showing the results for each district is subjoined :—

District.		1 Day.	2 Days.	3 Days.	4 Days.	5 Days.	6 Days.	Total.	*Per cent detained one day to total discharged.* 1866.	1865
Umballa, ...		5,455	68	27	6	..	.	5,556	98	98
Loodiana, ...		4,346	379	221	72	14	19	5,051	86	90
Simla, ...		621	19	1	...	...	..	641	97	93
Total,	1866,	10,422	466	249	78	14	19	11 248	92	94
	1865,	9,925	407	107	17	50	...	10,506	...	...

The Umballa district return is much more favorable than that of either Simla or Loodiana. Captain Tighe reports that the diary is very carefully kept up in the Sheriff's office, and that he believes it to be fairly correct. It is doubtful whether this can be the case in all Courts: Extra Assistant Commissioner Jamal Ali, who examined 920 witnesses, and 5 Tehseeldars, who examined more than 1,500, are shown as having discharged all on the 1st day, while one Tehseeldar who examined 256 witnesses, found it necessary to keep 12 till the 3rd day.

It is difficult to accept these statistics as correct. The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana owns that his district diary is less favorable than the year before, and with reference to the animadversions made on this subject in the printed report for that year, states that the special measures for testing the correctness of the diaries, which he promised in the preceding year's report, have been carried out by him, and that to this he, no doubt truly, attributes the unfavorable result now shown. The greatest delay in the discharge of witnesses in this district took place in the Court of Rae Moonna Lall, Extra Assistant, whom the Deputy Commissioner pronounces to be "slow at his work, though honest, pains-taking and conscientious."

Mr. Elliott's voucher is sufficient evidence that the statement has been kept up with great care in the Loodiana Courts which are more immediately under his eye, but it is remarkable that none of the Tehseeldars though they examined upwards of 2,000 witnesses are shown to have detained any beyond a single day.

The Deputy Commissioner of Simla reports that no particular system for testing the correctness of the registers of witnesses seems to be needed either at Simla or at the Military Stations of Kussowlee, Subathoo, and Dugshaie, where cases generally occur at the station itself and are very quickly disposed of. This is no doubt the case, but it would be well that the register should be occasionally examined by the Courts, as is done in Loodiana, especially when they know that witnesses have been detained. And the number of witnesses examined in the Simla Courts as shown in the statement is remarkably small, especially in the case of one officer, who disposed of 6 cases, and sentenced 9 persons, without, it would seem, having examined a single witness. It is difficult to believe that all witnesses examined have been included in the statement.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

Proportions of persons punished.	Of the persons punished, were—		
Whipped only,		3·70	per cent.
Fined only,		73·50	" "
Imprisoned,		19·17	" "
viz. of whom imprisoned only,	6·32		
imprisoned and whipped,	0 25		
Do. fined,	12·22		
Do. whipped and fined,	0·38		
Simple imprisonment,		1·17	" "
Rigorous Do.		18·	" "
Transported,		0·72	" "

The details for each district are shown in the subjoined table :—

District.	Whipped only.	Fined only.	Imprisoned.	Imprisoned only.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Simple imprisonment.	Rigorous imprisonment.	Transported.
Umballa, ...	2·91	69·24	21·38	8·30	12·58	0·19	0·29	1·26	20 12	1·55
Loodianna, ...	2·98	78·23	18·52	4·63	13·20	0·27	0·42	·96	17·56	...
Simla, ...	9·79	73·72	12·43	4·48	6·93	0·41	0·61	1·63	10·80	...
Total, ...	3·70	73·50	19·17	6·32	12·22	0·25	0·38	1·17	18·	·72

Whipping was less and fining more resorted to in all the districts of this Division, than during the previous year. During the year Tehseeldars were empowered to pass sentences of whipping, but this power was very sparingly exercised.

Fines.

Fines to the amount of Rs. 39,816 were imposed during the year under report; of this sum, Rs. 23,391 or 59 per cent was realized. In the Umballa district this per-centage amounted to 71, in the Loodiana district to 63, and in Simla to but 31 per cent.

The small per-centage realized in the latter district was owing to a large fine of Rs. 5,000, imposed for an offence against the Abkaree law, having been wholly remitted by the Appellate Court; excluding this case, the per-centage realized was 65. The average amount of fines was—in Simla Rs. 23-10, or, excluding the case just mentioned, Rs. 13-11; in Umballa Rs. 10-6, and in Loodiana Rs. 7-7. The Deputy Commissioner of Umballa suggests, as a means of ensuring the better enforcement of sentences of fine, that the Court officials be allowed a small per-centage (2 per cent) for realizations; and adds—"There is a good deal of "work involved, and this would ensure a constant looking after the balances. A similar "fee has been allowed on the Civil side in pauper suits, and so this would not be creating any "new precedent."

It may be noticed that in addition to the realizations of fines imposed during the year, Rs. 4339 was received on account of fines imposed during previous years. The total realizations of the year thus amounted to nearly 70 per cent of the fines imposed during the year, or, excluding the Simla case already noticed, to 80 per cent.

Fines imposed by Honorary Magistrates and Tehseeldars appear to be realized in much larger proportions than those imposed by any other class of officers, but there is nothing in the statistics to indicate that those of Native Extra Assistant Commissioners are imposed with more discrimination than those of the European officers. Of the amount of fines realized, Rs. 3,519, or 15 per cent, was awarded as compensation to complainants, and Rs. 2,154, or 61

per cent of the total sum awarded, actually paid away. I subjoin a Table showing these results in detail:—

Districts.		Amount awarded as compensation.	Per-centage of award to amount realized.	Amount actually paid.	Per-centage of payment to amount awarded.
Umballa, ...		1,468	12	883	60
Loodhiana, ...		1,103	13	406	37
Simla, ...		948	32	865	91
Total,	1866	3,519	15	2,154	61
	1865	2,410	11	1,391	58

The Simla statement however includes, both under awards and realizations, one item of Rs. 742, awarded in compensation in a former year and paid in this, and there may be other similar items which make this return appear more favorable than it otherwise would. It is probable that compensation would be more frequently awarded, but for the unwillingness which many officers have to impose fines even for this purpose, unless they see reason to expect that a considerable proportion, at least, of the amount will be realized.

Solitary confinement.

The Deputy Commissioner of Umballa reports that solitary confinement was ordered in 138 cases, and that these orders are sufficiently numerous to keep the solitary cells full, as the Umballa Jail receives prisoners sentenced to solitary confinement from several other districts also.

Mr. Elliott, Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana, reports "that solitary confinement has been freely awarded by Magistrates with full powers," and adds that "in the early part of the year it was the practice for Sub. Magistrates to send every case in which they sentenced to rigorous imprisonment to me, and I passed upon the record an order for solitary confinement; but in July last the Chief Court ruled that if solitary confinement was awarded in a case tried by a Sub-Magistrate, the whole sentence must be passed by the Magistrate of the district under Section 277 C. P. C. The necessary consequence of this ruling is that this description of punishment is not generally inflicted in such cases.

Forfeiture of property.

Forfeiture of property was not resorted to in any district of this Division during the year. The Deputy Commissioner of Simla mentions some cases, but evidently refers to the sale of property for the purpose of realizing fines.

Whipping.

The Deputy Commissioner of Umballa does not find that that Act VI of 1864 works nearly so well "as the old Punjab Criminal Procedure, which was "better adapted to the people. Simple whipping of professional "thieves is useless. We have some 100 second convictions now in the Umballa Jail. Much "has been written, and truly, by Major G. Hutchinson, Inspector General of Police, on this "subject, in which I thoroughly agree. Major Hutchinson points out that short punishments

"are ineffectual in the case of professional thieves. The Magistrates however before whom "the cases come are limited by their powers. The police should send up regular professional "thieves to the Magistrate of the district; as Sub Judge under Section 380 that officer can "give 7 years, which is ample."

The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana remarks that if the Whipping Act "allowed whipping as an additional punishment in cases of first conviction, it would be "oftener inflicted, and would probably act beneficially as a deterrent."

In the Simla district 24 juveniles were convicted of theft, but for none of them does whipping seem to have been considered a suitable punishment. In Umballa and Loodiana, on the contrary, juveniles convicted of offences under the Indian Penal Code seem to be almost invariably whipped. The result in the Simla district is a singular one, considering how freely this punishment is there resorted to. The statement shows one case in which it would appear to have been illegally inflicted.

SESSIONS TRIALS.

No. of cases disposed of.

In my own Court 15 Sessions trials, involving 47 persons, were brought for trial during the year under report, of which 10 cases and 37 persons were disposed of during the year, against 5 of the former and 16 of the latter in the year preceding. Of the 10 cases disposed of, there were by—

		Colonel Taylor.	*Colonel Cripps.*
Of Murder, ...	4	2	2
Dacoitee with murder, ..	2	2	0
Culpable Homicide, ...	2	2	0
Dacoitee, ...	1	1	0
Abetment of receiving illegal gratification, ...	1	0	1
Total, ..	10	7	3

Per-centage of Acquittals.

Of the 37 persons disposed of, were—

Referred to Chief Court, ...	3
Convicted, ...	21
Acquitted, ...	13

The per-centage of acquittals to persons disposed of was 35·13 against 2·32 the year before.

Duration of trials.

The average time taken in the disposal of each Sessions trial was 153 days.

Number of cases pending.

5 cases, all of murder, and 10 persons remained undisposed at the close of the year. The oldest of these was of the 15th November 1866.

Nature of punishments.

Of the persons punished, were—

Transported, ...	18
Imprisoned for terms not exceeding 7 years, with fine, ...	1
Do. for 7 years, without fine, ..	1
Do. below 7 years, with fine, ..	1
	21

The fines imposed in the two cases above noted, amounted to 200 rupees, but these have not been realized.

Jail deliveries.

All the trials disposed of were conducted with the aid of Assessors, and the jail deliveries were held in the districts from which the commitments were made.

In 9 of the 10 cases disposed of, the Court concurred with the Assessors, but in the remaining case it convicted certain of the accused whom the Assessors proposed to acquit.

Character and efficiency of Assessors in general.

As a body the Assessors of this Division are certainly not wanting in intelligence, but there is a great liability to bias; this must be taken for granted and reckoned for. One case occurred in which an Assessor had to be put on his trial for receiving a bribe, and was convicted and punished.

The great use of Assessors is to act as watchers of the proceedings. The evidence is taken before them and written in English, but it is again translated into the language of the witness or prisoner in their hearing. If a mistake has been made it cannot escape remark and correction. The Assessors therefore see that the prisoners do not suffer by mistakes; they are also very useful in explaining local customs referred to in the proceedings, and as they do this before the prisoners, there is no danger of error. I have on some occasions purposely refrained from objections to Assessors of known or suspected bias in favor of the prisoners sitting on trial, taking care however that they should be counterbalanced by men who were believed to to be free of it. An Assessor of this description acts much as an advocate, and lets nothing slip that may be turned to the advantage of the prisoner, and this is useful.

APPEALS TO MAGISTRATES.

Number of Appeals.

There were 150 appeals for disposal by the Magistrates of the Umballa and Loodiana districts against the orders of Subordinate Magistrates. There being no Subordinate Magistrates in the Simla district, no appeals lay to the Magistrate of that district. The number of appeals preferred was—in Loodiana 37, the same as in the previous year; while in Umballa it increased from 70 to 108, in addition to which 5 cases remained over from 1865.

The total of 150 was disposed of during the year as follows:—

Appeals rejected,	.. ..	14, or 9 per cent.
Do. confirmed,	.. ..	84, or 56 „
Do. modified,	.. ..	14, or 9 „
Do. reversed,	.. ..	35, or 23 „
Do. returned for reinvestigation,		3, or 2 „

Reversals have largely increased, having been 7 per cent. in 1864, and 16 per cent. in 1865. Modifications have been fewer than in 1865, when they were 13 per cent.

The Deputy Commissioner of Umballa reports that a large proportion of the reversals took place on account of illegality of procedure and for want of jurisdiction in the Tehseel Courts, the remainder being so treated for want of evidence on the record. The modifications he attributes to undue severity of sentence, "such as in petty assault cases; adding imprisonment to fine, or inflicting too heavy fine on persons in the condition of daily laborers.

The appeals against the decisions of Honorary Magistrates were 5·4 per cent. upon the convictions, 9 per cent. of the orders appealed against were reversed, and 27 per cent. modified. The appeals against the orders of Tehseeldars were 10·6 per cent.; 25 per cent. were reversed, and 11 per cent. modified or returned. The largest proportion of appeals was in the case of Burkut Ali, 20 or 21 per cent. of whose convictions were appealed against, with the result that 26 per cent. of the sentences were reversed and 13 per cent. modified. The Deputy Commissioner includes cases returned for reinvestigation among disposals, on the ground that the Courts which originally tried them were authorized to pass final orders after completing the enquiry ordered to be made. This procedure however is not warranted by the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Average duration of appeals.

The average period occupied in the disposal of appeals was—in the Umballa district, 14 days; in the Loodiana ditto 3 days. The longest period any appeal was pending was 58 days in Umballa, and in Loodiana only 5.

The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana states that in 8 cases, fines aggregating Rs. 558 were remitted, and that "compensation awards to complainants were affected only to the extent of 30 rupees by orders on appeal." "In some cases," he writes, "the fines inflicted by Tehseeldars appeared to me most unreasonably heavy."

The Deputy Commissioners of the other two districts do not notice this subject.

Working of system of record.

The system of record prescribed by Book Circular 37 of 1862 is thoroughly carried out in this Division. All Stipendiary Magistrates, Native as well as European, write autograph memos. of evidence and judgments. The Honorary Magistrates however are rarely able to do so.

APPEALS TO SESSIONS JUDGE.

355 appeals were preferred to my Court, or 25 short of the institutions of the previous year. These with 13 remaining from 1865, made a total of 368 for disposal; of which 313 were disposed of during the year as follows:—

Rejected, ...	127, or 40·6	per cent.
Confirmed, ...	148 or 47·	„
Modified, ...	20 or 6·3	„
Reversed, ...	16 or 5·	„
Returned for reinvestigation, ..	2 or 0·64	„
	313	

Of these, 94 cases were disposed of by Colonel Cripps, and 219 in my own Court.

The proportion of reversals is considerably less than that shown in the previous year, when it was 15 per cent.

The proportion which is borne by the appeals to this Court, to the number of appealable decisions passed during the year by officers exercising all the powers of Magistrate, is extraordinary. This will be seen from the following table:—

	Umballa.	*Loodiana.*
Convictions by officers exercising all the powers of Magistrate, ...	1,034	554
Deduct—		
Sentences of fine only, ...	519	283
Whipping only, ...	59	43
Recognizance or security to keep the peace, ..	37	19
There remain, convictions, ...	419	209
Add number of fines exceeding Rs. 50, ...	15	16
Cases appealable, utmost, ...	434	225
Appeals during year, ...	241	99
or per cent., ...	55·5	44

The per-centage would be even greater than is here shown if Mr. Hawkins' decisions as Subordinate Magistrate 1st Class, which were not appealable to this Court, could be excluded, and if the number of fines exceeding Rs. 50 were added only where these were not combined with other punishment; but the statements do not enable me to make these corrections. Numerous as were the appeals from Umballa, in 13 per cent. of those tried, the orders were reversed or modified or the cases returned for further investigation, and in one Court this proportion was no less than 36 per cent., while 70 per cent. of orders open to appeal were appealed against.

Average duration of Appeals.

The average duration of the appeals in my court was 72 days, against 48 the year before. And the longest period an appeal remained pending was 190 days, against 354 days in 1865.

57 appeals, including two returned for re-investigation, remained undisposed of when the year closed. The oldest of them was of 25th June 1866.

MISCELLANEOUS

Chapters XVIII and XIX, Security for good conduct.

In the Umballa district recognizance or security to keep the peace was required in 37 cases, and no one remained in confinement on this account at the close of the year. Security for good conduct was demanded in 64 cases Only 5 persons remained in confinement in default of security at the end of the year, exclusive of 17—from the Simla (2), Kurnal (14), and Delhi (1), districts.

The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana reports that the provisions of Chapter XVIII were enforced in 19 cases, and of Chapter XIX in 11 cases. 3 persons remained in confinement in default of furnishing security for good conduct.

In Simla 17 persons were called upon to enter into recognizance or furnish security to keep the peace, and 5 to give security for good conduct. None were in confinement at Simla on this account at the end of the year, but, as noticed above, there were 2 in the Umballa Jail.

The return in Statement IV is evidently not prepared upon any uniform principle.

Chapter XX—Local Nuisances.

In Umballa 40 cases, involving 75 persons, are shewn as having been disposed of.

In all the Magistrate's order was disobeyed and punishment awarded.

In Loodiana 4 orders were issued for removal of local nuisances, and were obeyed without demur.

In Simla there were 60 cases of this sort, involving 84 persons according to the statement, though the Deputy Commissioner mentions but one, and in all the Magistrate's order was obeyed without demur.

With reference to the entries in columns 32 and 33 of Statement No. IV, opposite the names of Messrs. Watts and Vaughan, the Deputy Commissioner has explained in a separate correspondence that they represent parties brought to justice by Municipal Commissioners, whose rules were infringed.

Chapter XXI—Maintenance of wives &c.

In Umballa 34 cases were instituted, of which 32 were dismissed—principally compromised on coming into Court; and of the two persons who were ordered to maintain their wives, one was imprisoned in default of payment of maintenance.

The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana reports "that claims of wives to maintenance are very numerous, but on the husband being brought before the Court they are for the most part amicably settled. 124 claims were brought, of which 121 were dismissed (compromises of course included), and in 3 maintenance not exceeding 5 Rs. per mensem was awarded."

In the Simla district, 7 claims for maintenance to wives and children were disposed of, and maintenance awarded in 3 of these.

Chapter XXII

In Umballa and Loodiana one case each connected with disputes relating to possession of land, &c., came before the Criminal Courts. None in Simla.

Track Cases.

There were 3 cases investigated in Loodiana, in two of which fines aggregating Rs. 300 were inflicted with the sanction of this office, and the amount made over to the injured parties. None were brought to trial in Umballa or Simla.

Fines &c.

Six officials were fined in the Umballa district to the extent of Rs. 21-6-0. No other punishment inflicted. In Loodiana 2 persons were fined, the fines amounting to Rs. 8-8-0 only.

The Deputy Commissioner of Loodiana writes that he does "not approve of constantly fining native subordinates, whose pay is already too low; doing so not only adds an "additional temptation to dishonesty, but gives a man an excuse by which he can justify it to "his conscience. In case of great or repeated carelessness, I occasionally inflict a fine, and two "officials were so punished during the year under report. I am happy to say that I had not "any graver offence than carelessness to deal with, and that dismissal and suspension were "not rendered necessary." In Simla there were 8 fines aggregating Rs. 177, one person being also suspended, and 3 dismissals. In few of these cases however were the persons punished ministerial officers of the Judicial Department."

JULLUNDUR DIVISION.

T. D. Forsyth Esquire, C. B, Commissioner and Superintendent

(*Received on the 4th March* 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

Cases and persons brought to trial.

The general per-centage of cases (of both descriptions) brought to trial to those reported is somewhat less than in the previous year; and the ratio for districts individually are —Jullundur 75 per cent, against 93 of preceding year; Hoshiarpoor, 77, against 71; and Kangra 93 against 90.

District.		Cases		Total No of cases.	Persons		Total No of persons.
		Non Bailable.	Bailable.		Non Bailable.	Bailable.	
Jullundur, ...	1865,	373	939	1,312	590	2,184	2,774
	1866,	345	1 039	1,384	605	2,205	2,810
Hoshiarpoor, ..	1865,	428	1,106	1,534	732	1,980	2,712
	1866,	359	1,061	1,420	497	2,840	3 337
Kangra, ...	1865,	382	2,195	2 577	617	5,054	5,671
	1866,	308	1,824	2,132	491	4,115	4,606
Total, ...	1865,	1,183	4,240	5,423	1,939	9 218	11,157
	1866,	1,012	3,924	4,936	1,593	9,160	10,753

The Deputy Commissioner of Hoshiarpore ascribes the diminution in the number of cases brought to trial during the year and the anomalous increase in the number of persons, to the result of the stringent prosecutions set on foot for punishing systematic evasions of the Stamp law. Mr. Perkins is entitled to great credit for his exertions in this respect, which have been productive of a considerable increase in the Stamp revenue.

Disposal of cases and persons

Out of 4,936 cases, in which 10,753 persons were implicated, 4,932 cases and 10,508 persons were disposed of. In this, as in the previous year, the Kangra district turned out the largest share of work, chiefly performed by the Sub-Magistrates.

Grades of Officers.	Jullundur.				Hoshiarpoor.				Kangra.				Total.			
	Cases.		Persons.		Cases.		Persons.		Cases.		Persons.		Cases.		Persons.	
	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866	1865	1866
Sub-Judge, ...	38	20	65	25	70	34	233	47	13	20	21	32	121	74	319	104
Magistrates, Europeans,	420	338	646	1,007	431	378	720	818	90	307	155	729	941	1,223	1,521	2,494
Do. Native,	355	180	734	343	195	241	268	722	396	115	640	216	946	536	1,642	1,281
Hony. Magistrates,	.	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	197	251	354	332	197	251	354	332
Sub-Magtes. 1st Class.	393	648	937	1,308	591	606	1054	1,408	1,747	1,084	4,185	2,120	2,731	2,338	6,176	4,917
Do. 2nd Class.	98	3	341	9	238	160	423	278	101	347	245	1,093	437	510	1,009	1,380
Total,	1,304	1,389	2,723	2,692	1,525	1,419	2,698	3,273	2,544	2,121	5,600	4,522	5,373	4,932	11,021	10,508

In Hoshiarpoor Mr. Donald's exertions in the Criminal department are conspicuous, and in Kangra Dewan Ram Nath has been I think over-taxed.

The large amount of work performed by Dya Shunker is owing to the effect of Chief Court's Circular No. 18 of 1866, as explained by the Deputy Commissioner.

The Deputy Commissioner Jullundur attributes the fall in the number of Sub-Judge cases to the fact of Captain Millar, who held charge of the district for 6 months, not having possessed powers under Act XV of 1862.

The decrease in the number of cases disposed of in Kangra is chiefly in cases of assaults, thefts and breach of forest laws.

Result of enquiries and trials.

Of the persons brought to trial about 37 per cent. were acquitted and discharged, which was a trifle above the ratio in the previous year. Hoshiarpoor district shews the most favorable results, being in the proportion of 23 per cent, while Jullundur and Kangra shew 44 and 41 per cent respectively.

District.		Actual No. brought to trial.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.
Jullundur, ..	1865,	2,774	15	1,674	1,034
	1866,	2,695.	26	1,461	1,205
Hoshiarpoor,	1865,	2,712	22	1,972	696
	1866,	3,080	8	2,323	715
Kangra, ...	1865,	5,671	3	3,427	2,170
	1866,	4,562	10	2,617	1,895
Total, ...	1865,	11.157	40	7,073	3,900
	1866,	10,337	44	6,401	3,815

The proportion of convictions for the Division was 61 per cent, being for Jullundur 53, Hoshiarpoor 75, and Kangra 56 per cent, so that the results for the districts of Jullundur and Kangra are somewhat less favorable than last year, while Hoshiarpoor exhibits a slight increase.

The smallest proportion of discharges and acquittals in the Hoshiarpoor district is observable in bailable offences, which is ascribed to the fact of nearly all the offenders under the Stamp Act having confessed the offence.

Duration of enquiries and trials

The increase in the duration of trials in Jullundur is said to be the effect of the introduction of a new method of calculating averages from the date of the institution of complaints instead of as before from the date of the first attendance of the accused in Court; but this arrangement seems not to have similarly affected the averages in the Hoshiarpoor district, where the duration of trials has been less than in the year previous.

District.		Enquiries.	Trials.
Jullundur, ...	1865	10	4
	1866	9	7
Hoshiarpoor, ...	1865	3	5
	1866	4	3
Kangra,	1865	23	8
	1866	16	10

In Kangra it will be seen that, while there has been a decrease of 7 days in the duration of enquiries, there has been an increase of two days in that of trials.

The distinction between enquiries and trials seems to be generally understood.

Lock-ups.

In Jullunder 203 persons, charged with non-bailable offences, were confined in the lock-up, and 1923 persons were released on personal recognizance, and 215 were admitted to bail.

In Hoshiarpoor the lock-up was over-crowded only for a short time, during the time the construction of the new jail was under consideration, when convicted prisoners as well as persons under trial were inmates of the lock-up.

The lock-ups in the Kangra district are reported of favorably, as being well ventilated, generally healthy, and not over-crowded; and bail and recognizance have been freely admitted; and the Deputy Commissioner Kangra remarks that, with regard to bail under Section

212 of Act XXV of 1861, in non-bailable cases, the usual practice has been to adhere to the general rule that bail cannot be taken in non-bailable cases without reference to the merits of the individual case.

Cases pending.

The number of pending cases was—in Jullundur 2, Hoshiarpoor 7, and Kangra 29.

The oldest cases pending are dated 16th November 1866, 13th December 1866, and 24th September, in Jullundur, Hoshiarpoor and Kangra respectively.

Of the cases pending in Hoshiarpoor one was a case of dacoitee in the Court of the Sub-Judge, in which 9 men of the Loodiana district were implicated, and which was disposed of on the 14th January 1867; and another was a case of breach of conservancy rules, involving 22 persons.

The delay in disposing of the oldest case pending in the Kangra district was owing partly to the non-attendance of prosecutors and protracted absence of the accused, and partly to delay attending references to the Chief Court and Commissioner.

Diary of witnesses.

With the exception of a few instances in the Courts of Mr Blackall, Major Cracroft and Mr. Coldstream, no witnesses have been detained beyond 4 days, and the number discharged in one day was 92 per cent., against 94 of last year.

District.	1 day.	2 days.	3 days.	4 days.	5 to 8 days.	9 to 15 days.	Total.
Jullundur, ...	3,018	161	17	36	.		3,232
Hoshiarpoor, ...	3,100	160	23	6	8	...	3,297
Kangra, ..	2 400	152	78	29	11	3	2,673
Total, .	8,518	473	118	71	19	3	9,202

The Deputy Commissioner Hoshiarpoor remarks—"the statement for Mr. Blackall's Court is not satisfactory, though I fear it is correct."

The Deputy Commissioner Kangra has offered no remarks to account for the detention of witnesses for upwards of 16 days, which, except under very peculiar circumstances, is not justifiable.

The system adopted by the Deputy Commissioner Jullundur for ensuring a correct record of the detention of witnesses is a good one. He writes—"The witness register is kept "up by one of the Mohurrirs. Slip returns are brought to him by the Court Inspectors "attending the Courts of the other Magistrates, and entries are liable to check by reference "to the record of the cases. The register is examined by the Deputy Commissioner once "a week."

The Deputy Commissioner Hoshiarpoor remarks—"The diaries of witnesses are kept "up by the Deputy Sheriff of each Court. I dont think they are as a rule trustworthy in "the Tehseel Courts, though probably they are in the Courts at Head Quarters."

Nature of punishments.

There has been a general diminution in all descriptions of punishment, and it is particularly observable in the punishment of whipping in the districts of Hoshiarpoor and Kangra, in which there has been a great fall. Fines have been resorted to freely in Hoshiarpoor, while in the other districts this mode of punishment has been less employed than in the year previous.

Districts.		Transportation.	Imprisonment.		Whipping.		Fined.	
			Rigorous.	Simple.	Alone.	Combined with other punishment.	Alone.	Combined with other punishment.
Jullundur, ...	1865,	1	244	15	95	19	1,267	190
	1866,	...	241	27	93	28	1,062	188
Hoshiarpoor,	1865,	26	405	45	133	12	1,232	225
	1866,	...	225	29	77	10	2,083	169
Kangra, ...	1865,	4	246	21	40	8	3,057	205
	1866,		211	11	16	10	2,364	156
Total, ..	1865,	31	895	81	268	39	5,556	620
	1866,	...	677	67	186	48	5,509	513

The Deputy Commissioner Hoshiarpoor reports that every juvenile convicted has been whipped, and he advocates the extension of the number of stripes to 40 for adults, and to the application of stripes to cases of first conviction.

The Deputy Commissioner Kangra considers that the restrictive nature of the rules embodied in the Act, regarding whipping, takes away from the utility of the punishment.

Fines.

The total amount of fines imposed is upwards of Rs. 11,000 less than previous year, while the amount realized has been greater; of the total amount imposed, 75 per cent. has been realized, against 57 of last year. The per-centages for districts individually are—Jullundur 65, Hoshiarpoor 86, and Kangra 74.

District.	Fines imposed.	Fines realized.	Ordered in compensation.	Paid in compensation.
Jullundur, ...	15,350	10,090	895	535
Hoshiarpoor, ..	16,424	14,137	649	619
Kangra,	12,042	8,945	865	457
Total. ...	43.816	33,172	2,409	1,611

More than half the amount decreed in compensation has been paid away.

The Deputy Commissioner Jullundur accounts for the falling off in the per-centage of realizations, by the circumstance that the average amount of each fine imposed was greater than in 1865.

The Deputy Commissioner Hoshiarpoor instances four cases in which fines of large amount were fixed, but the realization of which was not feasible, as the residence of the offenders lay in other jurisdictions, and Deputy Commissioner has no power under Section 61 Criminal Procedure Code to compel payment by distraint of immoveable property. This is an evil which involves the loss of a large income to Government.

Solitary confinement seems to be adopted when it could be legally inflicted; and in the districts of Hoshiarpoor and Kangra there have been no cases of forfeiture of property.

Sessions Trials

In the Sessions Court (31 cases) 48 persons were brought to

District	Under Trial at the end 1865.		Committed during 1866		Total No. of persons for disposal.	Disposed of				Under Trial.		Date of oldest pending case.	Average duration of each case	Per-centage of convictions.	Per-centage of acquittals.
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.		Referred to Chief Court	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Total disposed of	Cases.	Persons.				
Jullundur, ...	...	...	18	27	27	4	21	2	27	..	...	...	46	77	7
Hoshiarpoor, ...	..	...	5	8	8	3	5	.	8	...	...	..	60	63	...
Kangra, ...	1	1	7	12	13	1	10	1	12	1	1	29th Oct 1866.	55	77	7
Total, .	1	1	30	47	48	8	36	3	47	1	1	29th Oct. 1866.	51	77	6

trial, of which (1 case) 1 person, of the 29th October 1866, was under trial at the close of the year.

The cases involved the following offences :—

	Cases.	*Persons*
Murder, ...	14	18
Homicide, ...	4	5
Robbery, ...	1	2
Administering Drugs, ..	1	1
Forgery, ...	2	3
Kidnapping, ...	1	1
Criminal Breach of Trust, ..	1	2
Attempts under Sections 376, 377 and 511, ..	2	2
Minor bailable offences, ..	5	14
	31	48

In this year there was only 1 case of murder in which the immorality of women was the cause.

Of the persons referred to the Chief Court, 4 were sentenced to suffer capital punishment, and the sentences of 4 were commuted to transportation.

The per-centage of convictions was nearly double of last year, and the acquittals about a fourth, which is a sufficient indication that parties were not needlessly arrested and committed.

12 persons were sentenced to transportation for life, and 2 for 7 years and upwards.

The amount of fines inflicted by Sessions Court amounted to Rs. 2,350, of which no part has been recovered, probably for the same reason that has been given by the Deputy Commissioner Hoshiarpoor—that criminals would rather submit to loss of freedom than suffer in purse.

Of the amount imposed, Rs. 1,000 were levied in the case of Elahee Buksh, an official of the Public Works Department, whose imprisonment in default of payment was to extend to one year more, and Rs. 1,000 in the case of a person convicted of giving false information, who in default of payment was to be imprisoned for a further term of six weeks.

No portion of the fine realized for previous years has been awarded in compensation.

Jail deliveries.

Jail deliveries were in each case held in the districts in which the accused were committed.

Jullundur,	18 cases	at Jullundur.
Hoshiarpoor,	5 do.	at Hoshiarpoor.
Kangra,	4 do.	at Dhurumsala.
	2 do.	at Palumpoor.
	1 do.	at Jullundur.

65 Assessors, chiefly of the class of mahajuns and agriculturists, were employed, and in all the cases, without exception, the Sessions Judge agreed with the majority, a fact which speaks well for the character of the men employed.

APPEALS.

The appeals to Magistrate have increased by 25, although the number of cases disposed of has decreased by 400. The increase is observable only in Jullundur and Hoshiarpoor. The proportion of appeals to cases disposed of is 3 per cent, against 2 of previous year.

District.		Pending as close of 1865.	Preferred.	Total No. for disposal.	Rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified or reversed.	Returned for re-investigation.	Total No. disposed of.	Average duration.	Per-centage of appeals not confirmed.	Per-centage of appeals rejected.
Jullundur,	1865,	...	38	38	2	19	16	1	38	8 D	45	5
	1866,	...	43	43	11	20	11	1	43	5 „	37	25
Hoshiarpoor,	1865,	1	46	47	2	36	9	...	47	12 „	19	4
	1866,	...	72	72	3	45	23	.	71	4 „	34	4
Kangra,	1865,	...	41	41	2	18	19	1	40	10 „	50	5
	1866,	1	35	36	12	15	9	..	36	3 „	37	33
Total,	1865,	1	125	126	6	73	44	2	125	10 „	37	4
	1866,	1	150	151	26	80	43	1	150	4 „	35	17

The proportion of orders not confirmed has decreased in Jullundur and Kangra, while it has been nearly doubled in Hoshiarpoor.

The average duration in all the districts has been remarkably diminished.

The longest period in appeal was as follows:—

Jullundur,	...	17 days.
Hoshiarpoor,	..	26 „
Kangra,	...	19 „

The above results bear a very favorable comparison with last year, in which the period for Jullundur was nearly double and in Kangra more than double.

There was only one case pending, of the Hoshiarpoor district, of the 5th December 1866.

In Jullundur most of the appeals have been from the orders of Hisamooddeen and Hookum Chund, Tehseeldars. In Hoshiarpoor they are from the orders of Salig Ram and Dass Mull. In Kangra the greatest number of appeals was from the orders of Dewan Ram Nath and Oodey Ram.

System of Record.

The system of record prescribed in Book Circular No. 37 of 1862 is followed; and Native Magistrates write autograph memos of evidence and judgments.

Appeals to Sessions Judge.

The number of appeals has dwindled down to about half the number of previous year, but the large number in that year was owing to the several dacoitee cases tried by Colonel Elliot.

District		Pending at the close of 1865.	Preferred.	Total No. for disposal.	Rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified or reversed.	Returned for re-investigation.	Total No. disposed of.	Average duration	Per-centage of appeals not confirmed.	Per-centage of appeals rejected.
Jullundur,	1865,	...	90	90	44	25	20	1	90	46	44	48
	1866,	....	74	74	10	46	9	1	66	21	17	15
Hoshiarpoor,	1865,	1	144	145	75	46	19	..	140	29	30	54
	1866,	5	45	50	12	23	11		46	32	32	26
Kangra,	1865,	...	24	24	10	7	6	1	24	50	46	41
	1866,	.	15	15	4	9	2	...	15	21	18	26
Total,	1865,	1	258	259	129	78	45	2	254	38	37	50
	1866,	5	134	139	26	78	22	1	127	25	21	20

The largest number of appeals has been from Jullundur district, from the orders of Mr. Spencer, which were all confirmed or rejected with the exception of 7 cases.

From Hoshiarpoor Dya Shunkur's court supplied the greatest number of appeals, the majority of which were confirmed or rejected.

The average duration of appeals, except as regards the Hoshiarpoor district, in which the period has been increased by 3 days, bears a very favorable comparison with the previous year, being about a moiety in Jullunder and more than a moiety in Kangra.

Of the 12 cases pending the oldest is dated the 15th October 1866.

MISCELLANEOUS.

General Remarks.

The plan of separating the work, so as to make over the Magisterial cases entirely to one Assistant and the Civil work to another, instead of giving a little of everything to each officer, was suggested and practically commenced by Mr. Elsmie, and has been found to work well. Half the evil caused by over work, and multitudinous business, was owing to a want of method and arrangement, which are now being introduced, to the great relief of all parties.

The services of a Clerk of the Court are now being appreciated by District Officers. This innovation was first introduced into the Court of the Commissioner Lahore, and Sahg Ram was trained to perform the duties of Clerk of the Court. He has acted in this capacity under me during the past year to my entire satisfaction.

UMRITSUR DIVISION.

F H. Cooper, Esquire, C. B, Offg. Commissioner and Superintendent.

(*Received on the* 21*st March*, 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

The number of cases disposed of has been :—

	1866.	1865.
Umritsur, ...	4,112	3,661
Goordaspoor, ...	1,542	1,445
Sealkote, ...	1,202	1,218
Total, ..	6,856	6,324

The following table shews the distribution of work :—

District.	Stipendiary Magistrates.	Sub. Judges.	Canal Officers.	Honorary Magistrates.
Umritsur, ...	2,674	65	24	Jagr. Magtes 248. City do. 1,101.
Goordaspoor, ...	1,501	41	...	...
Sealkote, ...	1,100	102	...	...
TOTAL, ...	5,275	208	24	

A number of cases of false evidence have been committed to the Sessions from the Umritsur district. It appears that such cases might with advantage be tried at once by the Sub-Judge. Major Lewin observes that the committal of these cases to the Sessions has been owing to the miscellaneous work of the district being very heavy during the year. The work in this office is perhaps not less heavy, and great inconvenience to witnesses is caused by such cases lying over for trial by Sessions Judge.

Deputy Commissioner Umritsur reports that Canal Officers attend better to procedure; but observes that the Canal bye laws require amendment, that offences under them are extremely ill defined. On principle, I am opposed to either Canal or Forest Officers being invested with Magisterial powers to punish offences against their own department. But if on the plea of necessity such powers must be given, then the less those officers are hampered with forms the better.

The City Magistrates are said to do substantial justice, and their Court is certainly generally popular and respected. They have relieved our Courts of much work.

To meet the Deputy Commissioner's objection in regard to City Magistrates not conforming to the style of record prescribed in the Criminal Procedure Code, for offences punishable with more than six months' imprisonment, Mr. Egerton suggested that the Police should be instructed not to forward to the Honorary Magistrates cases in which, on convic-

tion, more than 6 months imprisonment can be awarded. This course would, it was considered, be less embarrassing at Umritsur, and at Lahore, and elsewhere, where the system in force was believed to work well.

The strict rules of the Code might undoubtedly be conformed to by giving some extra establishment, but it would be at the expense of real personal efficiency on the part of the Honorary Magistrates.

Result of enquiries and trials.

9. The acquittals have been:—

	1866.	1865.
Umritsur, ...	10·4	19·4
Goordaspoor, ...	52·	28·9
Sealkote, ...	23·76	23·6

The Deputy Commissioner Goordaspore observes that the rise in the per-centage of acquittals in his district is due to the transfer of discharges under Chap. XV. to the head of acquittals, the proportion being much the same as last year. But the result would indicate a want of caution and judgment on the part of the Police in apprehending parties needlessly.

Duration of enquiries and trials.

The average duration of enquiries and trials in the several districts was:—

Umritsur, ...	12 days.
Goordaspoor, ...	7 „
Sealkote, ...	8 „

State of Lock-up

The state of the lock-up at the close of the year, of the several districts, was as follows, and is satisfactory.

	In default of bail.	*Non-bailable offences.*
Umritsur, ...	3	15
Goordaspoor, ...	2	14
Sealkote, ...	1	..

Persons at large on bail and recognizance were:—

	Bail.	*Recognizance.*
Umritsur, ...	19	21
Goordaspoor, ...	5	8
Sealkote, ...	29	..

In Umritsur 10 persons were on bail for non-bailable offences. Deputy Commissioner does not offer any explanation on this head. But the Police have a discretion to accept bail in non-bailable offences, when they consider the charge unfounded, and this is probably the solution.

Pending cases.

The number of cases pending were:—

Umritsur, ...	27
Goordaspoor, ...	20
Sealkote, ...	11

Date.

The dates of the cases pending were:—

Umritsur, ..	26th November 1866.
Goordaspoor,	28th November 1866.
Sealkote, ..	23rd November 1866.

Diary of Witnesses

The diary of witnesses seems satisfactory.

The longest detentions were—

Umritsur, ... 8 days.
Goordaspoor and Sealkote, .. 5 days.

The following extract from the Sealkote report shews the mode adopted in that district for calculating the period of attendance of witnesses.

"There has been no long detention of witnesses, but I have reason to believe that "the number recorded as detained only one day, is not quite reliable.

"Witnesses who do not arrive till mid-day, or 2 o'clock, are often not brought into "Court until the next day and then entered as having been detained only one day. This "is not strictly correct. I have now directed that persons arriving before 12 o'clock shall "be entered as having been in attendance for the whole of that day, and after 12 o'clock as "not in attendance. This order has been sent to the Police Office, and will doubtless have "the effect of more punctual attendance on the part of witnesses, and in the despatch of "cases for trial by the Police."

Testing correctness of Registers

The Deputy Commissioners of Goordaspoor and Sealkote give no information; but Deputy Commissioner Umritsur remarks, as in the report for last year, that the Head Reader of the Deputy Commissioner's Court from time to time compares the register with the orders for summoning and discharge of witnesses in the files.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

Punishments.

The following Table shews the nature of punishments inflicted:—

PROPORTION OF PERSONS

Districts	Whipped.	Fined.	Imprisoned.		Transported
			Simple.	Rigorous.	
Umritsur, ...	1 09	93 2	15	3·7	7 persons.
Goordaspoor, ...	5 84	68 69	·95	22·68	3 persons
Sealkote, ...	1	76 1	7	93	1 person

The prescribed statement does not accurately show the statistics of whipping, for the per-centage is calculated on all offences for which whipping can be *under any circumstances* inflicted, whereas it would be well to ascertain in how many cases it might under *existing* circumstances have been inflicted.

Fines.

The fines imposed and realized were—

	Umritsur.	*Goordaspoor.*	*Sealkote.*
Imposed, ..	71,589 7 0	15,680 3 3	19,083 10 4
Realized, ..	49,798 8 4	7,599 10 4	9,627 10 0

this shews that about half the fines imposed were realized during the year. It will necessarily happen that a portion of the fines will be realized in the following year.

I should be very glad to see the law regarding realization of fines amended, and both real property made liable and property in other districts. The periodical aggressions of the Malwa robbers are not met by the present state of the law.

Solitary confinement.

Solitary confinement has been resorted to where allowed by law, or where the circumstances would permit.

Whipping Act.

Deputy Commissioner Umritsur remarks that—"The Whipping Act appears to "require amendment in several of its provisions. The most objection-"able feature of it appears to be its not permitting an offender, how-"ever many different crimes he may have committed, to be flogged, on a conviction, unless he "has previously been convicted of the identical offence. Thus a noted highway robber if "convicted of a burglary cannot be sentenced to stripes." These views are concurred in by Mr. Egerton.

The Deputy Commissioner Goordaspoor reiterates his remarks in last year's report, and makes some proposition for the amendment of this Act which seem worthy of consideration.

The Deputy Commissioner Sealkote remarks as follows :—

"The punishment of whipping has not been resorted to as much as it should have "been, in sentences on first conviction, and as an alternative punishment; but it is difficult, "and unadvisable, to force the judgment of Magistrates on this subject. With the exception "of Mr. Roberts, during the last three months, the Extra Assistant Commissioner, "Wuzeer Ali, has been practically the only Magistrate in this district, during the year, who "has had the power of inflicting this punishment, on first conviction, and his opinion is the "same as my own, that, with the exception of juveniles, there are few cases which come "before him for trial, in which whipping alone would be a suitable and adequate punishment; "still I think this punishment should have been more resorted to, and the attention of my "Assistants shall be called to the subject."

SESSIONS TRIALS.

No. of cases &c.

There were 40 cases, involving 65 persons altogether, for trial.

* 3 Referred to Chief Court.
41 Convicted.
17 Acquitted.
—
61

Of these, 36 cases * (61 persons) were disposed of, leaving 4 cases (4 persons) pending at the close of the year. The date of the oldest case was 26th October 1866, and was disposed of at the beginning of this year.

Proportions of convictions and acquittals.

The convictions, including cases referred to Chief Court, were 72·2 per cent. on the number of persons tried, and the acquittals 27·8 per cent.

Duration of trials.

The average duration of trials was one day.

Assessors and Jail deliveries.

All the trials were held with the aid of Assessors, and in the districts to which appertaining.

APPEALS.

Appeals to Magistrate of district.

The following Table gives the number of appeals and the proportions of reversals and returned for further enquiry &c. :—

District.	Number of Appeals	Reversals.	Returned for further enquiry.	Average duration.	Longest period
Umritsur, ...	172	31 7	...	25 days.	116 days.
Goordaspoor, ...	41	33·	...	3 days.	27 days.
Sealkote, ...	31	25 80	...	5 days	20 days.

Judicial Commissioner's Circular 37, of 1862.

Is in operation and is said to work well. I should be glad to see the present system of record modified in trials before tribunals of undoubted competency. When Assessors are employed it is impossible to take down cross examination conducted by them, which is nevertheless admirably adapted to elicit the truth. It is often only by plying a dishonest witness with questions such as a native alone can suggest that the truth can be elicited or his falsehood made apparent. The Judge would be far better employed in following this, than in toiling after them to make an imperfect record, and if checked the cross examination loses its effect. Similarly, also, when the cross examination is conducted by the Judge, the necessity for writing down each answer is a great drawback. And after a smart cross examination of an acute but dishonest witness, to read over the deposition recorded and ask him if it is correct, merely leads to his explaining away all discrepancies as having been misunderstood. If tribunals are not competent, by all means improve them as far as possible, but having done so, it seems a pity to reduce the actual efficiency of the Court in order to give a superior record of an inferior proceeding.

Native Magistrates.

Native Magistrates write autograph memos: of evidence and judgments.

Appeals to Sessions Judge.

316 appeals were preferred to the Sessions Court, of which 307 were disposed of, and 7 remained for disposal.

Of the appeals disposed of, 10·3 per cent were reversed; none were returned for reinvestigation; but in a few further enquiry was directed, under Section 122 Criminal Procedure Code; and in 6·79 per cent the orders were modified.

The average duration was 31 days, and the longest term an appeal was pending was 1—77 days. This was a case in which further enquiry was directed.

* * * * * * * *

MISCELLANEOUS.

The fact of systematic infanticide in a Jut village of the Sundhoo tribe near the banks of the Sutlej has been discovered by the Police. Punitive measures have been recommended.

LAHORE DIVISION.

F. H. Cooper Esquire, C B, Commissioner and Superintendent.

(Received on the 5th February 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

No of cases disposed of.

2. The number of cases disposed of was—in

	Enquiries.	*Trials.*	*Total.*
Lahore, ...	33	3,435	3,468
Ferozepoor, ..	8	1,472	1,480
Goojranwalla, ..	4	1,406	1,410
	45	6,313	6,358

The amount of work done by each grade of Magistrate was as follows:—

		No. of Cases Disposed of.			Total
		Lahore.	Ferozepoor.	Goojranwalla	
Deputy Commrs.	As Magistrate of Dt.	112	95	176	383
	As Sub. Judge, ...	38	43	20	101
Magistrates, ...		1,202	310	342	1,854
Sub Magistrates 1st Class, ...		1,709	712	305	2,726
Do. do. 2nd do.		407	320	567	1,294
Total, ..		3,468	1,480	1,410	6,358

Only 1 case was decided by a Canal Officer, and 3 cases by Mr. Lennox, Assistant Conservator of Forests, in the Goojranwalla district.

Work done by City Magistrates.

3. The Lahore City Magistrates disposed of 1535 cases, chiefly of local nuisance, breaches of Police Act, &c. which, as remarked by the Deputy Commissioner, require no lengthened enquiry, but the disposal of which is a great relief to the Stipendiary Magistrates. The Goojranwalla City Magistrates disposed of 423 cases.

Result of enquiries and trials.

4. The number of persons for disposal was 14,120, of whom 72 were committed, 10,196 or 72 per cent, a fair proportion, were convicted; and 3,798 or 27 per cent were acquitted The Lahore district shows the best average of convictions, 83 per cent. The Divisional per-centage of convictions in 1865 was 68 per cent.

Duration of enquiries and trials

5. The average duration of cases was—in the

Lahore District Courts, ...	2	days
Ferozepore do.	4	do.
Goojranwalla do.	7	do.

The Goojranwalla district average is 2 days less than 1865, but is still high. On referring to the District Statement I find that this high average is in a great measure attributable to the duration of cases in the Deputy Commissioner's, and Extra Assistant Fakir Zaheeroodeen's Courts. This is especially remarkable in the cases disposed of by the Deputy Commissioner in his capacity of Sub. Judge, in which the average duration, 30 days, is excessive. In regard to the method of calculating the duration of trials, the trial is considered to commence from the date on which the accused comes before the Magistrate, and to terminate when a final order of discharge, acquittal or conviction is passed regarding him. The period occupied in preliminary enquiries by a Magistrate, or by the Police under his orders, it is believed, is always excluded in calculating the duration of trials.

Lock-ups.

6. The defective accommodation of the Lahore sudder lock-up was noticed in last year's report. The Deputy Commissioner expresses a hope that, with the new District Court houses which have been sanctioned, a new lock-up will also be built, as it is much wanted.

No. of cases pending at the close of the year

7. The number of cases remaining undisposed of at the close of the year was 24, in which there were 47 accused persons, 17 of whom were in custody, 18 on bail, and 13 on recognizances. The date of institution of the oldest of these pending cases was the 13th November 1865. This case is in the Court of Mr. Wakefield, Assistant Commissioner Ferozepore, and its disposal is stated to have been delayed for the production of some necessary evidence.

Diary of witnesses.

8. The number of witnesses who attended the Magistrates' Courts during the year, was 16,196; of these 14,892 were discharged the first day; 966 the second; 208 the third; 102 the fourth; 48 the fifth; 29 the sixth; and 11 the seventh day. In the Lahore district the Deputy Commissioner intimates that separate diaries of witnesses are kept up in each Court, and are tested by the chief Ministerial Officer of the Court.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

Proportion of persons whipped, fined, &c.

9. In the District Courts 12 persons were sentenced to transportation, 1194 to imprisonment with fine; 25 to imprisonment with whipping; 34 to imprisonment with fine and whipping; 432 to imprisonment only; 320 to whipping only; 7937 to fine only; 101 to enter into recognizances to keep the peace; and 189 to find security; 25 to keep the peace; and 164 for good conduct. The per-centage of persons sentenced to whipping was 3; of persons fined 89; of persons imprisoned compared with 1865, the proportion of persons whipped remains the same, whilst that of persons fined shews an increase of 7 per cent, and that of persons imprisoned a decrease of 8 per cent.

Fines.

10. The amount of fines imposed was Rs. 63,839, of which Rs. 3,650 or 57 per cent were realized during the year, and Rs. 13,072 were also realized on account of fines imposed in previous years. In 1865 only 44 per cent of the fines imposed were realized. This improvement, the Deputy Commissioner of Lahore, in whose district 65 per cent of the fines imposed during the year under review were realized, attributes to three causes:—

1st. Repeated orders to subordinate officers to proportion fines to the condition in life of the offenders.

2nd. The increase of petty offences in which a small fine was the only punishment; and, 3rd, the periodical scrutiny of the fine register by a responsible officer.

The Deputy Commissioner alone furnishes information in regard to the causes of non-realization of fines. These causes are—(I) no assets, (II) property out of jurisdiction, (III) remissions on appeal. I beg to draw your attention to the very clear information on this subject supplied at para. 16 of Mr. Aitchison's report.

The amount of these fines ordered to be paid as compensation to complainants under Section 44 Criminal Procedure Code was Rs. 5,491, and Rs. 2,816 were thus paid.

Solitary confinement.

11. Of 821 persons sentenced to rigorous imprisonment in the Lahore district, 327 or 39 per cent received sentence of solitary confinement. The Deputy Commissioner remarks that Magistrates with full powers rarely, if ever, pass sentence of rigorous imprisonment without making solitary confinement part of the sentence. The Deputy Commissioner Ferozepore intimates that solitary confinement always forms a part of the sentence where the law admits of it, and the Deputy Commissioner Goojranwalla reports that the solitary cells in the jail have always been kept full.

Forfeiture of property.

12. The Deputy Commissioner of Lahore alone supplies information on this subject. He intimates that in no case had property to be confiscated.

Results of Whipping Act.

13. The Deputy Commissioner Lahore remarks that the Whipping Act is seldom applied except in cases of first conviction, and that with regard to juveniles section 5 of the Act is a dead letter; and that the working of the Act furnishes a curious commentary on the discussions which took place before its introduction, as throughout the debates on the subject the punishment of whipping was assumed to be the most severe and inhuman of punishments, and one that required special justification, whilst in practice it is inflicted chiefly in those cases in which a lenient punishment is required. The Deputy Commissioner Ferozepore draws attention to the amendment which he considers necessary in sections 3 and 4 of the Act, regarding which a reference was made to you in this office No. 205 dated 28th August last. It was pointed out by the Deputy Commissioner that to render the sections of the Act quoted applicable, it was required that the antecedent conviction must have been a conviction not of any one of the offences specified in each class, but of the particular offence forming the subject of the subsequent conviction, which tended in a great measure to defeat what appeared to be the spirit and intention of the law.

Sessions trials.

14. The number of cases committed to the Sessions during the year was 41, in which 72 persons were concerned; 16 of these were of murder. In 1865 the number of cases committed to the Sessions was 52. Of the 72 persons committed, the sentences of 11 were referred to the Chief Court for confirmation; 40 were convicted and sentenced from this Court; 19 were acquitted; one died; and 1 remained undisposed of at the close of the year;—this was a dacoitee case in which witness had to be summoned from a distant district.

The average duration of trials in this Court was 25 days, which is one day less than in the previous year; 17 persons were sentenced to transportation, three to imprisonment with

fine, one to imprisonment with whipping, 17 to imprisonment only, and 2 to whipping only. Of the 40 trials held in this Court, one case, that of an American named Morton, who was committed for theft, was tried by jury, and 36 cases were tried with the aid of Assessors, in all of which the decision of the Sessions Judge agreed with the opinion of the Assessors. In three cases no Assessors were appointed, as the accused confessed their guilt. With the exception of one case, the Sessions trials were all held at the head quarters of the district from which the accused were committed. The exception was a Goojranwalla case, which was tried at Lahore by Colonel Farrington.

APPEALS.

Appeals to Magistrates.

15. The number of appeals preferred to the Magistrates of the districts was—in

Lahore, ...	88
Ferozepore, ...	87
Goojranwalla, ...	113
	288

Of these appeals three were rejected; in 214 or 74 per cent the orders were confirmed; in 21 or 7 per cent modified; in 44 or 15 per cent reversed; and in 5 or two per cent returned for reinvestigation.

Average duration.

16. The average duration was—in

Lahore, ...	3 days.
Ferozepore, ...	5 do.
Goojranwalla, ...	16 do.

The Deputy Commissioner Goojranwalla intimates that the high average duration of his Court is owing to none of these appeals having been decided without an inspection of the record. The longest period an appeal was pending was in Lahore, 45 days, and in Goojranwalla 35 days. This information has not been given in the Ferozepore statement. In these appeals fines to the extent of Rs. 1,647 were ordered to be refunded, reducing the amount of compensation awarded to complainants by Rs. 255.

Working of the system of record prescribed in Book Circular 37 of 1862.

17. In the Lahore district it is intimated by the Deputy Commissioner that all Stipendiary Magistrates write autograph judgments. Sirdar Khan Singh, Honorary Magistrate, writes a final memorandum of the sentence in Goormookhee. The Lahore City Magistrates do not write autograph judgments: a brief abstract record of each case is kept by a native writer, and is signed by the City Magistrates. As this is the system introduced when the bench of these Honorary Magistrates was first established, and as the cases they take up are for the most part of the pettiest kind, the Deputy Commissioner does not consider it expedient to make any alteration in this procedure. The Deputy Commissioner Ferozepore states that the prescribed system of record is well adhered to; he particularly notices Mr. Venning's abstracts as models of method and clearness. In Goojranwalla also the system is stated to be very generally followed, and that it is only the Honorary Magistrates, Sirdar Jhunda Singh and Dewan Davee Dyal, who do not write their own decisions.

Appeals to Sessions Judge.

18. The number of appeals preferred to this Court was 277, which I find was 43 less than in the previous year. The entire number of appeals for disposal including those pending of the previous year was 286; of these, 3 were rejected; in 187 the sentences were confirmed; in 22 modified; in 52 reversed; in 5 returned for reinvestigation; and 17 appeals remained pending at the close of the year, all of which have since been disposed of. I notice that in a very large proportion of the appeals from Mr. Gouldsbury's and Fakeer Zahoóreedeen's decisions the sentences were reversed or modified. The average duration of appeals is shown to have been 24 days, which is 4 days in excess of the previous year's average. The longest period an appeal was pending was 141 days. This was a case which it was found necessary to send back no less than three times to the lower Court for further enquiry.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Security to keep the peace and security for good behaviour.

19. Statement No. IX shows that in the Lahore district at the close of the year, 26 persons were in jail in default of being able to furnish security for good behaviour, but that no persons were confined in default of recognizances or security to keep the peace.

The Ferozepore Statement shows only one person confined in default of being unable to furnish security for good behaviour, and the Goojranwalla Statement 4 persons.

The Deputy Commissioner Ferozepore remarks that the practice of taking security for good conduct seems to be on the wane, which he considers rather desirable than otherwise, as he is of opinion that the procedure is liable to arbitrary application, and in many cases of doubtful advantage.

Local nuisances.

20. In Lahore and Ferozepore 35 persons were punished for disobedience of orders in regard to removal of nuisances.

The Deputy Commissioner Ferozepore remarks that these cases in his district have resulted from his efforts to introduce cleanly habits among the villagers, and that he found on a recent tour in the district that these efforts had already produced the most marked effects.

Maintenance of wives and children.

21. Eighty-nine cases of claims to maintenance by wives and children were instituted during the year; in only 19 of these maintenance was awarded, the remainder were dismissed. It is probable that a large number of these cases entered as dismissed were withdrawn by mutual consent of the parties.

Disputes relating to possession of land.

22. There was only one case of this nature, which related to Wuzeer Khan's mosque in the city of Lahore. The dispute was afterwards settled in the Civil Court.

Fines, suspensions and dismissals of judicial ministerial officers

23. Eighteen officials were fined Rs. 42-13; none were dismissed, but two were suspended in the Lahore district.

State of record rooms.

24. The Deputy Commissioner Lahore intimates that the records are all in good order, and have been arranged by villages as in the Revenue Department from the 1st of January 1865. This arrangment he considers an excellent one, and a great improvement on the old system, and he hopes as soon as the record rooms are completed in the new kutcherry to get the records of previous years all arranged and classified in the same manner.

In Ferozepore the record rooms are stated to be in admirable order. The Deputy Commissioner Goojranwalla states that last year files of cases were kept out of the record room, on account of the fines not having been realized, and that very great delay occurred in their being lodged in the record rooms, but that now no case is allowed to remain with the Ahulmuds more than 15 days after its decision, and that the record rooms are now believed to be in fair order.

25. The Deputy Commissioner Lahore reports that he has inspected 590 out of 630 cases decided by the subordinate Stipendiary Magistrates of his district, and Sirdar Kahn Singh. A closer supervision than this over the work of the Subordinate Magistrates could not be desired.

RAWULPINDEE DIVISION.

Lieut. Col. O. J. McL. Farrington, Offg. Commissioner and Superintendent.

(Received on the 11th February 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

Proportion of cases brought to trial.

7. Total number of cases brought to trial has increased from 5,707 in 1865 to 6,284 in 1866.

* * * * * * * *

Cases disposed of.

10. Of the 6,284 cases disposed of during the year, the following is a detail showing the work done by each grade of Magistrates. :—

		Rawul Pindee.	*Jhelum.*	*Goojrat.*	*Shahpoor.*	*Total.*
Deputy Commrs.,	Sub-Judges, ..	37	25	31	25	118
	Dist. Magtes., ..	61	16	362	40	479
Magistrates, ...		1,116	421	224	447	2,208
Cantonment Magistrate, ...		263	..	..	..	263
Sub-Magistrate 1st Class, ...		100	527	120	240	987
Ditto 2nd Class, ...		976	167	689	394	2,226
Honorary Magistrates, ...		2	..	..	..	2
Custom Officers, ...		..	..	..	1	1
	Total, ..	2,555	1,156	1,426	1,147	6,284

By the above table it will be seen that the Deputy Commissioner of Goojrat disposed of a very large number of cases in his capacity as Magistrate.

Result of enquiries and trials.
Proportion of acquittals.

11. The total number of persons for disposal was 15,757—1,704 in excess of previous year. Of this number, 9,890 or 62 per cent. were convicted, 5,773 or 38 per cent. acquitted and discharged, 47 committed to the Sessions, 47 remained under trial at the close of the year.

District.		Total No. of persons brought to trial for bailable and non bailable offences.	Committed.	Convicted.	Acquitted and discharged.	Remained under trial.	Per-centage of convictions.	Per-centage of acquittals.
Rawulpindee, ...		6,509	38	4,111	2,350	10	63	36
Jhelum, ...		3,156	6	2,530	620	...	80	20
Goojrat, ...		3,061	2	1,799	1,254	6	58	42
Shahpoor, ...		3,031	1	1,450	1,549	31	48	52
Total, ...	1866	15,757	47	9,890	5,773	47	62	38
	1865	14,053	75	8,480	5,477	82	60	40

Proportion of province for 1865—62·35.

* Acquittals and discharges,	1865.	1866.
Rawulpindee, ...	38	36
Jhelum, ...	27	20
Goojrat, ...	38	42
Shahpoor, ...	49	52
	40	38

Per-centage of province, acquittals and discharges, ...	35
Ditto convictions, ...	62
	97
Committed, ...	3
	100

Acquittals and discharges of Division in 1866,	37*
Convictions in 1866, ...	62
	99
Committed, ...	1

Paras 14 and 15 of Judicial Commissioner's report for 1865.

12. The proportion of convictions in the Shahpoor district is still small, and the acquittals large, compared with that of the other districts.* There is a slight increase in the per-centage of discharges and acquittals, in the Goojrat district, while there is a decrease of 2 per cent. in Rawulpindee, 7 per cent. in the Jhelum district.

13. The Deputy Commissioner of Shahpoor thinks that the differences in the per-centage of acquittals and discharges in his district, compared with that of other districts, is attributable to the fact that all persons arrested by the Police are not in other districts shown as arrested, and are not sent up as defendants.

14. By Section 160 Criminal Procedure Code *all* arrests made by the Police should be reported to the Magistrate, and even discharges by Police under Section 153 cannot be considered as discharges till confirmed by order of the Magistrate.

15. The difference, as pointed out in Shahpoor, may arise from the Police in other districts only sending such persons against whom they have sufficient evidence, and releasing those against whom they consider the evidence insufficient for conviction.

16. This might be done under a forced construction of Section 137 Criminal Procedure Code, and such a procedure would of course be quite irregular. Captain Davies remarks at some length on this subject, and the further report promised by him has since been

received and is herewith submitted. The subject is one which I think should engage the attention of the Chief Court, with the view of its being taken up by the head of the Police Department; wherever the procedure indicated by the Deputy Commissioner of Shahpoor is found to exist, measures should be taken to ensure only the correct procedure being adopted. The subject might be epitomized, brought to the notice of the Police Department, and also instructions issued on the subject by the Chief Court to District officers. Captain Davies himself however assigns two reasons, *besides* that of a difference in construing what is strictly an *arrest*, and therefore the entire proportion of acquittals exhibited in his returns cannot be attributed to the latter cause.

Duration of enquiries and trials.

Para 12 of Judl Comr's report on the Criminal Administration for 1865, and para. 3 of Government letter.

17. During the year there have been 115 enquiries, to 79 during 1865, or an increase of 36; and 6,247 trials, to 4,349 in the preceding year. Average of the former duration has decreased from 20 to 19 days, while that of the latter has increased from 4 to 5 days.

District.		Number of enquiries.	Average duration of days.	Number of trials.	Average duration.
Rawulpindee, ...	1865	49	27	2,015	5
	1866	64	33	2,521	5
Jhelum, ...	1865	6	8	876	5
	1866	3	29	1,153	5
Goojrat, ...	1865	5	8	1,380	5
	1866	11	9	1,426	5
Shahpoor, ...	1865	19	12	1,108	3
	1866	37	4	1,145	5
Total, ...	1865	79	20	4,349	5
	1866	115	19	6,247	4
		+36	—1	+1,896	+1

Distinction between enquiries and trials.

18. The subject was not previously properly understood, as will be seen from the entries of 1864 in Appendix VIII of printed Criminal Report for 1865. From it, it will be seen that there were 379 enquiries in Jhelum and 106 in Shahpoor in 1864. Local enquiries under Sections 135 and 180 of the Criminal Procedure Code are rare; hence the figures since 1865 indicate that the distinction between enquiries and trials is understood in some districts. With reference to the results shown in the Jhelum returns, and notwithstanding the remarks of the Deputy Commissioner of that district with reference to enquiries and trials, it would appear that the subject is not yet clearly understood: the column 17 of return III would not seem to have been correctly filled up; it is not apparent how none of the contingencies named in the sections at the heading of the column as bringing certain cases under that column, can have failed to occur, and thus rendered the column blank; without any apparent cause, results quite different are exhibited in the other districts of the Division, since Rawulpindee shows 30 such cases, Goojerat 11, and Shahpoor 35 (22 of these being in one Court).

State of the Lock up houses.

19. The following statement shows the state of the lock-up houses at the close of the year.

PERSONS UNDER TRIAL AT THE CLOSE OF THE YEAR.

DISTRICT	In Custody.		On bail.	On recognizance.	Total.
	In default of bail.	For non-bailable offences.			
Rawulpindee, ...	...	...	1	9	10
Jhelum, ...	...	...	...	...	...
Goojrat, ...	...	1	4	1	6
Shahpoor, ...	1	7	15	8	31
Total, ...	1	8	20	18	47

Cases pending at the close of the year.

20. There were only 3 cases undisposed of in Rawulpindee, and 5 in Goojrat, and 18 in Shahpoor, the oldest pending case in Rawulpindee being the 19th and that of Goojerat 13th December, and in Shahpoor the 13th October. The delay in the disposal of the case dated 13th October in the Shahpoor district was caused by unavoidable difficulty in obtaining the attendance of an important witness.

21. The whole of the work instituted in the Jhelum district was disposed of.

Diary of witnesses.

15,246 witnesses, or 927 in excess of last year, attended the Courts of the four districts during the year under report; of these, 14,336 or 96 per cent. were discharged after one day's attendance, 716 or 4 per cent. after two days; 59 after 3 days; 59 after 4; 20 after 5; 13 after 6; 19 after 8; and 24 in the Shahpoor district are shown as having been discharged between the 9th and 15th day of attendance.

22. The annexed table shows the particulars of periods of attendance of witnesses.

WITNESSES DISCHARGED AFTER

	1 day.	2 days.	3 days	4 days.	5 days	6 days	8 days	9 to 15 days	Total.
Rawulpindee, ..	4,515	88	3	7	...	6	...	..	4,619
Jhelum, ...	2,444	189	16	11	2	..	...	...	2,662
Goojrat, ...	4,690	35	...	...	...		...	...	4,725
Shahpoor, ...	2,687	404	40	41	18	7	19	24	3,240
Total, ...	14,336	716	59	59	20	13	19	24	15,246

Para. 16 of Judicial Commissioner's report for 1865, and para. 4 of Govt. letter.

23. The diaries of witnesses are stated to be carefully watched and tested from time to time, but the results shown in the Goojrat district must necessarily give rise to doubt as to the correctness of the return; the Deputy Commissioner himself is not prepared to place full reliance on it; whilst the returns for Rawulpindee and Jhelum present results that may fairly be supposed to occur after calculating the various contingencies that may delay witnesses, and after at the same time allotting full credit to officers for wishing to avoid all unnecessary delay; and whilst the return of Shahpoor is explained in a manner that will be dwelt on hereafter, we have Goojrat representing the extraordinary feature of not a single witness out of 4,725 having been in attendance more than 2 days, only 35 having been detained that period, and all the rest only kept one day.

24. The Government animadverted on the long periods witnesses were detained in the Shahpoor district during 1864; and though there was an improvement in 1865, there is a perceptible falling off again in 1866, as will be seen from the following detail:—

SHAHPOOR.	1 day.	2 days.	3 days	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days.	8 days.	9 to 15 days.	16 to 23 days.	Total.
1864, ...	1,914	194	22	11	6	1	...	...	45	...	2,193
1865, ...	2,486	264	65	9	1	1	...	6	...	2	2,834
1866, ...	2,687	404	40	41	18	7	...	19	24	...	15,240

Captain Davies attributes the detention of witnesses so long in 1866 to the inattention of Mr. Walker, Assistant Commissioner. The Returns for Rawul Pindee and Jhelum appear satisfactory.

Part II.—Nature of punishments.

25. The annexed Statement shows the nature of punishments inflicted by the Magistrates during the year as compared with 1865.—

Tabular Statement showing the nature of punishments awarded to persons convicted in 1865 *and* 1866, *and the per-centage of each description of punishment on the total number convicted in each district during these years.*

	RAWUL PINDEE.				JHELUM.				GOOJRAT.				SHAHPOOR.				Per-centage of province.	
	1866.		1865.		1866.		1865.		1866.		1865.		1866.		1865.			
	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	No. of persons punished.	Per-centage.	1865.	1864.
1. Transportation, ...	7	0·17	4	0·1	2	0 8	1	0 05	...	...	6	0·3	...	...	1	0·5	0 2	0 2
2. Imprisonment with fine, ...	333	8	288	9	172	7	192	10	306	16	307	17	150	10	271	13	12 3	12 5
3 Do. do. whipping, ...	1	0·2	3	0·1	1	0·4	3	0·1	5	0 2	2	0·1	...	...	1	0·5	0·4	0·9
4 Do. do. fine and whipping,	4	0 10	5	0 2	8	0·32	2	0·1	22	1·10	19	1	15	1	24	1	0 4	2·0
5 Do. without fine or whipping,	83	2	90	3	45	2	67	3	20	1	21	1	43	3	46	2·	6 3	6 0
6. Whipping and fine, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	0 2	...	...	2	0·14	1	0·5	0·1	0·1
7. Whipping alone, ...	27	0·66	45	1	15	0·59	19	1	58	3	63	3	28	2	19	1·0	3·7	2 6
8. Fine alone, ...	2,976	72	2,083	70	2,123	84	1,496	80	1,268	70	1,098	64	1,000	69	757	38	68·8	67·2
9. Security for good behaviour, ...	94	2	95	3	79	...	10	0·5	55	3	109	6	64	4	70	3	3 0	4 2
10. Do. to keep the peace, ...	34	1	10	0·3	...	3	14	0·7	2	0·1	...	...	...	...	4	0·20	0 9	0 5
11. Recognizance to keep the peace, ...	552	14	313	10	85	3	52	2	59	3	84	4	148	10	784	39	3 8	3 8
TOTAL, ..	4,111		2,936		2,530		1,857		1,799		1,709		1,450		1,978			

Of the total number of persons, 9890, convicted—

Paras 47, 48 and 49, of Judicial Commissioner's Report, and paras. 8 and 9 of Government letter.

9 or 0·9	per cent were sentenced to transportation.	
961 or 9	per cent do	to imprisonment with fine.
7 or 0·7	per cent do.	to imprisonment with whipping,
49 or 0·5	per cent do.	to fine and whipping.
191 or 1·9	per cent do.	to imprisonment only.
6 or 0·6	per cent do.	to whipping and fine.
128 or 1·2	per cent do.	to fine alone.
284 or 2	per cent were ordered to furnish security for good conduct.	
86 or 1	per cent do.	to keep the peace.
792 or 8	per cent entered into recognizance to keep the peace.	
9,890		

Proportion of persons whipped.

Paras 51 and 52 of Criminal report and 10 of Government letter.

26. The proportion of persons whipped in the Goojrat district has not varied from what it was last year, viz. 3 per cent. In Rawulpindee and Jhelum it has fallen somewhat below 1 per cent of last year, while in Shahpoor it has risen from 1 per cent in 1865 to 2 in 1866. Compared with the per-centage (3·7) of the province for 1865, the per-centages of persons whipped in Rawulpindee and Jhelum is small.

Proportion of persons sentenced to imprisonment.

27. Compared with 1865, there is a decrease of 33 persons sentenced to imprisonment only. Of the 191 persons subjected to this punishment, 83 appertain to the Rawulpindee district, 45 to Jhelum, 10 to Goojrat and 43 to Shahpoor.

Description of imprisonment.

28. Of the total, 1,209, persons sentenced to imprisonment only, or combined with other punishments, 19 or 1 per cent were sentenced to simple imprisonment, viz :—

5 in Rawul Pindee.
9 „ Jhelum.
4 „ Goojrat.
1 „ Shahpoor.

29. Compared with 1865, the per-centage of persons fined in 1866 has risen from 70 to 72 in Rawul Pindee; from 80 to 84 in Jhelum; from 64 to 70 in Goojrat; and from 38 to 69 in Shahpoor;—yet the total amount of fines imposed during the year show a decrease of Rs. 5163 on the amount imposed in 1865, while the amounts realized and paid as compensation are much in excess. In Jhelum no less than 2,124 persons or 84 per cent were sentenced to fine alone; a large sum was ordered to be refunded on appeal, though in this certainly are included 3 cases in which the amount of fine averaged Rs. 833 in each; in Rawulpindee also a large number have been fined only.

30. The following tabular statement shows the amount of fines imposed, realized and applied in compensation during the year;—

District.	Year.	Amount of fine imposed.	Total Realized		Total realized in the year.	Applied to Compensation under section 44 C. P. Code.	
			Of amount imposed during the year.	Of amount imposed in previous year.		Ordered.	Paid.
Rawulpindee, ...	1865,	26,589	16,559	1,066	17,625	2,613	1,063
	1866,	26,580	16,866	3,585	20,451	4,801	1,833
Jhelum, ...	1865,	17,288	13,934	759	14,693	2,285	1,682
	1866,	23,584	9,486	1,118	20,604	2,670	987
Goojrat, ...	1865,	21,625	9,732	4,492	14,224	2,281	365
	1866,	18,825	9,838	4,767	14,605	1,291	1,327
Shahpoor, ...	1865,	25,211	13,373	1,771	15,144	2,479	395
	1866,	16,561	8,545	4,926	13,471	2,758	1,462
Total, ...	1865,	90,713	53,598	8,088	61,686	9,658	3,505
	1866,	85,550	54,736	14,396	79,132	11,520	5,609
		—5,163	+1,138	+6,508	+7,646	+1,862	+1,904

31. The average amount of fine imposed on each person in the Division has decreased from Rs. 19 in 1865, to Rs. 10 in 1866, while the per-centage of fines realized has increased from 59 to 64.

32. The statement given below shows the results in these respects:—

District.		Average amount of fine imposed on each person.	Per-centage of fine realized.	Per-centage of the amount ordered actually paid in compensation.
Rawul Pindee, ...	1865,	11	62	41
	1866,	8	63	38
Jhelum, ...	1865,	10	81	73
	1866,	10	82	37
Goojrat, ...	1865,	15	45	16
	1866,	11	52	10
Shahpoor, ...	1865,	24	53	16
	1866,	14	51	16
Total, ...	1865,	29	59	49
	1866,	10	64	49

The large amount of fines unrealized arises no doubt from fines being disproportionate to the means of the offender, and owing to the majority having little moveable property from which to realize by distress and sale; and, as explained by some Deputy Commissioners, there are also balances of former years.

Solitary confinement

33. Solitary confinement appears to have formed a part of sentences in which it was awardable; but the majority of convictions being by Sub-Magistrates, this punishment consequently only bears a small ratio to the number sentenced to imprisonment.

Whipping Act

34. Colonel Bristow, Deputy Commissioner Jhelum, thinks whipping should form a part of the punishment in all serious crimes, and attributes the increase in offences of adultery partly to such offences not being punishable now with whipping.

35 Major Hall, Deputy Commissioner Rawulpindee, is of opinion that whipping as administered under the Act is neither sufficient to deter casual nor reform habitual offenders; and Major Paske, Deputy Commissioner Goojrat, thinks whipping an inadequate punishment except for juvenile offenders, and that the only remedy for habitual offenders is long terms of imprisonment in jails of districts of which they are not residents. I myself consider whipping should be legalized in first offences of beastiality and attempts thereof: a sharp whipping may deter a delinquent from further similarly acquitting himself, whilst sending him to a jail may only lead to the corruption of others and give opportunities to the delinquent for further indulging his vile propensities.

Forfeiture of property

36. In two cases of murder, forfeiture of property formed part of the sentence awarded.

SESSIONS TRIALS.

Sessions trials

37. The total No. of cases for disposal was 32, while the number of persons for trial during the year was 57; of these, 31 were convicted and 14 acquitted by the Sessions Court, and 11 were referred for the orders of the Chief Court.

Average duration of trials.

38. The average duration of trials has been 43 days during the year under report, to 62 in last year.

Cases pending

39. One case only was pending at the close of the year, date of which is 3rd November, and the prisoner is on bail.

APPEALS.

Appeals to Magistrates of districts.

40. The following comparative statement shows the number of appeals from the orders of Subordinate Magistrates during the year as compared with that for 1865 :—

DISTRICRT.	Year.	Total No. of appeals for disposal.	Rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for investigation.	Average duration.	Per-centage of decisions not confirmed.	Per-centage of decisions confirmed, exclusive of those returned for re investigation.
Rawulpindee, ...	1865,	41	1	27	7	5	1	6	31 71	62 29
	1866,	29	3	11	9	6	...	2	52	48
Jhelum, ...	1865,	42	3	30	2	6	1	7	21 43	78 57
	1866,	44	4	27	4	7	2	6	29 55	70 45
Goojrat, ...	1865,	12	...	8	3	1	...	5	33 33	66 67
	1866,	71	...	27	21	16	7	1	61 97	38 3
Shahpoor, ...	1865,	21	8	10	1	...	...	4	5 26	94 74
	1866,	24	...	12	3	9	...	10	50	50
Total, ...	1865,	116	12	75	13	14	2	4	25	75
	1866,	168	7	77	37	38	9	3	50	50

It will be seen from the above that the average duration of appeals to Magistrates has been less than in 1865 in all districts excepting Shahpoor, where it has risen from 4 days in 1865, to 10 in 1866.

In Goojrat the number of appeals for disposal had been 6 times greater this year, and the per-centage of decisions confirmed is shown at the low figure of 38, whilst the orders modified are 33 per cent: this is exclusive of those returned for re-investigation.

41. The ratio of appeals to trials has decreased from 3 per cent. in 1865, to 2 in 1866 in Rawulpindee; in Jhelum from 7 to 6; in Shahpoor from 5 to 3; in Goojrat, in which district the appeals have been excessively high this year, while they were exceedingly low in the preceding one, the ratio has risen from 2 per cent to 11 per cent.

Working of the system of record.

42. The system of record is followed in the districts of the Division. The cases that have come before me during the short time I have been in this Division, appear generally to have been got up with due regard to the instructions issued on the subject.

Appeals to Sessions Appellate Court.

43. There has been a decrease in the number of appeals preferred to this Court during the year, the number instituted during 1865 being 418 to 354 in 1866. Of the total number for disposal, viz : 378, which is inclusive of 44 pending at the close of 1865—

15 or 4 per cent were rejected.
234 or 66 per cent were confirmed.
44 or 12 per cent were modified.
57 or 13 per cent were reversed.

The average duration is the same as last year, 27 days. 28 cases remained pending at the close of the year. The date of the oldest case being 22nd October 1866.

The disposal of this case was pending the receipt of further enquiry.

MISCELLANEOUS.

44. The following tabular statement shows how far Chapters XVIII and XIX Criminal Procedure Code have been enforced in the Division during the year:—

Chapter XVIII. Recognizance and security to keep the peace.

Number of persons required to enter into recognizance and give security.

			Total.
Rawulpindee, ..	552	34	586
Jhelum, ...	33	50	83
Goojrat, ...	59	2	61
Shahpoor, ...	148	..	148
Total, ..	792	86	878

No persons were in confinement on 1st January 1867 in default of security:—

Chapter XIX. Security for good behaviour.

	Security.	*Confinement in default of security.*
Rawulpindee,	91	33
Jhelum,	79	47
Goojrat,	55	20
Shahpoor,	64	54
Total, ..	292	154

45. No punishments were awarded on account of local nuisances in the districts of Goojrat and Shahpoor. Deputy Commissioner Jhelum reports that 18 cases occurred in his district, in which petty fines were inflicted, but no cases of the kind are shown in Statement No. IV.

Chapter XX. Local nuisances.

In Rawulpindee there were 31 cases, in which 41 persons were concerned; of these, 38 were punished under Section 188 of the Indian Penal Code.

46. The following statement shows the number of cases of maintenance of wives and children instituted during the year:—

Chapter XXI. Maintenance of wives and children.

District.	No. of cases instituted.	No. of cases disposed of.	
		Claim dismissed.	Cases in which maintenance was awarded.
Rawulpindee, ...	80	68	12
Jhelum, ...	27	19	8
Goojrat, ...	143	97	46
Shahpoor, ...	57	7	50
Total, ..	307	191	116

The Deputy Commissioner of Goojrat has recorded some remarks on the prevalence of such cases. Certainly his district stands out prominent in this respect. He considers that in this matter husbands require protection; that such claims are frequently instigated by designing persons, to disgust or disgrace the husband, and thus force him to give a divorce, when the instigators profit by bringing about a marriage elsewhere. He recommends that such claims should be heard in the Civil Courts. Although the number of cases in other districts s not so large, the proportion of claims disallowed in all shows that the present privilege must be considerably abused; in Rawulpindee 85, Jhelum 70·37, Goojrat 67·84, Shahpoor 12·28 per cent. were dismissed.

Chapter XXII. Disputes relating to land.

47. One case was disposed of in Rawulpindee and 2 in Goojrat during the year.

* * * * * * * *

GENERAL REMARKS.

My remarks under this head are not necessarily with reference to this district in particular, but may sometimes be made on my experience generally in the Punjab.

The Deputy Commissioner Goojrat speaks highly of the persons he has employed as Assessors, and approves of the system that gives the Magistrate the benefit of their aid in Criminal cases. I have as a general rule found them intelligent, and very independent in the way they express their opinion, to which I invariably allow them to give every freedom of expression; so long as the procedure is conducted according to law and they are not allowed to ask leading questions, they show much common sense intelligence; care is required to ensure that persons are not called upon to act more than once until all those who are liable to serve have done so.

The same officer records remarks on the advisability of incarcerating prisoners in other jails to that of the district to which they belong. There is no doubt to a certain degree to many this might make imprisonment less agreeable, but excepting in special cases the expense would be out of proportion to the advantage gained.

Major Paske also remarks on the "Budmash Registers." I have always considered that this system requires great care and incessant supervision: it is a powerful engine for evil in the hands of over zealous or unscrupulous Police subordinates; it sometimes happens that directly a case occurs the first thing is to "spot" (to use a slang but expressive term) no matter for what the so called budmash may have been previously convicted, and thus sometimes the real thief escapes. One former conviction is not unfrequently urged as a *proof* of guilt instead of a reason merely for not showing undue leniency should a conviction ensue. The lumberdars soon hear what is the acceptation of the word "budmash" by the police, and adopt it On asking if there were any budmashes in a village, I have not unfrequently been met with an answer in the affirmative, and on further pushing learnt that the party or parties alluded to had been punished for assault or quarrelling; and when once they hear a man is in the register, they neither care nor do they know how to distinguish in which he has been classified. Want of discrimination *in acting* on what is shown in these registers is destructive to the whole working of the system; it is a subject with which the head of the Police Department must deal; the Magistrate has not facilities for doing so.

DERAJAT DIVISION.

Lieut. Colonel S. F. Graham, Commissioner and Superintendent.

(Received on the 4th March 1867. *)*

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

3,638 cases have been disposed of by the Magistrates of all classes, as follows :—

			Cases.
2.	(a.)	By District Magistrates, ...	373
		„ Magistrates, ...	1,192
		„ Sub-Magistrates, 1st class, ...	51
		„ ditto, 2nd „	77
		„ Honorary Magistrates, 1st class, ...	410
		„ ditto, 2nd „	96
		„ Tehseeldars, 1st class, ...	941
		„ ditto, 2nd „	420
	(b.)	„ Deputy Commissioners as Sub-Judges under Act XV of 1862,	61
	(c.)	„ Customs Officers, ...	1
		„ Canal Officers, ...	16
		Total, ...	3,638

The above figures are very much the same as in the previous year.

(*d.*) There are no City Magistrates or Honorary Boards of City Magistrates in this Division.

3. The result of enquiries and trials shows the number of persons tried to be 7,437, of whom 4,926 have been convicted and committed, 2,508 acquitted and discharged, and 3 escaped.

This gives the proportion of acquittals and discharges to persons tried, 33 per cent, about the same as in last year.

4. The general average duration of enquiries and trials is 8 days, which is higher by one day than in 1865. The distinction between a trial and enquiry is now, I think, well understood by all the Courts.

Under orders from the Chief Court, His Honor the Lieutenant Governor's remarks, as contained in para. 3 of Secretary to Government letter No. 1018 of 13th August 1866, commenting on the Report on Criminal Justice for the year 1865, were sent for special report to District Officers, when it appeared that in the Bunnoo and Dera Ismail Khan districts the distinction had been properly observed, but not so in the Dera Ghazee Khan district, in which district however the distinction is now observed.

The lock-ups are in good order. In Dera Ghazee Khan the lock-up by a recent order of Government has been made into a jail, and a regular fixed establishment entertained.

Bail and personal recognizances are admitted whenever admissible by the law.

5. There were 57 cases pending, and 56 persons in custody, 25 on bail, and 31 on recognizance. The dates of oldest cases are—

Dera Ismail Khan, ...	22nd October 1866.
Dera Ghazee Khan, ...	11th September 1866.
Bunnoo, ...	1st December 1866.

6. The diary of witnesses gives satisfactory results, but I have always been sceptical in regard to them. I believe however that cases of undue detention are exceptional.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

7. 28 persons have been imprisoned above and below 5 years, or 37 per cent, by the Deputy Commissioners as Sub-Judges.

667 persons have been imprisoned for periods from 2 years to 6 months and under, or 89 per cent. These numbers include the combined punishments.

Ten persons have been whipped and fined, or 13 per cent. 154 persons have been whipped alone, or 20 per cent.

The sum of Rs. 39,655 was imposed on 3,613 persons, of which 69 per cent. or Rs. 27,569, was realized, shewing perhaps more discrimination than in the previous year.

Rs. 3,401-15-0 was paid in compensation.

The incidence of fines per head has been—

Dera Ismail Khan,...	Rs.	12	1	0
Dera Ghazee Khan, ...	„	15	14	7
Bunnoo, ...	„	7	2	10

The rules for solitary confinement are carefully attended to by Judicial Officers in sentences of imprisonment.

Recourse has not been had to forfeiture of property. The punishment of whipping is I believe, awarded generally with reluctance by the Magistrates, though the Act and Orders of Government leave them little option.

Six persons have been imprisoned for life.

Two persons imprisoned above 10 years and not exceeding 14 years.

Two persons imprisoned for above 7 years and not exceeding 10 years.

One ditto for 7 years with fine.

Three ditto for 5 years and below without fine or whipping.

Seventeen persons have been fined.

Twenty-four persons acquitted.

The sum of Rupees 2,000 was imposed as fines, of which Rupees 400 was realized.

SESSIONS TRIALS.

The number of persons tried is 66 in 25 cases, of whom 34 have been convicted, 24 acquitted, 7 transferred to Mooltan, and 1 died.

The average duration of trials is 74 days.

The proportion of acquittals to persons tried is 36 per cent.

4 cases were pending and 6 persons in custody at the end of the year.* Date of oldest case 14th June 1866.

No ministerial official attached to the Judicial Department was punished by dismissal.

Jail deliveries were held, in all cases, within the districts in which the crimes were committed, except in the cases transferred by order of the Chief Court for trial to Mooltan, and in all cases, with the aid of Assessors.

APPEALS (TO MAGISTRATE OF THE DISTRICT).

8. 52 cases have been appealed to the District Magistrates.

In 29 cases the order of the lower Court has been confirmed, 9 rejected, 7 modified, 6 reversed, and 1 returned for further investigation.

This gives the proportion of reversals to appeals disposed of at 11 per cent.

The proportion returned for further investigation to appeals disposed of is 1 per cent.

9. Average duration has been 26 days, and 232 days is the longest period an appeal was pending.

10. The prescribed system of record has been observed in all Courts.

Native Magistrates invariably write the evidence with their own hands.

No fines have been refunded by order of this Court.

APPEALS (TO SESSIONS JUDGE.)

127 appeals have been made to the Sessions Judge. In 94 cases the order has been confirmed, 3 modified, 12 reversed, 10 rejected, 6 returned for reinvestigation, and 2 transferred to Peshawur.

No appeals remained pending at the close of the year.

The proportion of reversals to appeals disposed of is 9 per cent.

The proportion returned for reinvestigation to appeals disposed of is 4 per cent.

The average duration has been 25 days.

MISCELLANEOUS.

11. 210 persons have entered into recognizance and security to keep the peace.

111 persons entered in security for good behaviour, 30 have been confined.

In 3 cases of local nuisance has punishment been awarded under Section 188 Criminal Procedure Code.

146 cases of maintenance of wives and children have been preferred, but only in 101 cases has maintenance been awarded.

12. The track law is in force in the three districts, but with greatest success in Dehra Ghazee Khan.

13. 5 ministerial officials attached to the judicial department have been punished, 1 by fine and 4 suspension.

14. The record rooms are in good order. In Dehra Ghazee Khan more record room has been provided.

MOOLTAN DIVISION.

W Ford Esquire, C S I, Commissioner and Superintendent

(Received on the 18th March 1867.)

2. Whilst 7,392 persons were brought to trial in this Division during 1866, 55 were committed, 4883 convicted and 2394 acquitted; 59 persons remained under trial at the close of the year; thus acquittals were 49 per cent as to convictions, thus exhibiting a very large proportion. Mr. Blyth notices the increase of bailable offences, as made up of cases of riot, harbouring offenders, causing hurt. As regards acquittals to convictions the Deputy Commissioner Jhung writes—"the proportion of acquittals to convictions (is) 25 per cent. This high per-centage is owing to 134 persons tried under Chapter XV having been acquitted in consequence of cases having been compromised."

* * * * * * * * * *

Mr. Blyth very efficiently exercised the powers conferred by Act XV of 1862: he tried 18 cases, which involved 37 persons; the Deputy Commissioner of Mooltan disposed of 5 cases, and the Deputy Commissioner of Moozuffurgurh 11 cases. Major Ferris, Deputy Commissioner of Jhung, has not been vested with these powers, which causes a great pressure on the Sessions Judge;—since, having five districts under him, it is not always possible, taking climate and distance into account, to dispose of cases as promptly as is desirable.

4. The Deputy Commissioner of Mooltan and also the Deputy Commissioner of Montgomery state that the distinction between enquiry and trial is fully understood; and Mr. Blyth, in para 3 of his report, enters fully into this question.

5. The duration of cases in this Division is as follows:—

DISTRICT.	No. of cases.	No. of days taken in disposal.	Average duration.	Date of oldest pending case.
Mooltan, ...	1,160	7,278	6	3rd November 1866.
Jhung, ...	800	8,070	10	4th October 1866.
Montgomery, ...	1,029	4,329	4	24th October 1866.
Moozuffurgurh, ...	664	3,515	5	20th Novr. 1866
Total, ..	3,653	23,192	6	

Thus the average for the Division is 6 days: the highest average is observable in Jhung the lowest in the Montgomery district. There were no cases of any considerable standing pending in the Courts of this Division at the close of the year.

6. The number of witnesses who attended the Courts of this Division during 1866 was 12,511, thus placing the population of this Division at 1·17 per cent if the whole population were called on to give evidence in Criminal cases. The longest period that witnesses were detained was 16 days: this is the result obtained in the Mooltan district; the shortest period of attendance of witnesses is observable in the Jhung district: it is 4 days; Montgomery shows 5 days and Moozuffurgurh 6 days.

7. Both Mr. Blyth and Major Ferris think unfavorably of the Whipping Act. But Mr. Blyth adds as a result observable from his jail register that "it is apparent from the jail register that those offenders who had been flogged and released in past years have seldom offended again. Out of 50 reconvicted persons confined in jail at the end of the year (who had received stripes), only 2 were found to have been whipped, while 48 were found to have been whipped and imprisoned on the previous occasions."

8. In the Montgomery district the amount of fines imposed was Rs. 22,859, or nearly double the amount imposed in 1865, when the sum was 12,153 rupees. Of the sum imposed in 1866, 73 per cent was realized. In the Mooltan district Rs. 14,590-13-0 were imposed, 9,319-5-7 were realized or 64 per cent. In Jhung Rs. 15,212 were imposed, 3717 or 24 per cent were realized, which proves either an injudicious imposition of fine in the first instance or a careless exercise of the duty of realization subsequently. The Deputy Commissioner of Moozuffurgurh writes—"a very large proportion of the fines was realized, viz: 7310 rupees."

9. I observe that 104 appeals were preferred to Magistrates of this Division, and that the average duration of appeals was highest in Jhung 15 days, lowest in Montgomery being only 1 day, 4 days in Moozuffurgurh, 8 in Mooltan; the average for the Division is 8 days.

10. Of 369 appeals preferred to the Sessions Judge, 81 were rejected, 229 orders confirmed, 29 orders modified, 17 orders reversed; 13 appeals were pending at the close of the year; and the average duration of appeals was fifteen days. The result of appeal trials is favorable to Magistrates of this Division.

11. Of persons committed to the Sessions Courts—

Referred to Sudder Court,	7
Convicted, ...	24
Acquitted, ..	13
Transferred,	2
Under trial,	19
Total,	65

The cases under trial at the close of the year have since been disposed of. The result is fairly satisfactory; cases are I think committed with a good deal of care and attention by Magistrates.

On the whole, the result of Criminal Justice for this division during the past year may be considered as satisfactory: officers have a very difficult task to perform in administering law to the wild plundering people of this Division, to which the work of the Sessions cases of Dera Ghazee Khan has been added.

PESHAWUR DIVISION.

Major F R Pollock, Commissioner and Superintendent.

(Received on the 16th February 1867.)

TRIAL AND DISPOSAL OF CASES.

Amount of work done by Magistrates &c.

The following abstract shews the amount of work done by each grade of officers :—

GRADE.	PESHAWUR.	HUZARA.	KOHAT.
Sub-Judge, ...	124	42	7
Magistrate 1st Class, ...	1,179	214	240
Judge Small Cause Court, ...	305	"	"
Cantonment Magistrate, ...	130	"	"
Honorary do.	8	"	216
Sub-Magistrate 1st Class, ...	"	345	137
Do. 2nd Class, ...	827	3	"
TOTAL, ...	2,573	604	600

From this abstract it will be seen, that 173 cases were disposed of by the Deputy Commissioners in their capacity of Sub-Judges, or 16 in excess of the previous year.

Work done by the Sub-Judge

A large amount of work has fallen to the share of the Sub-Judge Mr. Macnabb, especially, when it is considered that his other duties connected with the management of the Peshawur border generally are onerous, and of a nature to interfere frequently with his ordinary judicial work.

Work performed by Small Cause Court Judge.

The Judge of the Small Cause Court performed a fair share of the Criminal work, having disposed of 305 cases, notwithstanding that his Civil duties are not light.

Work done by Honorary Magistrates.

In the Court of Syud Ayaoodeen, Honorary Magistrate, only 8 cases have been disposed of; but he was only 8 months present at Peshawur. The work in Huzara and Kohat has not been heavy, and no further remark under this heading appears necessary.

Result of enquiries and trials.

The number of persons for disposal was as follows :—

Peshawur, ...	5,905
Huzara, ...	1,524
Kohat, ...	1,417
Total,	8,846, or 1605 less than in

1865. Of this number, 138 were committed to the Sessions, 5,274 or 67 per cent were

convicted, 3,259 or 40 per cent acquitted and discharged, and 57 cases remained undisposed of at the close of the year.

Duration of enquiries and trials, &c.

In Peshawur the average duration of each trial was 5 days, in Huzara 8, and in Kohat 11 days.

This is rather high; but, as the Deputy Commissioner of Peshawur observes, the crimes which form the subject of trials are of a very grave character, and it is of importance that justice should not miscarry through undue haste in the proceedings. I believe the average to be as low as possible: every officer has experienced unusual difficulty in dealing with the Criminal cases of this frontier, and it is only by great patience and diligence that justice can be meted out, and offenders punished. The Deputy Commissioner also notices, that when parties from foreign territory are concerned, the enquiries became protracted.

State of Lock-ups.

The Deputy Commissioners of Peshawur and Huzara report, that the lock-ups in their districts are suitable and equal to requirements. That of Abbottabad has been enlarged, and prisoners are forwarded periodically to jail at Rawulpindee.

Bail and personal recognizance.

The Deputy Commissioners of Peshawur and Huzara remark, that bail and personal securities are taken in all cases where it is legal and expedient to do so. The subject has not been noticed by the Deputy Commissioner Kohat.

Number of cases pending at close of the year.

The number of cases pending at the close of the year was as under:—

Peshawur,	...	..	42
Huzara,	..	..	6
Kohat,	..	..	9
		Total,	57

The oldest case pending was one instituted in the Peshawur district on 16th January 1866, and is attributable to the fact that the Deputy Commissioner was unable to devote sufficient time to enable him to dispose of it satisfactorily.

Diary of witnesses.

The diary of witnesses, shews that out of 9,144, none remained undischarged at the close of the year, and that 6 days was the longest time any witness was detained.

NATURE OF PUNISHMENTS.

One person has been sentenced to transportation by the Sub-Judge of Peshawur, 417 persons to imprisonment with fine, 10 to ditto with whipping, 8 to imprisonment with fine and whipping, 445 imprisonment alone, 158 to whipping alone, and 3,372 have been fined; besides the above, 346 persons were ordered to enter into recognizance to keep the peace, and in default to undergo a term of one year or six months imprisonment.

Fines aggregating Rs. 44,051 were imposed on 3,793 persons, of which Rs. 30,408 (including Rs. 3,606 realized from fines imposed last year) or 69 per cent was realized. Of a sum of Rs. 9,878 adjudged to be given in compensation, under section 44 Criminal Procedure Code, Rs. 4,193 or 42 per cent has been paid.

In Peshawur, solitary confinement was made to form a part of every sentence to rigorous imprisonment, and in the districts of Huzara and Kohat, it has formed a part of the sentence in most cases of convictions for serious offences. No property has been forfeited, Whipping has been resorted to in all 3 districts, but chiefly in cases of theft. I think it less suited to this Division than most. A Puthan as a rule would prefer a whipping to a sentence of imprisonment, and the former would not prevent his committing a crime, while imprisonment is most irksome and much dreaded by him.

SESSIONS TRIALS.

There were 40 cases, involving 84 persons, committed to the Sessions Court during the past year, to which should be added 18 cases with 28 persons remaining undisposed of at the close of 1865, or in all 58 cases in 112 persons. Of these, 5 were referred to Sudder Court, 51 were convicted, and 27 acquitted, 1 transferred, thus leaving for disposal at the close of the year 16 cases with 28 persons. Of these cases, 3 relate to a noted bravo of Kohat, Ammeeroolla, now in confinement at Rawulpindee; 4 have been tried by Mr. Macnabb, and judgments have yet to be recorded; 9 remain undisposed of—8 at Peshawur, and 1 at Abbottabad. The average duration of each trial was 208 days, and the date of oldest case pending 20th November 1865.

Character and efficiency of assessors in general.

In Peshawur and Huzara, the assessors are intelligent and independent; at Kohat there are few men to be found capable of discharging the duty of assessors satisfactorily.

APPEALS TO MAGISTRATE OF DISTRICT.

There were only 33 appeals preferred to Deputy Commissioners from the orders of their subordinate Magistrates; of these, 9 were rejected, 14 confirmed, 2 modified, 3 reversed, and 2 returned for reinvestigation, leaving 3 cases pending at the close of the year. The per-centage of decisions not confirmed is 30 per cent, and the average duration of each appeal was 10 days.

Working of the system of record &c.

The Deputy Commissioners of Huzara and Kohat remark that the English record is carried out in conformity with provisions of Circular 37 of 1862, in both enquiries and trials. The subject has not been noticed by the Deputy Commissioner Peshawur; the rules however are conformed to. In Huzara the Native Magistrate generally writes autograph memos of evidence and judgments. In Kohat the Honorary Magistrate of Khuttuk is unable to write, and the Tehseeldar of Hungoo has not Magisterial powers.

APPEALS TO SESSIONS COURT.

There were pending at the close of 1865, 15 appeals, and 428 were preferred during the past year, making a total of 443 for disposal.

Of these, 27 were rejected, 273 confirmed, 62 modified, 34 reversed, and 16 returned for reinvestigation, leaving 31 pending at the close of 1866.

The average duration of each appeal was 16 days, and the per-centage of decisions not confirmed to appeals tried was 27, the per-centage of appeals rejected 6, and the greatest number of days any case had been pending was 173, the date of oldest case pending was 12th July 1866: it has been disposed of.

The system of record prescribed by Circular 37 of 1862 in both enquiries and trials works well.

MISCELLANEOUS.

There were 7 persons confined in default of their being able to furnish security to keep the peace; and in the Peshawur district alone, 79 men were imprisoned, being unable to furnish security for good conduct when called on to do so. Disputes regarding maintenance of wives and children, are generally decided in accordance with the customs of the country, as stated by the Deputy Commissioner of Peshawur under this head. In the Peshawur district, 8 Ministerial officers were fined Rs. 33.

In Huzara 2 were fined, 25 Rs, and one man was dismissed for overstaying his leave.

In Kohat 5 Judicial officials have been fined, in a sum aggregating Rs. 43, for neglect of duty and disobedience of orders.

The Deputy Commissioners report that the record rooms in their several districts are in good order; more accommodation will be required soon at Kohat, when it will probably answer best to build an upper storey to the kutcherry, which is a massive building.

* * * * *

GENERAL REMARKS.

I am satisfied that the increase of crime in Peshawur is apparent, not real; certainly in the city, and cantonment of Peshawur, and vicinity, there is greater security of life and property than there has ever been; and that our returns shew a greater number of cases indicates that greater attention is paid to recording correctly all cases, that have been ascertained to have occurred.

APPENDICES.

Appendix No. I.

COMPARATIVE GENERAL STATEMENT OF TRIALS.

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
				No. of cases brought to trial.			No. of persons brought to trial.						Disposed of on trial.												Pending on 31st December.				
									Apprehended during the year.				Persons committed to the Sessions for trial.			Persons convicted.			Persons acquitted and discharged.							Persons.			
Number.	Division.	District.		Not bailable.	Bailable.	Total	Under examination on 1st Janv	Received by transfer.	Not bailable.	Bailable.	Total.	Total number of persons brought to trial.	Not bailable offences.	Bailable offences.	Total.	Of not bailable offences.	Of bailable offences.	Total.	Of not bailable offences.	Of bailable offences.	Total.	No. of persons who died.	No. of persons who escaped.	No. of persons transferred.	Cases.	In custody.	On bail.	On recognizance.	Date of oldest case pending.
1	DELHI.	Delhie,	1865,	529	906	1.435	4	1	742	1,912	2,654	2,659	9	1	10	493	964	1,457	231	944	1,175	1	...	7	8	6	3	...	2nd Decr.
			1866,	532	1,125	1,657	9	2	831	2,338	3,169	3,180	21	5	26	486	1,162	1,648	323	1,170	1,493	1	...	1	8	8	3	...	25th Sept.
2		Goorgaon,	1865	285	838	1,123	18	3	479	2,133	2,612	2,633	9	...	9	304	1,749	2,053	161	385	546	...	...	13	8	7	2	3	14th Decr.
			1866,	291	1,047	1,338	12	..	500	2,976	3,476	3,488	12	...	12	294	2,512	2,806	185	469	654	...	...	2	8	12	1	1	26th Novr.
3		Kurnaul,	1865,	412	1,255	1.667	15	7	652	2.727	3,379	3,401	11	5	14	318	1,620	1,938	318	1,103	1,421	...	1	15	7	4	4	4	27th Octr.
			1866,	434	1,190	1,624	12	1	703	2,627	3,330	3,343	9	...	9	313	1,501	1,814	364	1,113	1,477	...	1	36	6	3	2	1	30th Novr.
		Total,	1865.	1.226	2,999	4.225	37	11	1,873	6.772	8,645	8,693	29	4	33	1,115	4,333	5,448	710	2,432	3,142	1	1	35	23	17	9	7	...
			1866,	1,257	3,362	4,619	33	3	2,034	7,941	9.975	10,011	42	5	47	1,093	5,175	6,268	872	2,752	3,624	1	1	39	22	23	6	2	...
		Difference.		+31	+363	+4	—4	—8	+16 1	+1.169	+1,330	+1,318	+13	+1	+14	—22	+842	+820	+162	+320	+482	...	...	+4	—1	+6	—3	—5	...
4	HISSAR.	Hissar,	1865,	296	493	789	1	34	543	969	1.512	1,547	13	...	13	335	645	980	194	353	547	...	...	...	5	5	1	1	2nd Decr.
			1866,	240	632	872	7	24	433	1,421	1,854	1,885	5	4	9	281	945	1,226	147	490	637	...	...	1	6	7	...	5	10th Decr.
5		Rohtuck,	1865,	250	492	742	5	4	411	1742	1,553	1,562	6	...	6	252	825	1,077	155	316	471	1	1	1	2	5	...	...	27th Novr.
			1866.	176	516	692	5	1	295	1,367	1.662	1,668	8	3	11	185	902	1,087	78	463	541	1	3	2	5	18	5	...	19th Septr.
6		Sirsa.	1865.	328	506	834	7	3	496	1,040	1,536	1,546	7	5	12	248	615	863	232	414	646	...	...	16	7	3	4	2	14th Decr.
			1866,	225	541	766	9	8	340	1.105	1,445	1,462	8	1	9	155	596	751	139	504	643	2	3	33	16	9	8	4	28th Novr.
		Total.	1866.	868	1,491	2,359	13	41	1.450	3.151	4,601	4,655	26	5	31	835	2,085	2,920	581	1,083	1,664	1	1	17	14	13	5	3	...
			1866,	641	1.689	2,330	21	33	1,068	3,893	4,961	5.015	21	8	29	621	2,443	3,064	364	1,457	1.821	3	6	36	27	34	13	9	...
		Difference,		-227	+198	—29	+8	—8	—382	+742	+360	+360	—5	+3	—2	—214	+358	+144	—217	+374	+157	+2	+5	+19	+13	+21	+8	+6	...
7	UMBALLA.	Umballa.	1865,	488	1,064	1,552	4	...	888	2,169	3.057	3,061	29	...	29	465	1,283	1,748	353	887	1,240	...	...	...	16	44	...	...	20th Feby.
			1866,	492	1,302	1,794	44	...	725	2,682	3,407	3.451	15	...	15	501	1,557	2,058	239	1,126	1,365	...	...	...	3	11	2	...	12th July.
8		Loodiana,	1865.	352	1.090	1.442	16	25	518	2,147	2,692	2,733	10	...	10	303	1,195	1,498	231	980	1.211	...	1	7	4	1	5	...	15th Decr.
			1866,	350	1 100	1.450	6	...	530	2,732	3.262	3,268	3	..	3	357	1,545	1,902	169	1,186	1,355	...	3	3	2	1	1	...	18th Decr.
9		Simla,	1865,	150	335	485	...	..	251	571	822	822	..	2	2	191	453	644	56	116	172	...	...	...	1	4	...	...	12th Decr.
			1866,	104	282	386	4	...	163	469	632	636	2	...	2	138	355	493	26	113	139	...	..		2	1	...	1	21st Decr.
		Total,	1865.	990	2.489	3,479	20	25	1,657	4.914	6,571	6,616	39	2	41	959	2,931	3.890	640	1,983	2,623	...	1	7	21	49	5	...	...
			1866,	946	2,684	3,630	54	...	1,418	5,883	7.301	7,355	20		20	996	3,457	4,453	434	2,425	2,859	..	3	3	7	13	3	1	...
		Difference,		—44	+195	+151	+34	—25	—239	+969	+730	+739	—19	—2	—21	+37	+526	+563	—206	+442	+236	...	+2	—4	—14	—36	—2	+1	...

10	JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur,	1865,	373	939	1,312	19	13	564	2,178	2,742	2,774	12	3	15	338	1,336	1,674	213	839	1,052	.	...	23	8	10	..	...	27th Novr.
			1866,	351	1,045	1,396	10	6	605	2,205	2,810	2,826	16	11	27	329	1,151	1,480	248	1,048	1,296	1	...	20	2	...	2	...	16th Novr.
11		Hooshiarpoor,	1865,	428	1,106	1,534	27	1	718	1,966	2,684	2,712	18	4	22	588	1,384	1,972	118	581	699	1	1	6	6	...	...	11	13th Decr.
			1866,	359	1,067	1,426	11	...	497	2,840	3,337	3,348	8	...	8	350	2,135	2,485	149	692	811	...	...	10	7	10	1	23	13th Decr.
12		Kangra,	1865,	382	2,195	2,577	35	2	610	5,024	5,634	5,671	3	..	3	402	3,025	3,4[illegible]	203	2,023	2,226	...	...	2	32	7	...	6	19th Novr.
			1866,	313	1,852	2,165	13	4	491	4,115	4,606	4,623	8	6	14	315	2,305	2,620	171	1,775	1,946	1	2	3	29	6	5	26	24th Septr.
		Total,	1865,	1,183	4,240	5,423	81	16	1,892	9,168	11,060	11,157	33	7	40	1,328	5,745	7,073	534	3,443	3,977	1	1	31	46	17	...	17	...
			1866,	1,023	3,964	4,987	34	10	1,593	9,160	10,753	10,797	32	17	49	994	5,591	6,585	538	3,515	4,053	2	2	33	38	16	8	49	...
		Difference,		—160	—276	—436	—47	—6	—299	—8	—307	—360	—1	+10	+9	—334	—154	—488	+4	+72	+76	+1	+1	+2	—8	—1	+8	+32	...
13	UMRITSUR.	Umritsur,	1865,	1,034	2,761	3,795	14	6	1,514	7,032	8,546	8,566	32	4	36	888	5,526	6,414	514	1,495	2,009	3	2	61	26	20	16	5	13th Octr.
			1866,	969	3,219	4,188	41	43	1,453	8,465	9,918	10,002	38	13	51	872	6,297	7,169	558	2,148	2,706	...	1	17	27	18	19	21	17th Augt.
14		Goordaspoor,	1865,	498	992	1,480	11	2	733	1,823	2,556	2,569	1	...	1	382	760	1,142	306	1,064	1,370	..	...	35	12	11	10	.	22nd Novr.
			1866,	381	1,197	1,578	21	5	522	2,408	2,930	2,956	3	..	3	357	927	1,284	153	1,474	1,627	...	...	13	20	16	5	8	28th Novr.
15		Sealkote,	1865,	301	941	1,242	23	7	471	2,205	2,676	2,706	6	1	7	311	1,421	1,732	136	798	934	1	1	28	2	...	2	1	16th Decr.
			1866,	249	974	1,223	3		413	2,336	2,749	2,752	1	..	1	302	1,449	1,751	100	860	960	.	.	10	11	1	29	...	23rd Novr.
		Total,	1865,	1,823	4,694	6,517	48	15	2,718	11,060	13,778	13,841	39	5	44	1,581	7,707	9,288	956	3,357	4,313	4	.	124	40	31	28	6	...
			1866,	1,599	5,390	6,989	65	48	2,388	13,209	15,597	15,710	42	13	55	1,531	8,673	10,204	811	4,482	5,293	...	1	40	53	35	53	29	.
		Difference,		—224	+696	+472	+17	+33	—330	+2,149	+1,819	+1,869	+3	+8	+11	—50	+966	+916	—145	+1,025	+970	—4	+1	—84	+18	+4	+25	+23	...
16	LAHORE.	Lahore,	1865,	1,081	2,143	3,224	16	7	1,615	4,042	5,657	5,680	35	5	40	1,126	3,165	4,291	431	874	1,305	...	...	39	5	4	1	...	19th Decr.
			1866,	994	2,600	3,594	5	7	1,517	7,149	8,666	8,678	59	4	63	1,049	6,133	7,182	371	1,009	1,380	...	...	23	13	10	11	9	18th Novr.
17		Ferozepoor,	1865,	489	854	1,343	4	...	775	1,948	2,723	2,727	7	...	7	463	1,370	1,833	291	579	870	1	1	11	3	3	...	1	23rd Novr.
			1866,	499	1,000	1,499	4	..	784	2,004	2,788	2,792	14	.	14	488	1,175	1,663	263	825	1,088	.	1	15	7	7	3	1	13th Novr.
18		Goojranwalla,	1865,	472	1,227	1,699	43	11	836	2,413	3,249	3,304	14	.	14	465	1,253	1,718	362	1,168	1,530	2	...	4	11	4	31	1	3rd Novr.
			1866,	391	1,032	1,423	36	3	633	2,213	2,846	2,885	5	.	5	412	988	1,400	233	1,240	1,473	...	...	1	4	.	4	2	23rd Novr.
		Total,	1865,	2,042	4,224	6,266	64	18	3,226	8,403	11,629	11,711	56	5	61	2,054	5,788	7,842	1,084	2,621	3,705	3	1	54	19	11	32	2	...
			1866,	1,884	4,632	6,516	45	10	2,934	11,366	14,300	14,355	78	4	82	1,949	8,296	10,245	867	3,074	3,941	...	1	39	24	17	18	12	...
		Difference,		—158	+408	+250	—19	—8	—292	+2,963	+2,671	+2,644	+22	—1	+21	—105	+2,508	+2,403	—217	+453	+236	—3	...	—15	+5	+6	—14	+10	...
19	RAWUL PINDEE.	Rawul Pindee,	1865,	383	1,689	2,072	23	2	633	4,175	4,808	4,833	51	5	56	351	2,585	2,936	226	1,579	1,805	1	...	.	11	23	1	20	21st Octr.
			1866,	375	2,234	2,609	34		582	6,017	6,599	6,633	35	5	40	353	3,807	4,160	217	2,205	2,422	...	...	1	3	...	1	9	21st Decr
20		Jhelum,	1865,	222	672	894	12	...	368	2,224	2,592	2,604	14	...	14	220	1,637	1,857	132	580	712	...	1	...	7	3	1	16	1st Decr.
			1866,	250	912	1,162	20	...	396	2,783	3,179	3,199	5	1	6	258	2,278	2,536	137	520	657	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
21		Goojerat,	1865,	396	1,001	1,397	6	...	594	2,071	2,665	2,671	5	...	5	407	1,302	1,709	253	758	1,011	...	...	2	12	18	10	...	2nd Decr.
			1866,	444	952	1,396	19	..	731	2,311	3,042	3,061	2	...	2	409	1,390	1,799	335	919	1,254	...		..	5	1	4	1	13th Decr.
22		Shahpoor,	1865,	465	914	1,379	5	11	647	3,282	3,929	3,945	...	...	..	221	1,757	1,978	422	1,527	1,949	..	8	...	5	9	1	...	24th Novr.
			1866,	300	962	1,262	10	14	418	2,712	3,130	3,154	1	...	1	189	1,278	1,467	243	1,410	1,653	.	1	1	18	8	15	8	15th Octr.
		Total,	1865,	1,466	4,276	5,742	46	13	2,242	11,752	13,994	14,053	70	5	75	1,199	7,281	8,480	1,033	4,444	5,477	1	9	2	35	53	13	26	...
			1866,	1,369	5,060	6,429	83	14	2,127	13,823	15,950	16,047	43	6	49	1,209	8,753	9,962	932	5,054	5,986	..	1	2	26	9	20	18	...
		Difference,		—97	+784	+687	+37	+1	—115	+2,071	+1,956	+1,994	—27	+1	—26	+10	+1,472	+1,482	—101	+610	+509	—1	—8	.	—9	—44	+7	—8	...

Appendix No. I — *Concluded.*

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
				No. of cases brought to trial			No. of persons brought to trial.						Disposed of on trial.												Pending on 31st December.				
									Apprehended during the year.				Persons committed to the Sessions for trial.			Persons convicted.			Persons acquitted and discharged.							Persons.			
Number	Division	District.		Not bailable.	Bailable.	Total.	Under examination on 1st January.	Received by transfer.	Not bailable	Bailable.	Total.	Total number of persons brought to trial.	Not bailable offences.	Bailable offences.	Total.	Of not bailable offences.	Of bailable offences.	Total.	Of not bailable offences.	Of bailable offences.	Total.	No. of persons who died.	No. of persons who escaped.	No. of persons transferred.	Cases.	In custody.	On bail.	On recognizance.	Date of oldest case pending.
23	DERAJAT.	Bunnoo,	1865,	307	878	1,185	26		581	2,353	2,934	2,960	26		26	357	1,831	2,188	193	540	733	..	.	.	7	13			11th Novr.
			1866,	224	889	1,113	13		377	2,811	3,188	3,201	36	..	36	168	1,925	2,093	181	883	1,064	...	.	..	5	2	3	3	1st Decr.
24		Dera I. Khan,	1865,	432	497	929	6		641	1.134	1,775	1,781	8	19	27	363	684	1.047	340	425	765	..	..	...	16	8	3	8	22nd Septr.
			1866,	447	597	1,044	19	...	738	1,451	2,189	2,208	13		13	321	957	1.278	393	475	868		.		19	12	6	31	22nd Octr.
25		Dera G. Khan,	1865,	542	711	1,253	17	...	1,007	1,390	2,397	2,414	33	.	33	595	914	1.509	36	476	836		5	.	19	13	18	...	2nd Octr.
			1866,	686	704	1,390	31	..	1,255	1,243	2,498	2,529	26	2	28	675	811	1,486	524	433	957	...	3	..	33	39	16	..	11th Septr.
		Total,	1865,	1,281	2,086	3,367	49	.	2,229	4.877	7,106	7 155	67	19	86	1,315	3,429	4.744	893	1,441	2.334	.	5	.	42	34	21	8	
			1866,	1,357	2,190	3.547	63	.	2,370	5,505	7,875	7,938	75	2	77	1,164	3.693	4.857	1,098	1,791	2,889		3		57	53	25	34	
		Difference,		+76	+104	+180	+14		+141	+628	+769	+783	+8	—17	—9	—151	+264	+113	+205	+350	+555		—2		+15	+19	+4	+26	...
26	MOOLTAN.	Mooltan,	1865,	491	488	979	8	4	749	1,123	1,872	1,884	4	4	8	538	653	1,191	197	467	664	2	2	2	10	13	2	.	25th Octr.
			1866,	541	674	1.215	15	...	855	1,541	2,396	2,411	13	4	17	571	876	1,447	269	660	929	..		1	9	14		3	3rd Novr.
27		Jhung,	1865,	269	457	726	23	..	432	1,074	1,506	1,529	5	1	6	288	862	1.150	124	216	340		...	9	12	11	13	.	14th Octr.
			1866,	371	473	844	24	...	606	1,183	1.789	1,813	18	5	23	355	788	1,143	217	397	614	.	.	7	15	23	.	3	4th Octr.
28		Montgomry,	1865,	407	444	851	6	6	657	880	1 537	1,548	1	.	1	403	692	1,095	255	186	441	...	1	1	6	7	2	...	26th Decr.
			1866.	469	599	1,068	9		780	1,362	2,142	2,151	10	2	12	517	985	1,502	254	374	628	.	2	4	2	3	...		24th Decr.
29		Mozuffergurh,	1865,	253	295	548	7	.	351	479	830	837	19	2	21	226	341	567	108	136	244	.	2	.	2	3	.		16th Decr.
			1866.	254	427	681	3	.	396	825	1,221	1,224	3		3	243	600	843	141	224	365	..		...	5	13		.	20th Novr.
		Total,	1865,	1,420	1,684	3,104	44	9	2,189	3,556	5,745	5,798	29	7	36	1.455	2,548	4,003	684	1,005	1,689	2	5	12	30	34	17	..	...
			1866,	1,635	2,173	3,808	51		2,637	4,911	7,548	7,599	44	11	55	1,686	3,249	4,935	881	1.655	2,536		2	12	31	53	...	6	...
		Difference,		+215	+489	+704	+7	—9	+448	+1,355	+1,803	+1,801	+15	+4	+19	+231	+701	+932	+197	+650	+847	—2	—3		+1	+19	—17	+6	.
30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur,	1865,	776	1,580	2,356	84	..	1,258	3,846	5,104	5,188	53	...	53	634	2,596	3,230	574	1,251	1.825	1	..		28	30	49	.	19th Septr.
			1866,	1,007	1,645	2,652	79	...	1,710	4,117	5,827	5,906	100	..	100	698	2,845	3.543	864	1,265	2,129	1	5		42	76	5	47	16th Jany.
31		Kohat,	1865,	206	434	640	5	...	319	1,137	1,456	1,461	16	..	16	131	679	810	172	455	627	1	2	2	3	3		..	12th Octr.
			1866,	181	437	618	3	..	301	1,113	1,414	1,417	25	..	25	128	604	732	141	508	649		.	2	9	8	1	..	5th Novr.
32		Huzara,	1865,	207	738	945	19	...	334	1,478	1,812	1,831	20	1	21	210	785	995	108	703	811		1	.	2	1	2	...	12th Decr.
			1866,	148	484	632	3	...	253	1,272	1,525	1,528	13	.	13	135	865	1,000	98	387	485	.			6	9	..	21	28th Novr.
		Total,	1865,	1,189	2,752	3,941	108	...	1, 11	6,461	8,372	8,480	89	1	90	975	4,060	5,035	854	2,409	3,263	2	3	2	33	34	51	...	..
			1866,	1,336	2,566	3.902	85	...	2,264	6,502	8,766	8,851	138		138	961	4,314	5,275	1,103	2,160	3.263	1	5	2	57	93	6	68	..
		Difference,		+147	—186	—39	—23	...	+353	+41	+394	+371	+49	—1	+48	—14	+254	+240	+249	—249		—1	+2	.	+24	+59	—45	+68	
		Grand Total	1865,	13,489	30 935	44.423	510	148	21,387	70,114	91,501	91,159	477	60	537	12,816	45,907	58,723	7,969	24,218	32,187	15	30	284	303	293	181	58	...
			1866,	13,047	33,710	46.757	534	118	20,638	82.193	1,03,026	1.03.678	535	66	601	12,204	53,644	65,848	7.900	28.365	36,265	7	25	206	347	346	152	228	...
		Difference,		—441	+2,775	+2,334	+24	—30	—554	+12.079	+11 525	+12,519	+58	+6	+64	—612	+7,737	+7,125	—69	+4.147	+4,078	—8	—5	—78	+44	+53	—29	+170	...

Appendix No. II.

COMPARATIVE GENERAL STATEMENT FOR SESSIONS COURTS, AND FOR THE CHIEF COURT AS A COURT OF REFERENCE, FOR THE YEAR 1866.

1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
			SESSIONS COURTS.														
			FOR DISPOSAL.						DISPOSED OF.								
			Pending on 1st Jany. 1866.		Committed during 1866.		Total.		Persons. Convicted.		Persons.		Total.		Under trial on 31st Decr. 1866.		
Division.	DISTRICT.		Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	And sentenced to death subject to confirmation of Chief Court.	Others.	Acquitted.	Died, escaped or transferred.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Date of oldest case pending.
DELHI	Delhi,	1865.	...	10	...	12	...	22	1	10	11	...	...	22	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	14	29	14	29	2	13	13	...	13	28	1	1	24th October,
	Goorgaon,	1865.	...	...	...	9	...	9	5	2	...	...	...	7	...	2	... ...
		1866.	1	2	6	12	7	14	...	10	3	...	6	13	1	1	30th Octr. (since disposed of)
	Kurnaul,	1865.	...	1	...	15	...	16	1	10	5	...	...	16	...	...	... ...
		1866.	...	...	6	9	4	9	4	4	...	1	4	9	...	...	... ...
	Total,	1865.	...	11	...	36	...	47	7	22	16	...	...	45	...	2	... ...
		1866.	1	2	24	50	25	52	6	27	16	1	23	50	2	2	... ...
	Difference,		...	−9	...	+14	...	+5	−1	+5	...	+1	...	+5	...	...	... ...
HISSAR	Hissar,	1865.	...	...	...	13	...	13	1	9	3	...	...	13	...	...	... ...
		1866.	...	...	7	10	7	10	...	5	5	...	7	10	...	...	... ...
	Rohtuck,	1865.	...	...	...	6	...	6	2	3	1	...	...	6	...	...	... ...
		1866.	...	...	9	12	9	12	...	12	...	...	9	12	...	...	... ...
	Sirsa,	1865.	...	...	...	12	...	12	...	11	1	...	...	12	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	5	9	5	9	...	4	1	...	4	5	1	4	15th October,
	Total,	1865.	...	...	...	31	...	31	3	23	5	...	...	31	...	...	... ...
		1866.	...	...	21	31	21	31	...	21	6	...	20	27	1	4	... ...
	Difference.		...	...	...	...	...	...	−3	−2	+1	...	...	−4	...	+4	... ...

1	2		18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
			CHIEF COURT.															
			FOR DISPOSAL.						DISPOSED OF.									
			Pending on 1st Jany 1866.		Received during 1866.		Total.		Persons. Sentence of death.				Total.		Pending on 31st Decr. 1866.			
Division.	DISTRICT.		Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Acquitted.	Conviction annulled, and re-trial ordered.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Date of oldest case pending.	REMARKS.
DELHI	Delhi,	1865.	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Goorgaon,	1865.	...	...	5	5	5	5	4	1	...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Kurnaul,	1865.	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	5	5	5	5	4	...	...	...	4	4	1	1	21 Decr.	Since disposed of.
	Total,	1865.	...	...	7	7	7	7	4	3	...	...	7	7	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	5	5	5	5	4	...	...	...	4	4	1	1	...	...
	Difference,		...	...	−2	−2	−2	−2	...	−3	...	...	−3	−3	+1	+1	...	...
HISSAR	Hissar,	1865.	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Rohtuck,	1865.	...	...	1	2	1	2	...	2	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Sirsa,	1865.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total,	1865.	...	...	3	4	3	4	1	3	...	...	3	4	...	...	...	...
		1866.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Difference.		...	...	−3	−4	−3	−4	−1	−3	...	...	−3	−4	...	...	...	...

Appendix No. II.—(*Continued.*)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
		SESSIONS COURTS.															CHIEF COURT.															
		FOR DISPOSAL.						DISPOSED OF.									FOR DISPOSAL.						DISPOSED OF.									
		Pending on 1st January 1866.		Committed during 1866.		Total.		Persons. Convicted.				Total.		Under trial on 31st Decr. 1866.			Pending on 1st January 1866.		Received during 1866.		Total.		Persons. Sentence of death.				Total.		Pending on 31st Decr. 1866.			
Division.	District.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	And sentenced to death subject to confirmation of Chief Court.	Others.	Acquitted.	Died, escaped or transferred.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Date of oldest case pending.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Acquitted.	Conviction annulled, and re-trial ordered.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Date of oldest case pending.	REMARKS.
UMBALLA.	Umballa, 1865,	...	...	...	31	...	31	2	11	...	1	...	14	...	17	8th December,	...	...	3	7	3	7	2	1	4	...	3	7	...	...	...	...
	1866,	3	17	8	15	11	32	2	17	3	...	6	22	5	10	15th November,	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Loodiana, 1865,	...	...	...	10	...	10	1	...	1	...	...	2	...	8	26th June,	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	1866,	1	8	1	3	2	11	...	4	7	...	2	11	...	...	... ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Simla, 1865,	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	... ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	1866,	1	2	1	2	2	4	1	...	3	...	2	4	...	...	... ...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	..	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Total, 1865,	...	...	...	43	...	43	3	11	1	1	...	16	...	27	... ...	...	...	4	8	4	8	2	2	4	...	4	8	...	...	...	...
	1866,	5	27	10	20	15	47	3	21	13	...	10	37	5	10	... ...	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
	Difference,	...	...	...	—23	...	+4	...	+10	+12	—1	...	+21	...	—17	... ...	...	...	—2	—6	—2	—6	—1	—1	—4	...	—2	—6	...	...	...	...
JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur, 1865,	...	...	...	15	...	15	4	2	9	...	...	15	...	...	... ...	...	...	3	4	3	4	...	4	...	...	3	4	...	...	...	...
	1866,	...	...	18	27	18	27	4	21	2	...	18	27	...	...	... ...	...	...	3	3	3	3	1	2	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...
	Hooshyarpoor, 1865,	...	2	...	22	...	24	7	15	2	...	...	24	...	...	... ...	...	...	3	6	3	6	1	1	2	...	2	4	1	2	...	...
	1866,	...	...	5	8	5	8	3	5	...	...	5	8	...	...	... ...	1	2	2	3	3	5	5	...	...	...	3	5	...	..	...	...
	Kangra, 1865,	...	4	...	3	...	7	4	1	1	...	...	6	...	1	13th December,	...	...	2	4	2	4	...	4	...	...	2	4	...	...	...	...
	1866,	1	1	7	12	8	13	1	10	1	...	7	12	1	1	29th October,	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Total, 1865,	...	6	...	40	...	46	15	18	12	...	...	45	...	1	... ...	...	...	8	14	8	14	1	9	2	...	7	12	1	2	...	...
	1866,	1	1	30	47	31	48	8	36	3	...	30	47	1	1	... ...	1	2	6	7	7	9	6	3	...	...	7	9	...	...	...	...
	Difference,	...	—5	...	+7	...	+2	—7	+18	—9	...	...	+2	...	...	... ...	+1	+2	—2	—7	—1	—5	+5	—6	2	...	...	—3	—1	—2	...	...

UMRITSUR.	Umritsur,	1865,	...	...	...	39	...	39	4	26	3	...	...	33	...	6	... ...	...	...	3	3	3	3	2	1	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...
		1866,	4	6	33	56	37	62	1	41	17	...	34	59	3	3	8th November,	...	...	2	2	2	2	...	2	...	...	2	2	...	.	...	...
	Goordaspoor,	1865,	...	3	...	1	...	4	2	...	2	...	...	4	...	...	... ...	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	8th November,	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Sealkote,	1865,	...	...	...	8	...	8	1	7	...	...	...	8	...	...	... ...	3	1	..	...	3	1	1		...	...	3	1	...	...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	...	..	...	1	1	...	...	... ...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	..	...	..	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Total,	1865,	...	3	..	48	...	51	7	33	5	...	...	45	...	6	... ...	3	1	5	5	8	6	4	2	...	...	8	6	...	...	...	...
		1866,	4	6	36	59	40	65	3	41	17	...	36	61	4	4	... ..	...	..	4	4	4	4	1	3	...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...
	Difference,		...	+3	...	+11	...	+14	—4	+8	+12	...	...	+16	...	—2	... ...	—3	—1	—1	—1	—4	—2	—3	+1	...	..	—4	—2	...	...	...	...
LAHORE.	Lahore,	1865,	...	...	...	37	...	37	3	24	10	...	...	37	...	..	... ...	...	...	3	3	3	3	1	2	..	...	3	3	...	...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	28	50	28	50	5	32	11	*1	27	49	1	1	31st October,	...	...	5	8	5	8	4	1	...	...	4	5	1	3	14th Dcr. since disposed of.	* This person was implicated with two others in one case.
	Ferozepore,	1865,	...	...	...	13	...	13	2	7	4	...	...	13	..	...	... ...	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...		
		1866,	...	...	5	6	5	6	5	...	1	..	5	6	...	...	... ...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	..	..	...	1	1	...	...		
	Goojranwalla,	1865,	...	...	...	12	...	2	1	1	...	...	...	2	...	...	... ...	...	...	2	2	2	2	...	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	
		1866,	...	...	8	16	8	16	1	8	7	...	8	16	...	...	... ...	...	...	4	5	4	5	5	...	...	...	4	5	...	...	...	
	Total,	1865,	...	...	...	52	...	52	6	32	14	...	..	52	...	..	... ...	...	...	5	5	5	5	1	4	...	...	5	5		...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	41	72	41	72	11	40	19	1	40	71	1	1	... ...	...	...	10	14	10	14	10	1	...	...	9	11	1	3	...	...
	Difference,		...	..	...	+20	...	+20	+5	+8	+5	+1	...	+19	...	+1	... ...	...	...	+5	+9	+5	+9	+9	—3	...	...	+4	+6	+1	+8	...	...
RAWUL PINDEE.	Rawul Pindee,	1865,	...	14	...	53	..	67	9	23	22	...	...	54	...	13	... ...	...	...	8	9	8	9	6	3	...	...	8	9	...	...	...	...
		1866,	7	13	20	35	27	48	10	29	9	...	27	48	...	..	... ...	...	...	4	5	4	5	3	2	...	...	4	5	...	...	...	...
	Jhelum,	1865,	...	2	...	14	...	16	3	10	3	...	...	16	...	.	... .	...	...	4	5	4	5	3	2	...	...	4	5	...	...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	3	6	3	6	...	...	5	...	2	5	1	1	3rd November,	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Goojrat,	1865,	...	...	..	3	...	3	1	2	...	...	...	3	...	...	... ...	...	...	3	3	3	3	...	2	...	1	3	3	...	...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	... ...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Shahpoor,	1865,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	... ...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
		1866,	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	... ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total,	1865,	...	16	...	70	...	86	13	35	25	...	..	73	...	13	... ...	...	...	16	18	16	18	10	7	...	1	16	18	...	...	...	...
		1866,	7	13	26	44	33	57	11	31	14	...	32	56	1	1	... ...	...	...	5	6	5	6	4	2	...	...	5	6	...	...	...	...
	Difference,		...	—3	...	—26	...	—29	—2	—4	—11	...	...	—17	...	—12	... ...	...	...	—11	—12	—11	—12	—6	—5	...	—1	—11	—12	...	...	...	...

Appendix No II —*Concluded*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		SESSIONS COURTS														
		FOR DISPOSAL						DISPOSED OF								
		Pending on 1st Jany 1866		*Committed during 1866*		*Total*		Persons				*Total*		*Under trial on 31st Decr 1866.*		
								Convicted								
Division	DISTRICT	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons	Cases	Persons	And sentenced to death subject to confirmation of Chief Court	Others	Acquitted	Died, escaped or transferred	Cases	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Date of oldest case pending
DERAJAT.	Bunnoo, 1865,		11		23		34	2	19	9	..		30	...	4	.. ..
	Bunnoo, 1866,	1	4	6	29	7	33	..	21	11	1	7	33	..	..	... ..
	Dera I Khan, 1865,				36		36	1	24	9		...	34	...	2	
	Dera I Khan, 1866,	2	2	9	15	11	17	...	8	3		7	11	4	6	14th June,
	Dera G Khan, 1865,		1		30	..	31	1	6	17			24		7	
	Dera G Khan, 1866,	3	7	14	26	17	33	3	8	12	9	*16	*32	1	1	26th September
	Total, 1865,		12		89		101	4	49	35			88		13	...
	Total, 1866,	6	13	29	70	35	83	3	37	26	10	30	76	5	7	...
	Difference,		+1		—19		—18	—1	—12	—9	+10	..	—12		—6	...
MOOLTAN	Mooltan, 1865,		1		8		9		6	2			9			
	Mooltan, 1866,			12	17	12	17	1	6	4		7	11	5	6	20th August,
	Jhung, 1865,				7		7		7	...			7			
	Jhung, 1866,	...		18	23	18	23	1	9	3	...	12	13	6	10	15th October,
	Montgomery, 1865,		3		7		4	2	2				4			
	Montgomery, 1866,			7	11	7	11		6	4		6	10	1	1	27th November,
	Moozuffurgurh 1865,		2		21		23	2	12	9			23			
	Moozuffurgurh 1866,	...		3	3	3	3	2			..	2	2	1	1	19th December,
	Total, 1865,	..	6		37		43	4	27	11			43		...	...
	Total, 1866,			40	54	40	54	4	21	11		27	36	13	18	
	Difference,		—6		+17		+11		—6				—7		+18	

1	2	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
		CHIEF COURT.															
		FOR DISPOSAL						DISPOSED OF.									
		Pending on 1st Jany 1866		*Received during 1866*		*Total*		Persons.				*Total*		*Pending on 31st Decr. 1866.*			
								Sentence of death									
Division	DISTRICT	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Acquitted.	Conviction annulled and re-trial ordered.	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons	Date of oldest case pending	REMARKS
DERAJAT.	Bunnoo, 1865,			2	2	2	2	1	1		..	2	2				...
	Bunnoo, 1866,		...				...		..		..	...	...		..		..
	Dera I Khan, 1865,			1	1	1	1	1			..	1	1		...		..
	Dera I Khan, 1866,				.			..			.	.		..	...	...	..
	Dera G Khan, 1865,			1	1	1	1	1				1	1			...	..
	Dera G Khan, 1866,			2	2	2	2	1	1	...		2	2				
	Total, 1865,			4	4	4	4	3	1	...	.	4	4				..
	Total, 1866,	...		2	2	2	2	1	1		.	2	2	.		.	...
	Difference,		...	—2	—2	—2	—2	—2	.	..		—2	—2	.			. .
MOOLTAN	Mooltan, 1865,								...		...					..	...
	Mooltan, 1866,	.	...	2	2	2	2	2		..		2	2		..	..	..
	Jhung, 1865,	.	...					.	..			...		...		.	...
	Jhung, 1866,			..					.		...	...		..	...	...	.
	Montgomery, 1865,	...		2	2	2	2	...	2	.	.	2	2				..
	Montgomery, 1866,		..	...	...	.	.		...	...	.			.	...	.	..
	Moozuffurgurh 1865,			2	2	2	2	1	1			2	2		.		...
	Moozuffurgurh 1866,			2	2	2	2	1	1	..		2	2				
	Total, 1865,		...	4	4	4	4	1	3			4	4			.	
	Total, 1866,	..		4	4	4	4	3	1		...	4	4			.	..
	Difference,		..			...	...	+2	—2				..				.

** 5 Cases involving 10 persons were disposed of by the Commissioner of Mooltan.

PESHAWUR.	Peshawur,	1865,	...	13	...	53	...	66	5	20	25	...	...	50	...	16	...	...	1	1	4	5	5	6	5	1	...	...	5	6	...	...	...	...
		1866,	8	14	29	64	37	78	2	33	20	1	25	55	12	22	20th Novr. 1865,		...	...	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Huzara,	1865,	...	5	...	10	...	15	1	6	5	...	...	12	...	3	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	2	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
		1866,	3	5	5	10	8	15	3	7	6	...	8	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	2	...	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
	Kohat,	1865,	...	1	...	9	...	10	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		1866,	7	9	6	10	13	19	...	11	1	...	9	13	4	6	2nd Jany. 1866,		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total,	1865,	...	19	...	72	...	91	6	27	30	...	...	63	...	28	...	...	1	1	6	7	7	8	6	2	...	...	7	8	...	...	...	...
		1866,	18	28	40	84	58	112	5	51	27	1	42	84	16	28	...	...	...	...	3	3	3	3	1	2	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...
	Difference,		...	+9	...	+12	...	+21	—1	+24	—3	+1	...	+21	...	...	...	...	—1	—1	—3	—4	—4	—5	—5	...	...	...	—4	—5	...	...	...	...
	Grand Total,	1865,	...	73	...	518	...	591	68	277	154	2	...	501	...	90	...	...	4	2	61	76	65	78	33	36	6	1	64	76	1	2	...	...
		1866,	42	90	297	531	339	621	54	326	152	13	290	545	49	76	...	...	1	2	41	47	42	49	31	14	...	...	40	45	2	4	...	...
	Difference,		...	+17	...	+13	...	+30	—14	+49	—2	+11	...	+44	...	—14	...	...	—3	...	—20	—29	—23	—29	—2	—22	—6	—1	—24	—31	+1	+2	...	...

No. III.—(*Concluded.*)

46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77
ED TO																						DETAIL OF FINES.									
MENT.																FIND SECURITY.															
For 6 months and below.								*Total number of persons sentenced to*								*For good conduct and in default to be imprisoned for*												*Total Realized.*		*Applied in compensation under Sec. 44, Code of Criminal Procedure.*	
RIGOROUS.				SIMPLE.																											
With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	Transportation.	Imprisonment with fine.	Imprisonment with whipping.	Imprisonment with fine and whipping.	Imprisonment without fine or whipping.	Whipping and fine.	Whipping alone.	Fine alone.	Cases laid before Sessions Court.	Above 1 year.	One year.	Six months.	To keep the peace.	Enter into recognizance to keep the peace.	Rupees 1,000 and above.	Below Rupees 1,000 to Rs. 500.	Below Rupees 500 to Rs. 200.	Below Rupees 200.	Below Rupees 50.	Total imposed.	Of amount imposed during the year.	Of amount imposed in previous years.	Ordered.	Paid.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	3	...	...	20	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	150- 0- 0	...	...	...	...
160	5	1	219	1	...	...	7	1	311	24	3	316	...	138	2,151	...	...	140	28	224	210	...	1	5	65	2,892	26,847- 0- 0	16,315- 0- 0	1,561- 0- 0	4,981- 0- 0	1,614- 0- 0
34	2	...	30	...	...	...	1	...	63	3	...	45	...	6	738	...	...	10	4	37	94	...	...	1	5	796	8,630- 0- 0	6,687- 0- 0	1,821- 0- 0	1,039- 0- 0	394- 0- 0
24	...	...	56	2	...	...	...	...	45	2	...	71	...	14	483	...	...	53	5	28	42	...	...	8	7	513	8,574- 7-10	3,800- 3-10	224- 0- 0	3,858- 0- 0	2,185- 0- 0
218	7	1	305	3	...	...	8	1	419	29	3	432	...	158	3,372	...	...	203	37	289	346	...	1	14	77	3,701	44,051- 7-10	26,802- 3-10	3,606- 0- 0	9,878- 0- 0	4,193- 0- 0
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	120	62	1	...	102	...	3	23	9	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	5	42	34	12,620- 0- 0	2,461- 6- 3	2,051-12- 5	750- 0- 0	...
4,730	100	89	2,011	200	...	...	244	78	7,058	231	298	3,259	32	2,018	48,972	82	...	1,054	577	573	1,630	3	14	64	809	55,573	5,54,171-5-10	3,37,740-5-10	77,096-3- 4	65,425- 9- 6	29,440-10- 1
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Je III —(*Continued*)

46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77
E D T O																												DETAIL OF FINES.			
N T.								*Total number of persons sentenced to*								FIND SECURITY.															
For 6 months and below.																	*For good conduct and in default to be imprisoned for*											*Total Realized.*		*Applied in compensation under Sec. 44, Code of Criminal Procedure.*	
RIGOROUS.				SIMPLE.																											
With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	Transportation.	Imprisonment with fine.	Imprisonment with whipping.	Imprisonment with fine and whipping.	Imprisonment without fine or whipping.	Whipping and fine.	Whipping alone.	Fine alone.	Cases laid before Sessions Court	Above 1 year	One year.	Six months.	To keep the peace.	Enter into recognizance to keep the peace.	Rupees 1,000 and above	Below Rupees 1,000 to Rs. 500.	Below Rupees 500 to Rs. 200.	Below Rupees 200.	Below Rupees 50.	Total imposed.	Of amount imposed during the year.	Of amount imposed in previous years	Ordered	Paid
...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	14	5	.	..	20		. .	...	...	. .		...	.	. .		2	.	2	1	2,350- 0- 0	...	427-0- 11	..	...
102	3	5	37	10	..	...	17	...	168	8	19	73	1	93	1,062	1	...	55	.	1	...	..	.	3	28	1,219	15,349-15- 0	10,090- 9- 3	447- 3- 3	894-13- 4	535- 1- 9
115	1	2	46	20	..	...	9	..	163	4	3	84	3	77	2,083	...	.	9	30		26	..	...	2	8	2,242	16,424- 0- 0	14,137- 0- 0	2,174- 0- 0	649- 0- 0	619- 0- 0
120	6	..	44	8	..		3	..	155	8	1	58	1	16	2,364		...	2	6	1	3	..		1	12	2,508	12,041-13-11	8,945- 9- 9	498-9- 10	865- 6- 0	457- 3- 0
337	10	7	127	38	...	..	29	.	486	20	23	215	5	186	5,509	1	...	66	36	2	29		...	6	48	5,969	43,815-12-11	33,173- 3- 0	3,119-13- 1	2,409- 3- 4	1,611- 4- 9
...	.	.	.	...		...	.	5	15		...	13	...	1	4		...	...	.	...	...	...	1	4	3	11	3,255- 0- 0	1,161- 0- 0	81- 5- 0	.	...
412	8	9	189	12		.	11	7	645	21	19	276	5	91	5,926	25		122	10	27	20	2	2	4	70	6,517	71,589- 7- 0	45,161- 0- 7	4,637- 7- 9	13,902- 8- 8	2,775- 0- 3
115	8	2	70	2	...	.	10	3	155	15	7	121	6	75	882	3		6	10	4	. .	..	2		19	1,029	15,680- 3- 3	7,599-10- 4	3,245-11- 6	799-12- 0	1,029- 1- 3
156	5	1	50	10	. .	..	13	1	238	10	6	95		18	1,329	1		19	27		7	.		4	31	1,538	19,083-10- 4	9,627-10-0	1,216- 4- 9	1,578- 4- 0	400-12- 9
683	21	12	309	24	..	...	34	11	1,038	46	32	492	11	184	8,137	29	..	147	47	31	27	2	4	8	120	9,084	1,06,353-4- 7	62.388- 4-11	9,099- 8- 0	16,280- 8- 8	4,204-14- 3
...	.	...	.	..	..	..	.	17	3	1		17	.	2	. .			...			..	...		...	2	1	0 -901 0	105- 0- 0	100- 0- 0	..	
325	4	1	162	10	..	...	10	12	604	12	17	208		233	5,900	1	..	29	92	1	73	.		1	32	6,488	29,047- 2- 7	19,032- 5- 1	2,278- 6-11	2,022-14- 9	734-10- 9
264	2	5	43	4		...	9	.	377	3	5	82	...	30	1,138		...	4	4	12	8		1	1	19	1,499	18,575- 8- 0	10,272-15- 0	5,686-12- 0	849-11- 0	529-11- 0
103	3	4	38		.		25	..	213	10	12	142	...	57	899			32	3	12	20			2	27	1,095	16,217- 9- 5	7,197-14- 0	5,106-13- 4	2,618- 8- 9	1,550-15- 9
692	9	10	243	14	...		44	12	1,194	25	34	432		320	7,937	1	.	65	99	25	101		1	4	78	9,082	63,840- 4- 0	36,503- 2- 1	13,072- 0- 3	5,491- 2- 6	2,815- 5- 6

ANNUAL STATEMENT EXHIBITING THE DEGREES OF PUNISHMENT INFLICTED BY THE MAGISTERIAL

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
	PERSONS SENTENC																																											
	TRANSPORTATION.				IMPRISON-																																							
					For above 10 years and not exceeding 14 yrs.				For above 7 years and not exceeding 10 yrs.				For 7 years.				Below 7 and above 5 yrs.				For 5 years and below.								For 2 years and below.								For 1 year and below.							
					Rigorous.		Simple.		Rigorous.		Simple.		Rigorous.		Simple.		Rigorous.		Simple.		Rigorous.				Simple.				Rigorous.				Simple.				Rigorous.				Simple.			
COURTS.	For life.	For above 10 years and not exceeding 14, under Sec. 59 I. P. C.	For above 7 years and not exceeding 10 years.	For 7 years.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.
Sessions Court, ...	8	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Delhi District. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	8	...	...	...	...	24	18	3	29	1	...	...	...	15	10	...	45	...	...	...	...
Goorgaon, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	6	1	12	...	...	...	...	21	...	1	16	...	...	...	...
Kurnal, ...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	78	...	7	8	1	...	...	...	38	...	1	6	...	...	...	...
TOTAL, ...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	13	...	...	...	...	113	24	11	49	2	...	...	...	74	10	2	67	...	...	...	...
Sessions Court, ...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hissar District, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	32	1	3	5	...	...	...	...	52	1	1	12	...	...	...	...
Rohtuck, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	7	1	...	11	...	...	...	...	19	2	...	12	...	...	...	...
Sirsa, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	9	...	...	...	...	12	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	19	...	3	...	...	...	...	...
TOTAL, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...	...	17	...	...	...	...	51	2	4	16	...	...	...	...	90	3	4	24	...	...	...	...
Sessions Court, ...	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Umballa District, ...	...	...	...	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	25	1	4	9	...	...	...	...	52	1	1	23	1	...	...	...
Loodiana, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	19	1	4	7	...	...	...	...	38	1	...	4	...	...	...	...
Simla, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	4	1	2	...	...	...	...	...
TOTAL, ...	...	...	...	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	12	...	...	...	...	49	2	8	18	...	...	...	...	94	3	3	27	1	...	...	...

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
COURTS.	PERSONS SENTENC-																																											
	TRANSPORTATION.				IMPRISON-																																							
					For above 10 years and not exceeding 14 yrs.				For above 7 years and not exceeding 10 yrs.				For 7 years.				Below 7 and above 5 yrs.				For 5 years and below.								For 2 years and below.								For 1 year and below.							
					RIGOROUS.		SIMPLE.		RIGOROUS.		SIMPLE.		RIGOROUS.		SIMPLE.		RIGOROUS.		SIMPLE.		RIGOROUS.				SIMPLE.				RIGOROUS.				SIMPLE.				RIGOROUS.				SIMPLE.			
	For life.	For above 10 years and not exceeding 14, under Sec. 59 I. P. C.	For above 7 years and not exceeding 10 years.	For 7 years.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	Without fine.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.	With fine.	With whipping.	With fine and whipping.	Without fine or whipping.
Sessions Court, ...	20	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	12	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Peshawur District, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	69	4	...	42	...	...	...	...	58	1	2	43	2	...	...	1
Huzara, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	6	...	...	...	...
Kohat, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	2	...	4	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	11	...	...	...	...
TOTAL, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	15	...	1	...	...	...	...	85	6	...	53	...	...	...	...	83	1	2	60	2	...	...	1
Sessions Courts, ...	95	3	5	17	...	2	...	...	2	16	...	...	2	11	...	...	1	1	...	...	23	...	...	39	...	...	...	...	33	1	...	27	1	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
District Courts, ...	...	...	...	78	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	11	...	...	2	1	...	...	148	21	7	143	1	...	...	1	1,259	63	151	344	9	...	...	4	1,294	47	51	496	11	...	...	4
CHIEF COURT OF THE PUNJAB, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...

Appendix No. III A.

STATEMENT OF PERSONS SENTENCED TO BE WHIPPED IN THE PUNJAB DURING THE YEAR 1866.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
	On First Conviction											On Second Conviction																					Total.							
				In lieu of other punishment											In lieu of other punishment								In addition to other punishment																	
Detail of offences for which Whipping is awardable under Act VI of 1864.	Number of persons convicted during the year *for the first time* of offences in column 1.			To 10 Stripes and under		To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.		To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.		TOTAL.		Number of persons convicted for the second time of same offence.			To 10 Stripes and under.		To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes		To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes		TOTAL.		To 10 Stripes and under.		To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.		To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.		TOTAL		TOTAL		To 10 Stripes and under.	To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes	To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes	In lieu (or Whipping alone).	In addition	Adults.	Juveniles	GRAND TOTAL.
	Adults.	Juveniles.	TOTAL.	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	TOTAL.	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles	Adults.	Juveniles.								
1. Theft, as defined in Sec 378 Indian Penal Code, ..	6 053	313	6 366	132	116	430	62	464	7	1,026	185	464	19	483	3	2	17	.	15	2	35	4	17	3	95	3	178	5	290	11	325	15	273	607	671	1,250	801	1 351	200	1 551
2. Theft in a building, tent or vessel, Sec 380,	663	47	710	13	16	55	10	89	3	157	29	82	6	88	..	1	2	..	7	.	9	1	2	3	10	..	37	.	49	3	58	4	35	77	136	196	52	215	33	248
3. Theft by a clerk or servant, Section 381, ..	188	6	194	4	.	26	2	32	1	62	3	9	.	9	.	.	.		.	.	.	.	..	..	3	...	3	.	6	..	6	.	4	31	36	65	6	68	3	71
4. Theft after preparation for causing death or hurt. Sec. 382,	12	...	12	..	..	...	...	..	..	...	..	1	.	1	...	.	.	...	.	...	..	.	...	.	...	..	...	.	...	..	...		..	..	...		.	...	..	
5. Extortion by threat, Section 388, ..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	.	...	...	.	.	.	...	..	.	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	.	.	...	..	...		.	..	
6. Putting in fear of accusation in order to commit extortion, Section 389, .	4	...	4	...	..	1	...	...	.	1	...	1	.	1	...	...	...	...	...	..	.	.	.	.	1	..	..	.	1	.	1		..	2	...	1	1	2	...	3
7. Dishonestly receiving stolen property, Section 411,	1,025	24	1,049	7	6	67	5	61	1	135	12	83		83	...	.	1	.	7	...	8		3	.	12	..	31	1	46	1	54	1	16	85	101	155	47	189	13	202
8. Dishonestly receiving property stolen in the commission of a dacoity, Section 412	66	4	70	..	1	2	2	1	1	3	4	1	...	1	.	..	.	...	...	..	..	..	...	..	..	...	1	...	1		1	.	1	4	3	7	1	4	4	

Appendix No. III. A.—*(Continued.)*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
	On First Conviction.											On Second Conviction.																					Total.							
	Number of persons convicted during the year *for the first time* of offences in column 1.			*In lieu of other punishment.*								Number of persons convicted for the second time of same offence.			*In lieu of other punishment.*								*In addition to other punishment*																	
				To 10 Stripes and under.		*To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.*		*To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes*		TOTAL.					*To 10 Stripes and under.*		*To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes*		*To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes*		TOTAL.		*To 10 Stripes and under*		*To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.*		*To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.*		TOTAL.		TOTAL.										
Detail of offences for which Whipping is awardable under Act VI of 1864.	Adults.	Juveniles.	TOTAL.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	TOTAL.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	To 10 Stripes and under.	To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.	To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.	In lieu (or whipping alone).	In addition.	Adults.	Juveniles.	GRAND TOTAL.
9. Lurking house trespass, or house-breaking, Sections 443 and 445, in order to to the committing of any of the above offences, ...	475	11	486	2	3	26	3	38	...	66	6	39	4	43	..	..	..	..	..	..	.	1	..	.	14	1	16	.	30	1	30	1	5	44	54	72	31	96	7	103
10. Lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night, Sections 444 and 446, in order to the committing of any of the above offences, ...	1,278	29	1,307	20	5	61	8	129	2	210	15	129	1	130	2	1	3	..	3	...	8	..	2	..	24	..	56	..	82	.	90	1	30	96	190	234	82	300	16	316
11. Giving or fabricating false evidence in such manner as to be punishable under Section 193, I. P. Code. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

12. Giving or fabricating false evidence with intent to procure conviction of a capital offence Section 194, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13 Giving or fabricating false evidence with intent to procure conviction of an offence punishable with transportation or imprisonment, Sec. 195,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
14. Falsely charging any person with having committed an unnatural offence, Sections 211 and 377, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1
15. Assaulting or using criminal force to any woman with intent to outrage her modesty, Sec. 354, ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	1	1	1	1	2
16. Rape, as defined in Section 375,...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1
17. Unnatural offences, Sec. 377, ...	...	2	2	...	1	...	...	...	3	...	4	3	...	3	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	2	...	3	...	2	...	5	5	2	3	4	7
18. Robbery or dacoity, Sec. 390 & 391,	...	4	4	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	3	5	...	5	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	2	...	...	2	3	3	2	2	3	5
19. Attempting to commit robbery, Section 393, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
20. Voluntarily causing hurt, in committing robbery, Section 394, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
21. Habitually receiving or dealing in stolen property, Section 413, ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
22. Forgery, as defined in Section 463, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
23. Forgery of a document, Sec. 466,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
24. Forgery of a document, Sec. 467,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
25. Forgery for the purpose of cheating, Sec. 468, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Appendix No. III. A.—*(Concluded)*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
	On First Conviction.											On Second Conviction																					Total.							
Detail of offences for which Whipping is awardable under Act VI of 1864.	Number of persons convicted during the year *for the first time* of offences in column 1.			*In lieu of other punishment.*								Number of persons convicted for the second time of same offence.			*In lieu of other punishment.*								*In addition to other punishment*								TOTAL.		To 10 Stripes and under.	To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.	To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.	In lieu (or whipping alone).	In addition.			GRAND TOTAL.
				To 10 Stripes and under.		To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.		To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.		TOTAL.					To 10 Stripes and under.		To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.		To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.		TOTAL.		To 10 Stripes and under.		To above 10 and up to 20 Stripes.		To above 20 and up to 30 Stripes.		TOTAL.										
	Adults.	Juveniles.	TOTAL.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	TOTAL.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.	Adults.	Juveniles.						Adults.	Juveniles.	
26. Forgery for the purpose of harming the reputation of any person. Section 469, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
27. Lurking house-trespass or house-breaking, Sections 443 and 445, in order to the committing of any offence punishable with Whipping under Sec. 4 of Act VI of 1864,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	...	3	...	3	...	...	1	2	...	3	3	...	3
28. Lurking house-trespass by night or house-breaking by night, Secs. 444 & 446, in order to the committing of any offence punishable with Whipping, Sec. 4 of Act VI of 1864, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	17	...	17	...	...	...	...	2	1	2	...	...	...	4	...	8	...	12	...	14	...	...	5	11	3	12	14	1	15
29. Other offences under I. P. Code, committed by juveniles—(Sec. V. of Act VI. of 1864,)	...	32	32	...	5	...	9	...	3	...	17	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	9	3	18	...		18	18
	9,764	472	10,236	178	153	668	104	814	24	1,660	281	839	31	870	5	4	23	...	36	3	64	7	26	6	161	4	335	6	525	16	589	23	372	963	1,218	2,012	541	2,249	304	2,553

Appendix No. IV.

STATEMENT OF CASES TRIED BY JURY AND BY ASSESSORS IN THE SESSIONS COURTS OF THE PUNJAB DURING 1866.

Divisions.	Cases tried by Jury (Section 322, C. P. Code) in which verdict was given		Trials with the aid of Assessors, (Section 324, Code of Criminal Procedure.)									Penalties imposed for non-attendance as Jurors or Assessors.		Remarks.
			Classification of Assessors, and number of persons of each class so employed.							Cases in which the decision of the Commissioner				
	Unanimously.	By a legal majority.	Pleaders.	Mahajuns.	Employed in service.	Ecclesiastics.	Agriculturists.	All others not included in the above.	Total.	Agreed	Disagreed	Number of persons fined for non-attendance.	Amount of fine imposed.	
										With the opinion of the majority of Assessors.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
													Rupees.	
Delhi, ...	...	...	...	29	1	2	18	19	69	19	4	...	...	
Hissar, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	32	2	35	19	1	...	...	
Amballa, ...	...	...	...	20	...	...	8	5	33	9	1	...	...	
Jullunder, ...	...	...	...	16	...	2	47	..	65	23	...	...	...	
Amritsur, ...	...	...	...	16	...	...	42	1	59	27	2	2	25	
Lahore, ...	1	...	...	39	6	14	20	29	108	36	...	...	...	
Rawulpindee, ...	...	...	...	14	...	1	28	1	44	31	1	...	...	
Derajat, ...	...	...	...	8	...	4	27	2	41	15	1	...	...	
Mooltan, ...	...	...	...	38	...	3	36	16	93	31	...	...	...	
Peshawur, ...	...	...	...	13	...	20	24	15	72	66	6	...	...	
Total, ...	1	...	...	194	7	46	282	90	619	276	16	2	Rs. 25	

Appendix No. V.

ANNUAL STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL TRIALS AND JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS CALLED FOR BY THE JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER AND CHIEF COURT FOR REVISION UNDER CHAPTER XXIX C. P. C. FROM THE CRIMINAL COURTS OF THE PUNJAB, DURING THE YEAR 1866.

DIVISIONS.	Pending at the close of 1865.		REPORTED AND CALLED FOR DURING 1866.								Total for disposal.		Order confirmed.		Order modified.		Order reversed and accused acquitted.		Order annulled and case returned for re-trial.		Total disposed of.		Pending at the close of 1866.		PER-CENTAGE OF		
			REPORTED.				CALLED FOR.																				
			Under Section 402 C. P. C.		Under Section 404 C. P. C.		Under Section 405 C. P. C.		Under Section 434 C. P. C.																Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Cases.	Cases.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Delhi, ...	1	1	...	...	4	6	2	2	3	4	10	13	3	5	2	2	3	3	1	1	9	11	1	2	33	22	33
Hissar, ...	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	3	18	22	22	27	4	4	3	3	6	9	9	11	22	27	...	...	18	14	27
Umballa, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	6	5	6	...	...	1	1	2	3	2	2	5	6	...	...	...	20	40
Jullundur, ...	3	11	2	2	4	4	...	...	4	6	13	23	2	4	6	6	3	10	2	3	13	23	...	...	15	46	23
Umritsur, ...	...	...	2	2	4	5	2	2	11	14	19	24	1	1	11	14	5	7	2	2	19	24	...	...	5	57	26
Lahore, ...	...	...	...	..	3	3	3	3	14	22	20	28	5	5	8	14	3	5	4	4	20	28	...	...	25	40	15
Rawul Pindee, ...	1	4	...	..	2	5	1	1	14	19	18	29	6	9	4	5	4	9	4	6	18	29	...	..	33	22	22
Derajat, ...	2	5	1	1	5	6	2	2	1	3	11	17	3	3	2	2	3	3	...	...	8	8	3	9	37	25	37
Mooltan, ...	...	...	...	...	2	2	1	2	4	4	7	8	4	4	3	4	...	...	...	...	7	8	...	...	57	42	...
Peshawur, ...	...	...	...	..	6	7	1	1	1	1	8	9	2	3	3	3	...	...	...	...	5	6	3	3	40	60	...
TOTAL, ...	7	21	5	6	32	40	14	16	75	101	133	184	30	38	43	54	29	49	24	29	126	170	7	14	24	34	23

Appendix No. VI.

COMPARATIVE WITNESS STATEMENT.

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
				NUMBER OF WITNESSES DISCHARGED AFTER														
Number	Division	District.		1 day.	2 days.	3 days.	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days.	8 days.	9 to 15 days.	16 to 23 days.	24 to 30 days.	More than 30 days.	Total.	Undischarged at the close of 1866.	Longest period any undischarged witness has been detained.
1	DELHIE.	Delhie, ...	1865,	4.993	170	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,163	...	...
			1866,	5,648	927	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,575	...	...
2		Goorgaon, ..	1865,	2,338	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,338	...	...
			1866,	2.559	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,559	...	...
3		Kurnal, ..	1865,	6,899	244	37	6	..	...	..	...	...	...	..	1	7,187	...	...
			1866,	6,476	415	139	62	24	.	2	...	...	...	...	...	7,118	...	...
		Total, ..	1865,	14,230	414	37	6	..	...	..	..	...	...	...	1	14,688	...	...
			1866,	14,683	1,342	139	62	24	...	2	...	...	...	.	...	16,252	...	...
		Difference, ..		+453	+928	+102	+56	+24	.	+2	...	...	...	...	—1	+1,564	...	...
4	HISSAR.	Hissar, ..	1865,	3 431	153	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	3,584	...	...
			1866,	3,476	103	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,579	...	...
5		Rohtuck, ..	1865,	2 778	48	1	6	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	2,833	...	...
			1866,	2,657	76	17	3	...	..	...	.	...	...	...	...	2,753	...	...
6		Sirsa, ...	1865,	2 074	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,077	...	...
			1866,	1,821	21	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	1,845	...	...
		Total, ...	1865,	8 283	204	1	6	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	..	8,494	...	...
			1866,	7,954	200	20	3	...	...	...	..	..	..	..	...	8,177	...	...
		Difference, ...		—329	—4	+19	—3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	—317	...	..

Appendix No. VI.—*Continued.*

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
				NUMBER OF WITNESSES DISCHARGED AFTER														
Number.	Division.	District.		1 day.	2 days.	3 days.	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days.	8 days.	9 to 15 days.	16 to 23 days.	24 to 30 days.	More than 30 days.	Total.	Undischarged at the close of 1866.	Longest period any undischarged witness has been detained.
7	UMBALLA.	Umballa, ...	1865,	5,244	40	18	4	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,341	...	...
			1866,	5,455	68	27	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,556	...	...
8		Loodiana, ...	1865,	4,313	341	89	13	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,771	...	...
			1866,	4,346	379	221	72	14	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,051	...	...
9		Simla, ...	1865,	368	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	394	...	...
			1866,	621	19	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	641	...	...
		Total, ...	1865,	9,925	407	107	17	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,506	...	...
			1866,	10,422	466	249	78	14	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	11,248	...	...
		Difference, ...		+497	+59	+142	+61	—36	+19	...	...	...	...	...	...	+742	...	...
10	JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur, ...	1865,	3,536	244	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,780	...	...
			1866,	3,018	161	17	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,232	...	...
11		Hoshiarpoor,	1865,	2,960	153	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,117	...	...
			1866,	3,100	160	23	6	...	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	3,297	...	...
12		Kangra, ...	1865,	2,853	84	7	27	...	...	...	...	9	33	...	...	3,013	...	...
			1866,	2,400	152	78	29	3	...	...	8	3	...	...	...	2,673	...	...
		Total, ...	1865,	9,349	481	7	31	...	...	...	...	9	33	...	...	9,910	...	...
			1866,	8,518	473	118	71	3	...	8	8	3	...	...	...	9,202	...	...
		Difference, ...		—831	—8	+111	+40	+3	...	+8	+8	—6	—33	...	...	—708	...	...

13	UMRITSUR.	Umritsur, ...	1865,	8,847	163	41	88	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,139	...	...
			1866,	9,485	487	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,022	...	...
14		Goordaspore, ...	1865,	2,764	65	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,834	...	...
			1866,	3,258	138	70	12	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,482	...	...
15		Sealkote, ...	1865,	3,519	17	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,542	...	...
			1866,	3,564	330	55	23	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,978	...	...
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	15,130	245	52	88	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15,515	...	...
			1866,	16,307	955	175	35	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17,482	...	...
		DIFFERENCE, ...		+1,177	+710	+123	—53	+10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	+1,967	...	...
16	LAHORE.	Lahore, ...	1865,	7,043	417	208	25	37	2	8	...	...	...	...	...	7,740	...	...
			1866,	7,249	363	58	38	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,729	...	...
17		Ferozepore, ...	1865,	3,493	223	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,727	...	...
			1866,	4,372	97	14	8	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,501	...	...
18		Goojranwalla, ...	1865,	3,728	296	75	75	22	...	5	...	1	1	...	...	4,203	...	...
			1866,	3,271	446	136	56	17	29	11	...	...	...	...	...	3,966	...	...
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	14,264	936	294	100	59	2	13	...	1	1	...	...	15,670	...	...
			1866,	14,892	906	208	102	48	29	11	...	...	...	...	...	16,196	...	...
		DIFFERENCE, ..		+628	—30	—86	+2	—11	+27	—2	...	—1	—1	...	...	+526	...	...
19	RAWUL PINDEE.	Rawul Pindee, ...	1865,	4,680	227	54	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,961	...	...
			1866,	4,515	88	3	7	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,619	...	...
20		Jhelum, ...	1865,	1,917	174	13	10	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,120	...	...
			1866,	2,444	189	16	11	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,662	...	...
21		Goojerat, ...	1865,	4,313	69	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,404	...	...
			1866,	4,663	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,698	...	...
22		Shahpore, ...	1865,	2,486	264	65	9	1	1	...	6	...	2	...	...	2,834	...	...
			1866,	2,687	404	40	41	18	7	...	19	24	...	...	...	3,240	...	...
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	13,396	734	154	19	7	1	...	6	...	2	...	...	14,319	...	...
			1866,	14,309	716	59	59	20	13	...	19	24	...	...	...	15,219	...	...
		DIFFERENCE, ...		+1,013	—18	—95	+40	+13	+12	...	+13	+24	—2	...	...	+900	...	...

Appendix No. VI.—*(Continued)*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
			NUMBER OF WITNESSES DISCHARGED AFTER														
Number.	Division.	District.	1 day.	2 days.	3 days.	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days.	8 days.	9 to 15 days.	16 to 23 days.	24 to 30 days.	More than 30 days.	Total.	Undischarged at the close of 1866.	Longest period any undischarged witness has been detained.
23	DERAJAT.	Bunnoo, ... 1865,	2,362	414	147	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,952	...	...
		1866,	1,689	438	191	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,318	...	...
24		Dera Ismail Khan, ... 1865,	1,957	109	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,069	...	...
		1866,	1,924	97	50	11	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,100	...	...
25		Dera Gazee Khan, ... 1865,	1,451	137	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,589	...	...
		1866,	1,453	176	42	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,675	...	...
		Total, ... 1865,	5,770	660	151	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,610	...	...
		1866,	5,066	711	283	15	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,093	...	...
		Difference, ...	—704	+51	+132	—14	+18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	—517	...	...
26	MOOLTAN	Mooltan, ... 1865,	2,749	377	84	18	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,242	...	...
		1866,	2,996	269	84	61	28	41	31	2	29	12	...	...	3,553	...	...
27		Jhung, ... 1865,	2,093	254	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,347	...	...
		1866,	2,122	462	41	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,640	...	...
28		Montgomery, ... 1865,	3,085	29	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,136	...	...
		1866,	4,531	127	17	7	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,693	...	...
29		Mozuffurgurh, ... 1865,	1,237	52	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,298	...	...
		1866,	1,501	72	36	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,615	...	...
		Total, ... 1865,	9,164	712	115	18	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,023	...	...
		1866,	11,150	930	178	83	39	47	31	2	29	12	...	...	12,501	...	...
		Difference, ...	+1,986	+218	+63	+65	+25	+47	+31	+2	+29	+12	...	...	+2,478	...	...

30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur, ...	1865,	4,853	935	338	212	48	29	5	20	...	...	...	...	6,440	...	...
			1866,	4,007	1,240	264	58	14	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,585	...	...
31		Kohat, ...	1865,	1,122	108	102	59	34	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,428	...	...
			1866,	819	225	105	88	20	21	5	23	...	...	...	...	1,306	...	...
32		Huzara, ..	1865,	2,403	188	75	21	28	21	4	...	...	...	...	...	2,740	...	...
			1866,	1,646	215	132	119	68	49	24	...	...	...	...	...	2,253	...	...
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	8,378	1,231	515	292	110	53	9	20	...	...	...	...	10,608	...	...
			1866,	6,472	1,680	501	265	102	72	29	23	...	...	...	...	9,144	...	...
		DIFFERENCE, ..		—1,906	+449	—14	—27	—8	+19	+20	+3	...	...	...	...	—1,464	...	...
		GRAND TOTAL, ...	1865.	1,07,889	6,024	1,433	606	240	56	22	26	10	36	...	1	1,16,343	...	...
			1866,	1,09,773	8,379	1,930	773	278	180	81	52	56	12	...	...	1,21,514	...	...
		DIFFERENCE, ..		+1,884	+2,355	+497	+167	+38	+124	+59	+26	+46	—24	...	—1	+5,171	...	...

Appendix No. VII.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF APPEALS.

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				TO MAGISTRATES' COURTS.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
1	DELHI.	Delhi,	1865.	...	11	11	...	5	4	2	...	..	...
			1866.	...	44	44	6	26	2	9	1		...
2		Goorgaon,	1865.		28	28	2	14	4	8	.	..	...
			1866.	...	23	23	..	17	2	3	1	..	...
3		Kurnaul,	1865.		63	63	39	5	7	9	2	1	22nd Decr.
			1866.	1	74	75	44	10	8	12	1	...	...
		TOTAL,	1865.	...	102	102	41	24	15	19	2	1	...
			1866.	1	141	142	50	53	12	24	3	...	..
		DIFFERENCE.		+1	+39	+40	+9	+29	—3	+5	+1	—1	
4	HISSAR.	Hissar,	1865.	...	20	20	3	12	1	4	...	...	...
			1866.	..	47	47	4	27	5	11	...	...	..
5		Rohtuck,	1865.	...	36	36	1	27	2	5	1	...	...
			1866.	...	53	53	3	37	7	6	..	.	...
6		Sirsa,	1865.	.	36	36	8	12	5	8	2	1	18th Decr.
			1866.	1	39	40	5	24	6	4	...	1	22nd Decr.
		TOTAL,	1865.		92	92	12	51	8	17	3	1	...
			1866.	1	139	140	12	88	18	21	...	1	..
		DIFFERENCE.		+1	+47	+48	..	+37	+10	+4	—3	...	...
7	UMBALLA	Umballa,	1865.	..	70	70	3	40	10	11	1	5	14th Decr.
			1866.	5	108	113	10	63	12	25	3	.	...
8		Loodiana,	1865.	.	37	37	9	17	4	6	1	...	...
			1866.		37	37	4	21	2	10	..	..	...
9		Simla,	1865.	..	.	...	.	..	...	..	..	...	...
			1866.		.	..	..	...	...	..	.	...	...
		TOTAL,	1865.	..	107	107	12	57	14	17	2	5	...
			1866.	5	145	150	14	84	14	35	3	...	...
		DIFFERENCE.		+5	+38	+43	+2	+27	...	+18	+1	—5	..

1	2	3		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
				TO SESSIONS JUDGES' COURTS.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Order reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
1	DELHI.	Delhi,	1865.	1	137	138	15	94	...	21	4	4	21st July.
			1866.	4	153	157	8	122	5	17	5	..	...
2		Goorgaon,	1865.	1	46	47	7	34	...	4	1	1	22nd Decr.
			1866.	1	58	59	2	46	3	7	1	..	.
3		Kurnaul,	1865.	7	151	158	13	108	1	33	1	2	15th Decr.
			1866.	2	173	175	7	126	5	33	1	3	30th Octr.
		TOTAL,	1865.	9	334	343	35	236	1	58	6	7	...
			1866.	7	384	391	17	294	13	57	7	3	..
		DIFFERENCE.		—2	+50	+48	—18	+58	+12	—1	+1	—4	...
4	HISSAR.	Hissar,	1865.	.	82	82	18	37	11	11	5	.	...
			1866.	..	87	87	31	34	8	10	3	1	22nd Decr.
5		Rohtuck,	1865.	...	116	116	35	43	16	14	7	1	28th Decr.
			1866.	1	67	68	26	23	3	10	4	2	13th Decr.
6		Sirsa,	1865.	1	95	96	22	36	12	23	1	2	28th Decr.
			1866.	2	53	55	15	15	6	16	2	1	24th Decr.
		TOTAL,	1865.	1	293	294	75	116	39	48	13	3	.
			1866.	3	207	210	72	72	17	36	9	4	..
		DIFFERENCE.		+2	—86	—84	—3	—44	—22	—12	—4	+1	...
7	UMBALLA	Umballa,	1865.	45	249	294	39	188	10	42	7	8	5th Octr..
			1866.	8	242	250	80	98	18	7	2	47	25th June.
8		Loodiana,	1865.	19	114	133	23	79	4	19	4	4	9th Octr.
			1866.	4	99	103	46	39	2	8	...	8	4th Septr.
9		Simla,	1865.	1	17	18	2	8	3	2	2	1	4th Decr.
			1866.	1	14	15	1	11	.	1	..	2	13th Decr.
		TOTAL,	1865.	65	380	445	64	275	17	63	13	13	...
			1866.	13	355	368	127	148	20	16	2	57	...
		DIFFERENCE.		—52	—25	—77	+63	—127	+3	—47	—11	+44	...

1	2	3		24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
				TO CHIEF COURT OF THE PUNJAB.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st of January	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
1	DELHI.	Delhi,	1865.	1	15	16	11	3	2	...	...	...	..
			1866.	...	44	44	39	1	...	3	...	1	20th Octr.
2		Goorgaon,	1865.	...	9	9	8	1	...	...	...	...	...
			1866.	..	6	6	6	...	...	...	...	...	...
3		Kurnaul,	1865.	1	17	18	13	1	1	...	...	2	18th Decr.
			1866.	2	19	21	19	2	...	...	...	...	...
		TOTAL,	1865.	2	41	43	...	5	3	...	...	2	...
			1866.	2	69	71	64	3	...	3	...	1	...
		DIFFERENCE.		...	+28	+28	+64	—2	—3	+3	...	—1	..
4	HISSAR.	Hissar,	1865.	1	18	19	17	1	1	...	...	..	...
			1866.	...	11	11	10	...	1	...	...	...	...
5		Rohtuck,	1865.	...	16	16	14	1	...	...	...	1	12th Decr.
			1866.	1	9	10	9	1	...	...	...	...	...
6		Sirsa,	1865.	...	17	17	14	2	1	...	...	...	...
			1866.	..	13	13	9	2	1	1	...	...	...
		TOTAL,	1865.	1	51	52	45	4	2	...	...	1	...
			1866.	1	33	34	28	3	2	1	...	...	...
		DIFFERENCE.		...	—18	—18	—17	—1	...	+1	...	—1	..
7	UMBALLA	Umballa,	1865.	..	28	28	18	1	...	1	...	8	9th Novr.
			1866.	8	19	27	12	12	1	..	...	2	17th Decr.
8		Loodiana,	1865.	...	11	11	11	...	...	...	...	..	...
			1866.	...	4	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...
9		Simla,	1865.	...	4	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...
			1866.	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...
		TOTAL,	1865.	...	43	43	33	1	...	1	...	8	...
			1866.	8	24	32	17	12	1	...	...	2	...
		DIFFERENCE.		+8	—19	—11	—16	+11	+1	—1	...	—6	...

Appendix No. VII.—*Continued.*

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				TO MAGISTRATES' COURTS.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
10	JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur,	1865,	...	38	38	2	20	10	6	.		..
			1866,	...	43	43	11	20	8	3	1	..	..
11		Hooshiarpoor,	1865,	1	46	47	2	36	2	7	...	.	.
			1866,	..	72	72	3	45	14	9	...	1	5th Decr.
12		Kangra,	1865.	..	41	41	2	18	12	7	1	1	22nd Decr.
			1866,	1	35	36	12	15	2	7	...	...	...
		Total,	1865.	1	125	126	6	74	24	20	1	1	.
			1866,	1	150	151	26	80	24	19	1	1	..
		Difference,		...	+25	+25	+20	+6	.	—1	...	...	...
13	UMRITSUR.	Umritsur,	1865,	..	104	104	49	25	8	9	10	3	11th Decr..
			1866,	3	169	172	..	116	23	31	...	2	10th Decr.
14		Goordaspoor,	1865,	...	39	39	23	5	2	9	.	.	..
			1866,	...	41	41	11	20	6	4	...		.
15		Sealkote,	1865,	..	40	40	4	23	6	6	1	...	...
			1866,	...	32	32	...	23	5	3	...	1	24th Decr.
		Total,	1865,	...	183	183	76	53	16	24	11	3	..
			1866,	3	242	245	11	159	34	38	...	3	...
		Difference,		+3	+59	+62	—65	+106	+18	+14	—11	...	...

1	2	3		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
				TO SESSIONS JUDGES' COURTS.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
10	JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur,	1865,	...	90	90	44	25	4	16	1	.	...
			1866,	.	74	74	10	46	4	5	1	8	15th Octr.
11		Hooshiarpoor,	1865,	1	144	145	75	46	6	13		5	16th Octr.
			1866,	5	45	50	12	23	5	6		4	21st Novr.
12		Kangra,	1865.		24	24	10	7	1	5	1	.	...
			1866,	...	15	15	4	9	1	1	..	...	.
		Total,	1865.	1	258	259	129	78	11	34	2	5	...
			1866,	5	134	139	26	78	10	12	1	12	.
		Difference,		+4	—124	—120	—103	...	—1	—22	—1	+7	...
13	UMRITSUR.	Umritsur,	1865,	1	182	183	55	88	15	17	2	6	7th Octr.
			1866,	6	177	183	51	95	14	21	.	2	21st Augt.
14		Goordaspoor,	1865,	1	93	94	30	42	2	13	3	4	17th Novr.
			1866,	5	60	65	17	43	2	2	...	1	12th Decr.
15		Sealkote,	1865,	...	59	59	24	22	1	9	...	2	27th Novr.
			1866,	3	65	68	21	29	5	9	.	4	8th July.
		Total,	1865,	2	334	336	109	152	18	39	5	13	..
			1866,	14	302	316	89	167	21	32	.	7	..
		Difference,		—12	—32	—20	—20	+15	+3	—7	—5	—6	..

1	2	3		24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
				TO CHIEF COURT OF THE PUNJAB.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st of January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for re-investigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
10	JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur,	1865,	..	8	8	6	2	..	...	...	...	...
			1866,		21	21	13	2	1	.	...	5	1st Decr.
11		Hooshiarpoor,	1865,	2	47	49	45	2	...	1	...	1	16th Octr.
			1866,	1	11	12	9	2	...	...	...	...	...
12		Kangra,	1865.	..	1	1	1	.	...		...	...	...
			1866,	..	1	1	1	...	.		...	...	...
		Total,	1865.	2	56	58	52	4	...	1	...	1	...
			1866,	1	33	34	23	4	2	...	...	5	...
		Difference,		—1	—23	—24	—29	...	+2	—1	...	+4	...
13	UMRITSUR.	Umritsur,	1865,	1	43	44	40	3	...	...	1	..	..
			1866,	..	62	62	49	12	...	...	...	1	29th Novr.
14		Goordaspoor,	1865,	...	7	7	7	..	...	..	..	...	...
			1866,	...	6	6	6	.	...	...	...	...	...
15		Sealkote,	1865,	...	7	7	6	1	...	...	...	..	...
			1866,	.	6	6	6	...		...	...	...	...
		Total,	1865,	1	57	58	53	4	...	...	1	..	...
			1866,		74	74	61	12	...	...	...	1	...
		Difference,		—1	+23	+16	+8	+8	...	...	—1	+1	...

16	LAHORE	Lahore,	1865	..	90	90	5	69	5	11	.	...	...	3	201	204	1	159	10	26	2	6	13th Novr		31	31	26	2	1		1	1	22nd Novr.
			1866,		88	88		78	9	5	1	...	.	6	163	169	3	105	13	37	3	8	28th Augt	1	40	41	37	1	2	...	.	1	1st Decr.
17		Goojranwalla,	1865,	...	37	37	.	21	2	13	1		..	4	59	63	3	48	2	8		2	22nd Novr.	.	7	7	7	..	...	.	...	...	
			1866,	..	113	113		94	1	16	1	1	18th Decr	2	73	75	.	46	8	11	1	9	26th Novr	..	12	12	11	.	...	...		1	21st Novr.
18		Ferozepoor,	1865,	...	46	46	1	27	9	5	4	...		3	60	63	1	50	1	8	2	1	4th Decr.	...	13	13	13			...	...	.	..
			1866,		87	87	3	47	11	23	3		...	1	41	42	...	36	1	4	1		...	...	11	11	7	4		..	...	...	...
		Total,	1865,		173	173	6	117	16	29	5		.	10	320	330	5	257	13	42	4	9	.		51	51	46	2	1		1	1	..
			1866,	...	288	288	3	214	21	44	5	1	...	9	277	286	3	187	22	52	5	17	.	1	63	64	55	5	2	...	.	2	..
		Difference,		...	+115	+115	—3	+97	+5	+15	..	+1		—1	—43	—44	—2	—70	+9	+10	+1	+8	...	+1	+12	+13	+9	+3	+1		—1	+1	...
19	RAWUL PINDEE.	Rawul Pindee,	1865,		41	41	1	27	7	5	1		..	7	112	119	13	80	7	15		4	4th Novr.		30	30	23	6		1	.	...	...
			1866.	...	29	29	3	11	9	6			.	4	93	97	2	54	16	18		7	19th Novr.	..	24	24	16	4	2	.		2	17th Decr.
20		Jhelum,	1865,	...	42	42	3	30	2	6	1	...		7	72	79	9	63	2	2	.	3	1st Novr	..	18	18	14	4	..	...	1	.	.
			1866,		44	44	4	27	4	7	2	..	..	3	68	71	7	39	5	13	...	7	22nd Octr	..	9	9	9		.	..	...	...	...
21		Goojerat,	1865,	...	12	12	..	8	3	1	..	.	...	9	101	110	7	85	8	7	.	3	12th Decr.	...	23	23	22	1	...	...	.	.	...
			1866,	.	71	71	...	27	21	16	7	.	...	3	93	96	4	64	7	13		8	19 Novr.	..	20	20	20	...	...	.		.	..
22		Shahpoor,	1865,	.	21	21	8	10	1	2		...	...	7	133	140	7	91	13	15	.	14	21st Octr	...	12	12	11	...	1		...	..	..
			1866,		24	24		12	3	9	.	.	.	14	100	114	2	77	16	13	.	6	10th Octr.	..	36	36	36		..	..	...	.	..
		Total,	1865,	..	116	116	12	75	13	14	2	...	..	30	418	448	36	319	30	39	...	24	...		83	83	64	16	2	.	1		...
			1866.	...	168	168	7	77	37	38	9	...		24	354	378	15	234	44	57	...	28	..	.	89	89	81	4	2	...	...	2	..
		Difference,		..	+52	+52	—5	+2	+24	+24	+7	...	...	—6	—64	—70	—21	—85	+14	+18		+4		..	+6	+6	+17	—12	...	..	—1	+2	..
23	DERAJAT.	Bunnoo,	1865,	...	4	4		4	.	...			..	2	65	67	4	50	3	.	6	4	20th Novr.	2	15	7	10	1	2	3	...	1	29th Novr.
			1866,	.	25	25	1	16	4	4		...	..	4	47	51	3	37	1	9	1	.		1	14	15	14	1	..	.	..	...	..
24		Dera I Khan,	1865,	...	13	13	2	4	3	2	2	...	..	2	43	45	2	35	1	1	3	3	23rd Decr.	.	6	6	3	1	...	.	2	...	...
			1866,	...	20	20	3	12	3	2		...	.	3	42	45	6	32	1		4			.	9	9	7	1	..	.	1	...	...
25		Dera G Khan,	1865,	..	5	5		3	1	1	..	...	...	.	27	27	1	23	.	1	.	2	28th Decr.	.	.	..	.	1	.	.	...	..	...
			1866,	..	7	7	5	1	...		1	.	..	2	29	31	1	25	1	3	1	..		.	3	3	1	.	1	.	1	...	..
		Total,	1865,	..	22	22	2	11	4	3	2	...	..	4	135	139	7	108	4	2	9	9	...	2	22	24	13	3	2	3	2	1	...
			1866,	..	52	52	9	29	7	6	1	.	...	9	118	127	10	94	3	12	6	.	..	1	26	27	22	2	1	...	2	.	...
		Difference,		.	+30	+30	+7	+18	+3	+3	—1			+5	—17	—12	+3	—14	—1	+10	—3	—9		—1	+4	+3	+9	—1	—1	—3		—1	...

Appendix No. VII.—*Concluded.*

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				TO MAGISTRATES' COURTS.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
26	MOOLTAN.	Mooltan,	1865,	...	18	18	...	11	4	2	1	..	...
			1866,	..	27	27	2	11	8	5	1	...	...
27		Jhung,	1865,	...	10	10	4	...	3	3	...	...	...
			1866,	...	34	34	19	7	3	5	...	..	...
28		Montgomery,	1865,	..	17	17	1	13	..	3	...	...	...
			1866,	..	19	19	4	10	..	5	...	...	...
29		Mozuffergurh,	1865,	..	8	8	2	1	4	1	...	...	...
			1866,	...	24	24	...	11	9	4	...	...	...
		Total,	1865,	...	53	53	7	25	11	9	1	...	...
			1866,	...	104	104	25	39	20	19	1	..	...
		Difference,		...	+51	+51	+18	+14	+9	+10	...	.	...
30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur,	1865,	...	38	38	14	6	1	11	5	1	1st Decr.
			1866,	1	21	22	9	7	1	2	1	2	19th Decr.
31		Huzara,	1865,	.	5	5	1	2	1	...	1	..	
			1866,	...	4	4	.	3		..	1		..
32		Kohat,	1865,	...	7	7	4	...	2	1	.	...	...
			1866,	..	6	6	...	4	1	1	...	...	..
		Total,	1865,	...	50	50	19	8	4	12	6	1	..
			1866,	1	31	32	9	14	2	3	2	2	..
		Difference,		+1	—19	—18	—10	+6	—2	—9	—4	+1	...
		Grand Total	1865,	1	1,023	1,024	193	495	125	164	35	12	...
			1866,	12	1,460	1,472	166	837	189	247	25	8	...
		Difference,		+11	+437	+448	—27	+342	+64	+83	—10	—4	...

1	2	3		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
				TO SESSIONS JUDGES' COURTS.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
26	MOOLTAN.	Mooltan,	1865,	...	94	94	39	39	8	7	...	1	21st Decr.
			1866,	1	99	100	33	53	3	7	...	4	20th Novr.
27		Jhung,	1865,	6	128	134	30	63	19	21	1	...	..
			1866,	...	110	110	4	86	9	6	...	5	1st Decr.
28		Montgomery,	1865,	1	72	73	26	36	5	6	...	..	...
			1866,	...	127	127	33	74	12	4	...	4	1st Decr.
29		Mozuffergurh,	1865,	2	49	51	17	27	6	1	...	...	...
			1866,	..	32	32	11	16	5	...	..	...	...
		Total,	1865,	9	343	352	112	165	38	35	1	1	...
			1866,	1	368	369	81	229	29	17	...	13	...
		Difference,		—8	+25	+17	—31	+64	—9	—18	—1	+12	...
30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur,	1865,	8	302	310	10	194	50	36	7	13	6th Decr.
			1866,	13	375	388	20	237	60	33	14	24	12th July.
31		Huzara,	1865,	3	61	64	7	44	6	4	3	..	.
			1866,	...	40	40	5	24	1	1	2	7	31st Augt.
32		Kohat,	1865,	...	6	6	..	2	1	1	...	2	30th Augt.
			1866,	2	13	15	2	12	1		...	.	...
		Total,	1865,	11	369	380	17	240	57	41	10	15	...
			1866,	15	428	443	27	273	62	34	16	31	...
		Difference,		+4	+59	+63	+10	+33	+5	—7	+6	+16	..
		Grand Total	1865,	142	3,184	3,326	589	1,946	228	401	63	99	...
			1866,	100	2,927	3,027	467	1,776	241	325	46	172	...
		Difference,		—42	—257	—299	—122	—170	+13	—76	—17	+73	...

1	2	3		24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
				TO CHIEF COURT OF THE PUNJAB.									
Number.	Division.	District.		Pending on the 1st of January.	Preferred during the year.	Total.	Appeals rejected.	Orders confirmed.	Orders modified.	Orders reversed.	Returned for reinvestigation or revision.	Pending at the close of the year.	Date of oldest case pending.
26	MOOLTAN.	Mooltan,	1865,	3	8	11	7	1	...	2	...	1	2nd Novr.
			1866,	1	14	15	12	1	1	1	...	...	...
27		Jhung,	1865,	...	19	19	17	2	...	...	..	...	..
			1866,	.	16	16	14	...	...	..	...	2	1st Decr.
28		Montgomery,	1865,	...	14	14	14	..	...	..	...	...	...
			1866,	...	24	24	23	1	...	...	...	...	...
29		Mozuffergurh,	1865,	...	8	8	5	2	...	1	...	...	...
			1866,	...	4	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total,	1865,	3	49	52	43	5	...	3	...	1	...
			1866,	1	58	59	53	2	1	1	...	2	...
		Difference,		—2	+9	+7	+10	—3	+1	—2	...	+1	..
30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur,	1865,	...	28	28	18	7	2	1	...	...	...
			1866,	1	33	33	24	2	3	...	...	4	19th Decr.
31		Huzara,	1865,	...	..	.	..	.	...	...	..	...	...
			1866,	...	2	2	.	...	1	...	1	...	...
32		Kohat,	1865,	...	1	1	1	..	...	...	...	...	...
			1866,	...	7	7	2	...	...	...	...	5	17th Decr.
		Total,	1865,	...	29	29	19	7	2	1	...	...	..
			1866,	...	42	42	26	2	4	...	1	9	..
		Difference,		...	+13	+13	+7	—5	+2	—1	+1	+9	...
		Grand Total	1865,	11	482	493	406	46	11	10	4	15	...
			1866,	15	511	526	430	49	15	5	3	24	...
		Difference,		+4	+29	+33	+24	+3	+4	—5	—1	+9	...

Appendix No VIII.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF PROPORTIONS, PER-CENTAGES, AND AVERAGES.

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
											DURATION OF ENQUIRIES AND TRIALS.								APPEALS.				
											In Magistrate's Court										Average duration.		
Number.	Division.	District.		Per-centage of trials pending at the close of the year to total cases for disposal.	Per-centage of witnesses detained one day to total number discharged	Per-centage of persons sentenced to imprisonment alone to total sentenced	Per-centage of persons sentenced to fine alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage sentenced to whipping alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage sentenced to fine of Rs. 50 and under to total fined.	Per-centage of cases submitted by Sub-Magistrate under Section 277. to tot. Period by Sub-Magistrate.	Number of enquiries.	Total number of days.	Average duration.	Number of trials.	Total number of days	Average duration.	In Sessions Court.	In Chief Court.	Per-centage of decisions not confirmed to total appeals tried	Per-centage of cases returned to appeals not confirmed	In Magistrate's Court.	In Sessions Court.	In Chief Court.
1	DELHI.	Delhi,	1865,	·58	97	21	56	7	97	4	116	1,403	12	1,307	4,654	3	8	84	55	...	5	6	26
			1866.	45	86	19	62	6	.98	4	46	506	11	1,627	8,045	5	12	...	32	8	9	7	6
2		Goorgaon,	1865,	71	100	5	79	8	97	10	6	120	20	1,052	3,515	3	32	9	46	..	6	5	8
			1866,	·61	100	3	85	5	97	10	41	243	6	1,280	4,303	3	73	...	22	16	8	10	6
3		Kurnaul.	1865	·13	96	7	73	5	99	3	20	311	16	1,600	8,395	5	32	8	78	11	4	7	15
			1866.	38	91	4	69	4	99	1	18	269	15	1,550	10,459	6	74	6	67	5	7	9	12
		Total,	1865,	·56	98	10	71	7	98	0	112	1,834	13	3,959	16,564	4	20	20	60	3	5	6	18
			1866.	·47	90	7	74	4	99	4	105	1,018	9	4,457	22,807	5	43	6	42	7	8	9	8
		Difference,		— 9	—8	—3	+3	—3	+1	—2	—37	—816	—4	+498	+5,243	+1	+23	—14	—18	+3	+3	+3	—10
4	HISSAR.	Hissar,	1865,	·65	98	6	58	9	96	10	5	23	5	773	3,905	5	23	10	29	...	2	4	7
			1866,	·70	97	3	68	4	97	3	10	86	8	859	4,988	5	19	...	37	...	2	4	8
5		Rohtuck,	1865,	·27	98	6	59	6	96	6	5	165	33	712	3,961	5	32	28	23	...	3	6	10
			1866,	·72	96	5	65	7	98	12	13	320	24	670	3,300	5	61	...	24	...	3	9	9
6		Sirsa,	1865.	86	100	4	58	8	93	19	105	862	8	661	5,584	8	33	...	57	10	4	8	13
			1866,	2	99	2	74	9	99	5	51	534	10	700	3,927	6	23	...	29	...	9	8	20
		Total,	1865,	60	99	6	68	8	95	12	115	1,050	9	2,146	13,450	6	30	25	36	3	3	6	10
			1866,	1	97	3	68	6	98	6	74	940	12	2,229	12,215	5	39	...	30	...	4	7	13
		Difference.		+ 1	—2	—3	..	—2	+3	—6	—41	—110	+3	+83	—1,235	—1	+9	—25	—6	—3	+1	+1	+3

Appendix No. VIII—*(Continued)*

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
											DURATION OF ENQUIRIES AND TRIALS.								APPEALS.				
											In Magistrate's Court										Average duration.		
Number	Division.	District		Per-centage of trials pending at the close of the year to total cases for disposal	Per-centage of witnesses detained one day to total number discharged.	Per-centage of persons sentenced to imprisonment alone to total sentenced	Per-centage of persons sentenced to fine alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage sentenced to whipping alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage sentenced to fine of Rs. 50 and under to total fined.	Per-centage of cases submitted by Sub-Magistrate under Section 277 to total tried by Sub-Magistrate	Number of enquiries.	Total number of days.	Average duration	Number of trials.	Total number of days.	Average duration.	In Sessions Court.	In Chief Court	Per-centage of decisions not confirmed to total appeals tried.	Per-centage of cases returned to appeals not confirmed.	In Magistrate's Court.	In Sessions Court	In Chief Court.
7	UMBALLA.	Umballa, ...	1865.	1	98	11	66	5	97	3	6	391	65	1,530	8,311	5	47	12	35	5	11	46	5
			1866,	·16	98	5	47	2	99	11	9	188	21	1,782	12,116	7	139	13	38	7	14	72	·37
8		Loodiana, ...	1865,	·27	90	4	75	1	98	3	3	130	43	1,415	5,577	4	47	9	39	9	2	50	4
			1866.	14	86	5	77	3	98	2	6	41	7	1,428	5,840	4	226	..	36	...	3	73	4
9		Simla, ...	1865	·21	93	5	67	·13	96	...			...	480	2,217	4			...	...	...	65	8
			1866,	52	95	4	72	9	98	..	2	95	47	382	1,236	3	125	3	...	...	..	64	1
		Total.	1865,	·60	94	7	70	5	97	2	9	521	58	3,425	16,105	5	15	11	25	5	4	48	5
			1866,	19	92	6	73	3	98	83	17	324	19	3,592	19,222	5	153	8	38	7	11	72	31
		Difference, ..		—41	—2	—1	+3	—2	+1	—117	+8	—197	—39	+167	+3,117	...	+138	—3	+13	+2	+7	+24	+26
10	JULLUNDUR.	Jullundur, ..	1865,	61	93	4	75	6	99	·61	25	253	·10	1,297	5,209	4	36	21	47	6	8	15	21
			1866,	14	93	5	72	6	97	1	22	212	9	1,371	9,261	6	46	10	37	8	4	20	12
11		Hoshiarpoor,	1865,	·45	95	12	64	7	98	·24	95	257	3	1,432	7,887	5	46	38	19	...	12	14	7
			1866,	·49	94	3	84	3	99	...	91	422	4	1,328	4,329	3	59	4	33	...	4	32	20
12		Kangra, ..	1865,	1	95	2	89	1	99	·97	5	114	23	2,539	21,626	·8	68	21	50	5	10	17	1
			1866,	1	90	2	90	·6	99	2	93	1,477	16	2,118	21,297	10	55	7	27	...	3	21	1
		Total, ..	1865,	88	94	5	79	4	99	·61	125	624	5	5,268	34,722	6	46	42	39	4	10	15	8
			1866,	76	92	3	83	3	99	·51	206	2,111	10	4,817	34,887	7	50	7	35	2	4	24	18
		Difference, .		—12	—2	—2	+4	—1	...	—10	+81	+1,487	+5	—451	+165	+1	+4	—35	—4	—2	—6	+9	+10

13	UMRITSUR.	Umritsur, ...	1865,	·70	96	3	82	2	98	·8	13	164	12	3,648	28,990	8	28	22	51	37	20	18	8
			1866,	·68	93	3	82	1	98	1	25	323	13	4,087	50,365	12	33	7	31	...	25	17	15
14		Goordaspore, ...	1865,	·82	98	11	58	4	·95	·34	1	30	30	1,449	7,947	6	68	55	69	...	4	14	3
			1866,	1	93	9	68	5	98	·4	24	244	10	1,539	11,428	7	28	3	33	...	3	27	10
15		Sealkote, ...	1865,	·16	99	10	70	1	97	·78	3	28	9	1,215	10,444	9	32	21	36	8	8	14	6
			1866,	·9	89	5	75	1	97	...	1	2	2	1,201	9,498	8	128	2	25	...	5	19	8
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	·62	98	6	77	2	97	·62	17	222	13	6,312	47,381	7	35	30	52	15	11	16	7
			1866,	·82	93	4	79	1	99	1	50	569	11	6,827	71,291	10	35	5	31	...	18	20	13
		DIFFERENCE, ...		+20	—5	—2	+2	—1	+2	+·38	+33	+347	—2	+515	+23,910	+3	...	—25	—21	—15	+7	+4	+6
16	LAHORE.	Lahore, ...	1865,	·15	91	7	66	3	97	2	25	310	12	3,070	8,989	3	23	20	25	...	9	17	11
			1866,	·36	94	3	82	3	99	1	33	327	10	3,435	7,201	2	20	6	17	6	3	15	9
17		Goojranwalla, ...	1865,	·65	88	10	64	4	99	16	1	24	24	1,206	11,078	9	27	10	43	6	35	25	5
			1866,	·3	83	10	64	4	97	4	4	393	98	1,406	9,961	7	29	6	16	1	16	24	9
18		Ferozepore, ...	1865,	·22	94	1	60	3	98	·5	2	46	23	1,345	5,092	4	66	...	39	22	2	23	2
			1866,	·47	97	5	68	2	98	2	8	61	7	1,472	6,499	4	40	17	44	8	4	28	16
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	·30	91	7	64	·3	97	6	28	380	14	5,621	25,159	5	26	16	36	9	15	20	7
			1866,	·36	91	4	77	3	99	2	45	781	17	6,313	23,661	3	25	7	24	7	8	24	11
		DIFFERENCE, ..		+·6	...	—3	+13	...	+2	—4	+17	+401	+3	+692	—1,498	—2	—1	—9	—12	—2	—7	+4	+4
19	RAWUL PINDEE.	Rawul Pindee, ...	1865,	·53	94	3	71	2	98	1	49	1,310	27	2,015	9,504	5	51	28	68	8	6	17	17
			1866,	·12	98	2	72	1	99	1	64	2,181	34	2,521	13,656	5	77	19	57	...	2	27	21
20		Jhelum, ...	1865,	1	90	4	80	1	97	·39	6	50	8	876	4,412	5	66	9	23	...	7	18	16
			1866,	...	92	2	84	1	98	...	3	86	29	1,153	5,238	5	12	...	33	15	6	29	5
21		Goojerat, ...	1865,	·89	98	1	64	4	94	1	5	40	8	1,380	6,614	5	33	12	33	...	5	14	3
			1866,	·3	99	1	71	3	83	1	11	97	9	1,426	7,822	5	68	5	19	5	1	17	4
22		Shahpore, ...	1865,	·36	87	2	38	·9	93	2	19	231	12	1,108	4,055	3	...	34	23	...	4	16	20
			1866,	1	82	3	72	2	93	1	37	178	4	1,145	5,695	5	25	...	50	...	10	33	5
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	·61	92	3	64	2	96	1	79	1,631	20	5,379	24,585	4	62	21	37	2	5	16	13
			1866,	·40	94	2	74	1	97	1	115	2,542	22	6,245	32,411	5	74	16	52	10	3	27	9
		DIFFERENCE, ...		—·21	+2	—1	+10	—1	+1	...	+36	+911	+2	+866	+7,826	+1	+12	—5	+15	+8	—2	+11	—4

Appendix No. VIII.—*Continued.*

1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
											DURATION OF ENQUIRIES AND TRIALS.								APPEALS.				
											IN MAGISTRATE'S COURT.										AVERAGE DURATION.		
NUMBER.	DIVISION.	DISTRICT.		Per-centage of trials pending at the close of the year to total cases for disposal.	Per-centage of witnesses detained one day to total number discharged.	Per-centage of persons sentenced to imprisonment alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage of persons sentenced to fine alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage sentenced to whipping alone to total sentenced.	Per-centage sentenced to fine of Rs. 50 and under to total fined.	Per-centage of cases submitted by Sub-Magistrate under Section 277 to total tried by Sub-Magistrate.	Number of enquiries.	Total number of days.	Average duration.	Number of trials.	Total number of days.	Average duration.	In Sessions Court.	In Chief Court.	Per-centage of decisions not confirmed to total appeals tried.	Per-centage of cases returned to appeals not confirmed.	In Magistrate's Court.	In Sessions Court.	In Chief Court.
23	DERAJAT.	Bunnoo, ...	1865,	·55	80	2	70	3	98	...	15	358	24	1,237	9,326	8	103	2	...	...	12	14	41
			1866,	·45	73	2	81	·91	99	...	13	251	19	1,095	10,160	9	98	...	33	...	8	290	12
24		Dera Ismail Khan, ...	1865,	1	94	11	75	2	97	7	250	2,507	10	907	7,645	8	39	6	63	28	28	13	54
			1866,	1	91	7	78	2	97	3	82	570	6	953	8,685	9	53	...	29	...	36	17	14
25		Dera Gazee Khan, ...	1865,	1	91	9	63	6	96	7	17	87	5	1,218	6,917	5	113	6	40	...	6	7	76
			1866,	2	86	7	63	7	97	13	12	364	30	1,345	10,307	7	79	18	50	100	74	30	37
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	1	88	6	70	3	97	5	282	2,952	10	3,362	23,888	7	82	4	34	9	15	13	46
			1866,	1	83	4	74	3	98	7	107	1,185	11	3,393	29,152	8	77	18	32	7	26	25	15
		DIFFERENCE, ...		...	—5	—2	+4	...	+1	+2	—175	—1,767	+1	+31	+5,264	+1	—5	+14	—2	—2	+11	+12	—31
26	MOOLTAN.	Mooltan, ...	1865,	1	85	18	54	5	99	3	7	81	11	904	5,061	5	33	...	39	14	5	8	42
			1866,	·76	84	13	57	4	96	1	12	153	12	1,148	7,115	6	48	10	56	7	7	13	20
27		Jhung, ...	1865,	·70	90	11	61	2	99	6	25	271	11	680	4,179	6	44	...	40	...	8	13	8
			1866,	2	82	9	59	1	97	3	37	621	16	792	7,449	9	56	...	24	...	15	16	3
28		Montgomery, ...	1865,	1	98	4	58	10	98	28	36	320	9	846	2,710	3	66	7	19	33	2	14	1
			1866,	·18	·96	4	60	7	97	32	19	230	12	1,021	4,329	4	40	...	33	...	1	16	8
29		Mozuffurgurh, ...	1865,	·37	95	1	48	6	99	7	11	121	11	518	1,865	4	14	15	83	...	4	9	28
			1866,	·74	93	1	64	5	99	7	61	252	4	658	3,463	5	75	14	54	...	4	17	4
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	1	92	10	56	6	98	11	79	793	10	2,948	13,815	5	28	11	45	12	5	12	16
			1866,	·81	89	7	59	4	97	12	129	1,256	9	3,619	22,356	6	67	12	50	2	8	15	9
		DIFFERENCE, ...		—19	—3	—3	+3	—2	—1	+1	+50	+463	—1	+671	+8,541	+1	+39	+1	+5	—10	+3	+3	—7

30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur, ...	1865,	1	75	6	66	3	97	2	119	675	6	2,909	12,502	4	100	11	78	29	10	22	13
			1866,	1	71	9	60	3	97	4	26	326	12	2,573	13,415	5	229	1	36	25	7	15	13
31		Huzara, ...	1865,	·10	92	13	70	·2	97	...	13	359	27	924	4 714	5	162	2	40	60	28	17	...
			1866,	1	73	5	74	1	99	2	10	265	27	615	5,114	8	166	14	75	25	26	12	46
32		Kohat, ...	1865,	·47	78	8	61	2	98	13	83	617	7	631	8,171	13	34	..	100	...	10	128	29
			1866	1	62	9	65	2	97	12	67	136	2	600	6,613	11	188	...	33	...	10	50	2
		TOTAL, ...	1865,	·8	82	7	66	2	97	5	215	1,651	8	4,464	25,387	6	115	8	73	30	13	22	14
			1866,	1	70	8	63	3	97	5	103	727	7	3,788	25,142	6	208	10	33	28	10	16	14
		DIFFERENCE, ..		+·2	—10	—1	—3	+1	...	...	—112	—924	—1	—676	—245	...	+93	+2	—40	—2	—3	—6	...
		GRAND TOTAL, ...	1865,	·69	93	6	68	4	97	5	1,091	11,658	10	42,884	2,41,056	5	46	18	44	9	9	17	11
			1866,	·76	90	3	74	3	98	3	951	11,453	12	45,280	2,93,144	6	77	9	35	5	9	23	12
		DIFFERENCE, ...		+·07	—3	—3	+6	—1	+1	—2	—140	—205	+2	+2,396	+52,088	+1	+31	—9	—9	—4	...	+6	+1

ABSTRACT STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ATTACHED TO THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT PUNISHED

DESIGNATION.	NUMBER DISMISSED.											NUMBER SUSPENDED.										
	Delhi Division.	Hissar Division.	Umballa Division.	Jullundur Division.	Umritsur Division.	Lahore Division.	Rawul Pindee Division.	Derajat Division.	Mooltan Division.	Peshawur Division.	Total.	Delhi Division.	Hissar Division.	Umballa Division.	Jullundur Division.	Umritsur Division.	Lahore Division.	Rawul Pindee Division.	Derajat Division.	Mooltan Division.	Peshawur Division.	Total.
Supdt. or 1st Reader,...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Readers, ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	2	...	1	...	1	...	...	5
Record Keepers, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Depy. Record Keepers,	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Writers, ...	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Departmental Clerks,...	...	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	2
Registrars of fines, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sheriffs, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	2
Messengers, ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tehseeldars, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
Muzkoorees or Process Servers, ...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Chowkeedars, ...	...	...	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tent Pitcher, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	...	1	3	14	...	...	5	...	...	...	23	1	...	...	3	...	2	...	4	...	...	10

No. IX.

BY COMMISSIONERS AND DEPUTY COMMISSIONERS IN THEIR EXECUTIVE CAPACITY DURING THE YEAR 1866

NUMBER FINED.																						TOTAL PUNISHED.									
Delhi Division.		Hissar Division.		Umballa Division.		Jullundur Division.		Umritsur Division.		Lahore Division.		Rawul Pindee Division.		Derajat Division.		Mooltan Division.		Peshawur Division.		TOTAL.		Delhi Division.	Hissar Division.	Umballa Division.	Jullundur Division.	Umritsur Division.	Lahore Division.	Rawul Pindee Division.	Derajat Division.	Mooltan Division.	Peshawur Division.	TOTAL.
Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.	Number.	Amount of fine.											
...	...	1	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	1	25	3	37	..	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	3
1	0- 8	1	2	1	3	5	12	1	2	...	...	...	...	..	.	...	...	3	10	12	29- 8	2	1	1	8	1	1	1	1	...	3	19
1	0- 4	1	10	..	...	1	10	1	2	1	1	3	19	.	...	1	15	1	32	10	89- 4	1	1	...	1	1	1	3	...	1	1	10
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	2	7	...	..	...	...	...	...	2	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3
4	14	2	5-4	...	...	...	...	...	..	2	12	9	27-8	...	...	4	22	6	28	27	108-12	4	3	...	...	...	2	11	..	4	6	30
...	...	...	...	4	9- 6	9	33	1	1	12	27-4	1	0-8	...	...	...	...	1	3	28	74- 2	..	:	4	12	1	12	2	1	...	1	33
...	...	...	...	1	0- 8	2	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	27- 8	...	...	1	2	..	...	..	..	...	...	3
1	20	2	6	..	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	10	2	22- 8	...	...	7	59- 8	1	2	.	1	...	1	...	2	2	...	9
...	...	1	1	...	...	..	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	2	3	5	6	..	1	1	..	1	...	1		..	2	6
...	...	..	...	1	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	1	25	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	..	...	2
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	4	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	4	..	...	2	...	6	...	...	..	..	...	8
...	...	...	...	...	...	43	84- 8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	5- 4	...	...	56	89-12	...	...	...	54	...		...	..	13	...	67
...	...	...	...	...	...	1	0- 4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	0- 4	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
7	34-12	8	34-4	7	37-14	62	167-12	10	10	15	40-4	17	57	1	10	20	64-12	14	101	161	557-10	8	9	10	79	10	17	22	5	20	14	194

Appendix No. X.

ANNUAL STATEMENT OF DISPUTES RELATING TO THE POSSESSION OF LAND OR RIGHT OF USE OF LAND OR WATER IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB, FOR 1866.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
			Cases.									
						Decided under Code of Criminal Procedure.						
Number.	Division.	District.	Pending at the close of 1865.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Section 318.	Section 319.	Section 320.	Total.	Pending at the close of 1866.	Pending beyond two months.	Remarks.
1	DELHIE.	Delhie, ...	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	
2		Goorgaon, ..	..	..	..	2	..	..	2	..	..	
3		Kurnaul, ..	..	13	13	13	..	..	13	..	..	
		Total, ..	..	14	14	16	..	..	16	..	...	
4	HISSAR.	Hissar, ..	..	5	5	3	1	1	5	..	..	
5		Rohtuck, ..	..	25	25	24	1	..	25	..	..	
6		Sirsa, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
		Total, ..	..	30	30	27	2	1	30	..	..	
7	UMBALLA.	Umballa, ..	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	
8		Loodiana, ..	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	
9		Simla, ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	...	..	
		Total, ..	..	2	2	2	..	..	2	..	..	

Appendix No. X—(*Concluded*).

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
			Cases.									
						Decided under Code of Criminal Procedure.						
Number.	Division.	District.	Pending at the close of 1865.	Instituted during the year.	Total,	Section 318.	Section 319.	Section 320.	Total.	Pending at the close of 1866.	Pending beyond two months.	Remarks.
10	JULLUNDER.	Jullundur,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
11		Hooshearpoor,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
12		Kangra,	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	
		Total,	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	
13	AMRITSAR.	Amritsar,	..	4	4	3	1	..	4	..	..	
14		Goordaspoor,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
15		Sealkote,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
		Total,	..	4	4	3	1	..	4	..	..	
16	LAHORE.	Lahore,	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	
17		Ferozepoor,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
18		Goojranwalla,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
		Total,	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	

19	RAWUL PINDEE.	Rawul Pindee,	..	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..
20		Jhelum,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
21		Goojerat,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
22		Shahpoor,	..	..	2	2	2	..	..	2	..	..
		TOTAL,	..	..	3	3	3	..	..	3	..	..
23	DERAJAT.	Bunnoo,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
24		Dera Ismail Khan,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
25		Dera Gazee Khan,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
		TOTAL,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
26	MOOLTAN.	Mooltan,	..	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..
27		Jhung,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
28		Mozuffergurh,	..	..	1	1	1	..	..	1	..	..
29		Montgomery,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
		TOTAL,	..	..	2	2	2	..	..	2	..	..
30	PESHAWUR.	Peshawur,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
31		Huzara,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
32		Kohat,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
		TOTAL,	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
		GRAND TOTAL,	..	..	57	57	55	3	1	59	..	..

Appendix No. XI.

STATEMENT EXHIBITING THE DETAILS OF THE ORIGINAL WORK OF THE JUDICIAL OFFICERS EMPLOYED IN THE PUNJAB, FOR 1866.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICERS.				Total No of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.								
																Inquiries.			Trials.					
District.	Powers.	Office.	Names.	Time during which he held office.	Undisposed of at the close of the year	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	Total.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of September.	November	December	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do by Sub-Magte under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec 277	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	Remarks.
			DELHIE DIVISION.	M. D.																				
DELHI.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District.	Dy. Commr.,	Captain C. A. McMahon, ...	11 0	4	79	83	1	55	27	.		..	..	.	5	1	..	...	33		.	11	
			Ditto, ...	11 0	1	90	91	.	68	21	2				2	.2	.	...	...	57	1	456	5	
	Magistrate, ...	Offg. Dy. Commr., .	Mr. T. W. Smyth, ...	1 0	...	3	3	1	1	1	..	...				...	1	..	...	3	...	31	14	
	Ditto,	Assistant Do.,	Lieutenant A. Harcourt.	0 24	..	9	9	...	7	2	...					..	...	...	...	9	...	12	2	
	Ditto,	Assist Commr.,	Mr. W. Coldstream, ...	2 4	1	13	14	...	1	12	.			...		1	...	...	...	6	...	.	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. E. O'Brien, ...	5 6	...	209	209	5	135	63	2	3	2	...	..	2	3	...	...	93	3	114	5	
	Ditto,	Judge S. Cause Court,	Mr. T. W Smyth, ...	6 26	...	47	47	10	21	16	..	...				2	2	...	..	21	.	26	11	
	Ditto,	Extra Assistant, .	Mr. T. W. Bailey, ...	12 0	..	344	344	7	175	160	2	...	1	.		7	4	.	...	168	2	185	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Rae Bunsee Lall, ...	12 0	3	528	531	2	307	221	1	..			1	3	4	.		322	1	216	9	
	Ditto,	Dist Supt. Police, ...	Captain Orchard, ...	12 0	...	1	1	..	.		1	...		...	1	..	.	1	...	.	..	..	...	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st Class,	Assistant Commr., .	Mr. A. Stogden, ...	12 0	...	289	289	..	175	114	...	...	...	..	.	...	5	..	27	133	...	153	5	
	Ditto,	Honorary Magistrate,	Saheb Sing and others, .	12 0	.	1,109	1,109	...	480	629		...		...	...	...	..	.	.	635	...	...	1	
	Ditto,	Tehseeldar of Delhie,	Nujjuff Khan, ...	12 0	..	23	23	...	14	9	...	..	...	...	...	.	.	.	.	4	...	11	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Hurdeo Sahae, ...	12 0	...	158	158	...	65	93	...	..	.	.	...	..	1	..	1	54	..	47	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd Class,	Assistant Commr., ..	Mr. W. E. Purser, ...	2 20	...	23	23	...	11	12	..	...	...	...		...	3	.	7	14	..	7	2	
	Ditto,	Tehseedar of Bulubgur,	Raja Lall, ...	12 0	...	164	164		103	61	..	...	.			...	.	...	.	56	...	65	4	
	Ditto,	Naib Ditto of Delhie,	Thakur Dass, ...	12 0	...	82	82	...	30	52	..	..	...	...		..		.	.	19	..	24	14	
		Total, ..	By Deputy Commissioner under Act XV of 1862, ...	...	4	79	83	1	55	27	...		.	...	..	5	1	.	.	33		.	11	
			By Magistrate, ...	...	5	1,244	1,249	25	715	496	8	3	3	.	4	17	14	1	.	679	7	1,040	8	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...	..	1,848	1,848	...	878	970	...		...	...			9	...	35	915	.	307	3	
			Grand Total, ...	..	9	3,171	3,180	26	1,648	1,493	8	3	3	...	4	22	24	1	35	1,627		1,347	5	

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICERS.					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.								
																	INQUIRIES.			TRIALS.					
DISTRICT.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	TOTAL.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of September.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.																				
GOORGAON.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Dy. Commissioner	Lieutenant Colonel J. M. Cripps, ...	6	27	6	34	40	...	21	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	...	11	
	II. Magistrate of District, ...		Lieutenant Colonel J. M. Cripps, ...	6	27	4	250	254	3	218	23	...	...	...	...	...	2	10	...	...	156	...	299	2	
			Mr. L. Cowan, ...	5	3	...	229	229	9	158	38	...	...	...	...	...	4	10	...	...	96	...	262	5	
	Magistrate, ...	Asist. Commr., ...	Mr. L. Cowan, ...	5	15	2	118	120	...	78	23	6	1	...	...	7	...	6	...	...	80	4	12	5	
	Ditto,	Extra Assist. Commr.	Syud Sufder Hussen,, ...	12	0	...	699	699	...	604	79	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	263	...	130	2	
	Sub-Magte. 1st class, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. J. Frizelle, ...	4	6	...	26	26	...	20	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	66	4	
	Ditto,	Honorary Magistrate,	Tafuzzul Hussen, Jageerdar, ...	12	0	...	31	31	...	19	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	26	4	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Rewaree, ...	Imdad Ally, ...	12	0	...	324	324	...	288	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	99	...	52	4	
	Ditto,	Do. Pulwul,... ...	Pyaree Lall, ...	12	0	...	369	369	...	293	73	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	107	1	48	5	
	Ditto.	Ditto, ...	Budruddin, ...	12	0	...	301	301	...	250	50	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	83	1	32	3	
	Sub-Magte. 2nd class, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. W. E. Purser,...	7	25	...	131	131	...	44	87	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	51	...	52	4	
	Ditto,	Customs Officer, ...	Mr. J. O. Horst, ...	12	0	...	4	4	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	3	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Noh, ...	Sheo Lall, ...	12	0	...	262	262	..	235	25	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	82	1	28	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Goorgaon, ...	Shoukie Ram, ...	7	0	...	178	178	...	151	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	62	...	17	2	
	Ditto,	Naib do. do. ...	Bunsidhur, ...	12	0	...	264	264	...	249	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	69	...	22	1	
	Ditto,	Do. Ferozepoor, ...	Ram Richpal, ...	12	0	...	89	89	...	67	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...	22	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Rewaree, ...	Doorga Pershad, ...	12	0	...	105	105	...	56	49	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28	...	17	6	
	Ditto,	Do. Pulwul, ...	Gholam Hussein Khan, ...	12	0	...	62	62	...	53	8	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	1	9	6	
		TOTAL, ...	By Deputy Commissioner under Act XV of 1862, ...		...	6	34	40	...	21	19		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	26	...	...	11	
			By Magistrate.		...	6	1,296	1,302	12	1,058	163	6	1	...	...	7	6	35	...	65	595	4	703	3	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...		..	..	2,146	2,146	...	1,727	411	6	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	659	4	391	3	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...		...	12	3,476	3,488	12	2,806	593	12	2	...	...	7	6	35	...	65	1,280	8	1,094	3	

				M.	D.																		
KURNAUL.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District.	Deputy Commr.	Captain J. Parsons,	12	0	1	75	76	...	53	22	..	...	1	1	4	...	1	...	42	...	...	14
			Ditto ditto.	12	0	...	212	212	9	153	30	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	115	...	111	10
	Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. J. Frizelle,	1	7	...	15	15	...	11	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	14	2
	Ditto,	Extra Asstt. Commr.	Mitten Lall,	12	0	6	791	797	...	482	296	1	1	...	2	2	...	2	...	361	...	180	14
	Ditto,	Ditto.	Sham Lall,	12	0	5	471	476	...	294	179	2	1	...	3	4	...	3	...	243	...	215	7
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Assistant Commr., ..	Mr. C. Burton,	2	13	...	52	52	...	30	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	...	23	3
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. J. Frizelle,	2	17	...	23	23	...	13	10	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...	16	1
	Ditto,	Hony. Magistrate, ...	Nawab Ahmed Ally Khan,	12	0	...	125	125	...	77	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	50	...	21	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Nawab Mahomed Ally Khan	12	0	...	83	83	...	18	65	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	33	...	..	7
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Kurnaul	Bahee Jusmere Singh,	12	0	...	21	21	...	5	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	9	13
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Anokh Sing,	12	0	...	14	14	...	8	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	4	2
	Ditto,	Do. of Paneeput,	Sookun Lall,	12	0	...	357	357	...	307	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	135	...	22	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Gurounda,	Ahmud Hoossein,	12	0	...	54	54	...	26	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	17	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Kythul,	Ameer Ally,	12	0	...	151	151	...	53	98	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	78	...	53	4
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Assistant Commr., .	Mr. E. O'Brien,	4	0	...	76	76	...	35	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	3	43	...	25	5
	Ditto,	Extra Asstt. Commr.	Mr. A. C. Raynor,	0	25	...	3	3	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	3	...	6	2
	Ditto,	Hony. Magistrates....	Nawab Amanoola Khan & Sheik Boullee Buksh,	12	0	..	505	505	...	138	367	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	270	...	16	1
	Ditto.	Tehsildar of Goolah,	Showkee Ram,	3	7	...	64	64	...	16	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	4	4
	Ditto,	Naib ditto ditto.	Deen Dyal,	2	3	...	64	64	...	51	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	3	3
	Ditto,	Do do. Paneeput,	Wuzeer Chund,	10	0	...	71	71	...	42	29	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	1	2
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,			1	75	76	...	53	22	...	1	...	1	4	...	1	...	42	...	...	14
			By Magistrate,			11	1,489	1,500	9	940	509	3	2	...	5	14	...	5	...	730	...	520	11
			By Sub-Magistrate,			...	1,663	1,663	...	821	842	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	11	778	...	220	3
			Grand Total, ...			12	3,227	3,239	9	1,814	1,373	3	3	..	1	5	18	...	6	11	1,550	...	740	7

HISSAR DIVISION.

				M	D.																		
HISSAR.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Dy. Commr.	Major J. Fendall,	9	0	...	29	29	..	24	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	10
			Major J. Fendall,	9	0	2	240	242	5	171	66	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	137	...	999	6
	Magistrate.	Offg. Dy. Commr., ...	Mr. R. T. Burney,	3	0	...	101	101	4	78	19	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	65	...	329	4
	Ditto,	Asst. Commissioner,	Major T. F. Forster,	6	16	1	120	121	...	72	48	1	...	...	1	...	3	...	...	68	1	236	8
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.,	Hurgobind,	10	0	...	181	181	...	137	43	.	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	106	...	215	6
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Canal Officer, ...	Mr. H. Dupuis,	12	0	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	24
	Ditto,	Tehseeldar of Hissar,	Ramjee Dass,	2	15	...	34	34	...	9	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	18	...	...	2
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Jyedial Singh,	7	16	...	220	220	...	159	61	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	71	...	...	4
	Ditto,	Ditto of Hansi, ...	Ruttun Lall,	4	15	...	110	110	...	67	43	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	44	...	...	7
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Ramjee Dass,	7	16	...	137	137	...	75	58	...	4	...	4	...	...	...	1	68	1	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto of Bhewanee,	Jyedial Singh,	4	15	...	151	151	...	104	47	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	40	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Gunda Mull,	7	16	...	234	234	...	166	68	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	98	.	..	3
	Ditto,	Ditto of Burwalla,	Bunseedhur,	4	15	4	30	34	...	23	11	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	15	..	...	17
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Ruttun Lall,	7	16	...	94	94	...	52	40	2	..	...	..	2	...	...	2	38	1	...	6
	Ditto,	Ditto of Futtiabad,	Bunseedhur,	7	16	...	123	123	..	64	54	4	1	...	...	5	...	...	2	50	3	...	7
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Tehdr. of Hissar, ...	Ramjee Dass,	2	0	...	27	27	..	16	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	..	...	3
	Ditto.	Ditto of Futtiabad,	Heera Nund,	4	15	..	14	14	..	8	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	7	..	...	14
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	29	29	...	24	5	.	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	13	...	...	10
			By Magistrate,	...		3	642	645	9	458	176	1	.	...	...	1	6	4	...	...	376	1	1,779	6
			By Sub-Magistrate,	...		4	1,175	1,179	...	744	416	6	5	...	...	11	...	...	..	16	470	5		5
			Grand Total, ...	..		7	1,846	1,853	9	1,226	605	7	5	..	...	12	6	4		16	859	6	1,779	5

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
District.	OFFICERS.			Time during which he held office.	Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.						Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of	Average duration.	Remarks.
																Inquiries.			Trials.					
	Powers.	Office.	Names.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	Total.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	September.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.			
				M. D.									Since the month of											
ROHTUCK.	I. Under Act XV of 1862, II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Colonel F. E. Voyle, ...	6 10	...	25	25	...	13	12	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	.	7	...	...	16	
			Major T. F. Forster, ...	5 21	...	2	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	2	...	...	3	
			Colonel F. E. Voyle, ...	6 10	1	115	116	1	55	42	15	..	...	.	1	2	...	1	...	44	...	248	11	
			Major T. F. Forster, ...	5 21	...	95	95	5	58	30	...	...	..		..	6	...	...	...	62	..	237	8	
	Magistrate,	Ex-Asst. Commr.,	Mr. C. W. Lennox, ...	8 28	4	267	271	...	174	93	2	2	1	1	1	1	...	2	...	101	1	513	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Purtab Singh, ...	12 0	...	377	377	2	242	133	..	...	...	..	...	2	...	...	...	154	..	387	3	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Tehsildar of Rohtuk,	Amanat Ali, ...	12 0	...	147	147	..	98	49	.	...	.	..	...	...	...	...	14	62	...	56	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto Sampla, ...	Goolam Nubbi, ...	12 0	...	269	269	...	203	61	1	3	..	...	1	...	...	..	10	110	1	185	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto . Jhujjur, ...	Herjeewun Lall, ...	12 0	...	200	200	....	169	31	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	.	5	84	...	120	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto Gohana, ...	Ikrar Ali, ...	12 0	...	137	137	...	55	82	...	...	...	.	.	...	2	...	13	42	...	27	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Canal Officer,	Captain E. C. Earle, ...	12 0	...	5	5	..	5	...	...	...	..	..		..	...	..		1	..	..	1	
	Ditto,	Customs Officer, ...	Mr J. G. Clarkson, ...	12 0	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	1	...	...	1	
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV. of 1862,	...	...	27	27	...	15	12	...	...	...	..		..	.	.		9	...	. . .	13	
			By Magistrate, ...	...	5	854	859	8	529	298	17	2	1	1	2	11	...	3	...	361	1	1,385	6	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...	...	759	759	.	531	223	1	3	...	...	1	...	2	..	42	300	1	388	4	
			Grand Total, ...	...	5	1,640	1,645	8	1,075	533	18	5	1	1	3	11	2	3	42	670	2	1,773	5	

				M.	D.																		
SIRSA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr,	Mr. J. H. Oliver, ...	2	3	...	13	13	...	12	1	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	20
			Lieut. Col. H. A. Dwyer, ...	9	1	.	5	5	...	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	6
			Mr. J. H. Oliver, ...	2	3	2	41	43	...	12	30	..	..	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	22	...	218	6
			Lieut. Col. H. A. Dwyer, ...	9	1	...	239	239	7	96	102	6	8	...	1	13	9	...	...	...	121	10	970	8
	Magistrate, ...	Ex-Asst. Commr, ...	Mehtab Singh, in-charge of Fazilka out-post, ...	12	0	4	371	375	2	210	148	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	186	...	96	6
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. T. E. Ellison, ...	7	6	...	137	137	...	58	76	1	2	...	.	3	..	2	...	5	59	2	101	8
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Sirsa, ...	Summun Lall, ...	10	0	...	291	291	..	201	90	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	7	147	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Do. of Sohowala, ...	Jeewun Ram, ...	7	0	...	116	116	...	52	63	...	1	...	...	1	.	2	...	1	63	1	...	4
	Ditto,	Do. of Fazilka, ...	Jeewun Ram, ...	1	1	...	16	16	...	6	10	...	...	...	...	...	..	3	...	...	7	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Fazilka, ...	Summun Lall, ...	2	0	...	31	31	...	16	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	1	12	...	...	9
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. T. E. Ellison, ...	4	25	3	41	44	...	9	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	25	..	...	4
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Sirsa, ...	Moolchund, ...	1	1	...	6	6	...	3	...	2	1	...	...	3	...	1	...	1	3	3	...	1
	Ditto,	Do. of Sohowala, ...	Khooshyall Raie, ...	3	21	..	37	37	...	18	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Fazilka, ...	Saheb Dyal, ...	8	22	...	82	82	...	54	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	1	31	...	...	3
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,			...	18	18	...	16	2	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	12
			By Magistrate			6	651	657	9	318	280	6	8	...	1	13	17	...	...	...	329	10	1,284	6
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			3	757	760	...	417	334	3	4	...	...	7	...	34	...	18	366	6	101	5
			GRAND TOTAL, ...			9	1,426	1.435	9	751	616	9	12	...	1	20	17	34	...	18	700	16	1,385	6
			UMBALLA DIVISION.	M.	D.																			
UMBALLA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magte. of District, ...	Deputy Commr.,	Captain J. S. Tighe, ...	12	0	38	49	87	...	61	16	8	2	1	6	3	...	...	...	...	33	2	...	66
			Captain J. S. Tighe, ...	12	0	...	95	95	7	73	15	...	...	...	...	..	5	...	...	...	34	...	1,717	15
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. D. G. Barkley, ...	6	0	...	32	32	...	27	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	...	7
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. A. R. Bulman, ...	11	8	...	191	191	4	87	100	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	112	...	...	6
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. R. C. Hawkins, ...	11	0	...	205	205	3	74	128	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	124	...	...	12
	Ditto,	Ex-Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. G. Thomson, ...	12	0	...	169	169	1	75	90	3	...	...	3	...	1	...	1	...	114	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Madho Pershad, ...	11	0	5	186	191	...	119	72	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	106	...	...	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Jamal Alli, ...	12	0	1	316	317	...	185	132	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	193	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Cantonment Magte.,	Captain. E. A. C. Lambert, ...	12	0	...	385	385	...	331	54	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	258	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Officer in charge of Jail,	Doctor R. S. Bateson, ...	12	0	...	2	2	...	2	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	12
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Honorary Magte., ...	Jeewun Singh, Raees of Boree, ..	12	0	...	205	205	...	118	87	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	78	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Rai Kishen Singh, do. of Raipoor,	12	0	...	48	48	...	30	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Sirdar Bhugwan Singh, Sohana, ...	12	0	...	39	39	...	26	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	7
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Pertab Singh, of Khureendwa, ...	12	0	...	101	101	...	38	63	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	...	...	6
	Ditto,	Tesldar. of Umballa,	Sahdoodeen Khan, ...	12	0	...	48	48	...	36	12	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	19
	Ditto,	Do. of Jugadhree, ...	Burkut Ali, ...	12	0	...	136	136	...	101	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	77	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Do. Khurur, ...	Mahomed Rusheed, ...	12	0	...	277	277	...	280	47	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	99	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Do. Naraingurh, ...	Ajoodhya Pershad, ...	10	0	...	385	385	...	124	261	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	161	...	...	12
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Do. Piplee, ...	Bahadoor Hoosein, ...	12	0	...	396	396	...	231	165	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	215	...	...	4
	Ditto,	Do. Roopur	Hameed Ali, ...	11	0	...	125	125	...	90	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	58	...	...	3
		TOTAL, ..	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			38	49	87	...	61	16	8	2	1	6	3	...	...	...	...	33	2	...	66
			By Magistrate, ...			6	1,581	1,587	15	973	596	3	...	..	3	...	9	...	1	...	959	...	1,717	5
			By Sub-Magistrate			...	1,760	1,760	...	1,024	736	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	2	790	...	...	6
			GRAND TOTAL, ...			44	3,390	3,434	15	2,058	1,348	11	2	1	9	3	9	...	1	2	1,782	2	1,717	7

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICERS.					*Total No. of persons for disposal.*			*Number of persons.*			*Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.*					CASES.								
																	ENQUIRIES.			TRIALS.					
DISTRICT.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	TOTAL.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	*Since the* September.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.																				
LOODIANA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862,	Dy. Commissioner,	Mr. C. P. Elliot, ...	12	0	...	90	90	...	..	33	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	62	...	...	5	
	II. Magistrate of District,	Dy. Commissioner,	Mr. C. P. Elliot, ...	12	0	4	140	144	3	116	23	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	85	1	927	4	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Major H. Dwyer, ...	1	2	...	28	28	...	6	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	60	3	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.,	Mr. E. W. Parker,...	7	13	...	298	298	...	153	143	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	144	...	524	2	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Rae Moona Lall, ...	12	0	2	387	389	...	197	188	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	238	1	339	10	
	Ditto,	Judge S. C. Court, ..	Mr. G. D. Westropp, ...	10	19	...	37	37	...	21	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	2	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st Class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. J. S. Thorburn, ...	12	0	...	211	211	...	67	144	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	9	104	...	140	3	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.,	Mr. D. Gordon, ...	2	12	...	11	11	...	9	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	10	...	24	5	
	Ditto,	Honorary Magte., ...	Sirdar Ottuni Singh, of Ramgurh,	12	0	...	50	50	...	25	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	6	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	„ Mit Singh, of Malodh, ...	12	0	...	42	42	...	13	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	...	15	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	„ Hakeekut Singh, of Beer,...	12	0	...	54	54	...	34	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	3	5	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Loodiana,...	Mr. L. G. Pollock,...	12	0	...	531	531	...	169	362	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	206	...	181	1	
	Ditto,	Do., Sumralla,...	Salamut Ally,...	12	0	...	831	831	...	634	197	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	217	...	35	2	
	Ditto,	Do., Jugraon, ..	Gunda Mull, ...	2	29	...	68	68	...	59	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	35	...	22	2	
	Ditto,	Do., Puckowal, Nowa and Jugraon, ...	Radha Kishen, ...	12	0	...	428	428	...	313	115	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	227	...	118	2	
		TOTAL, ..	Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	90	90	...	63	33	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	62	...	...	5	
			By Magistrate, ...	...		6	...	...	3	493	392	1	1	...	2	2	1	...	...	..	501	2	1,852	4	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		..	...	...	...	1,323	905	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	12	865	...	544	9	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		6	3,202	3,212	3	1,879	1,328	1	1	...	...	2	1	5	...	12	1,428	2	2,396	6	
SIMLA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862,	Dy. Commissioner,	Colonel R. C. Lawrence, ...	9	12	...	2	2	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	12	
	II. Magistrate of District,	Ditto,	Mr. J. W. McNabb, ...	2	0	...	9	9	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	49	1	
		Ditto,	Colonel R. C. Lawrence, ...	9	12	...	87	87	...	63	24	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	45	...	456	5	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. R. W. Thomas, ...	12	0	4	131	135	2	102	29	1	1	...	...	2	1	...	...	...	81	2	22	5	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Mr. T. C. Vaughan, ...	7	3	...	233	233	...	183	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	156	...	111	2	
	Ditto,	Judge S. C. Court,...	Mr. C. Watts, ...	10	12	...	168	168	...	133	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	92	...	57	3	
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	2	2	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	12	
			By Magistrate.	...		4	628	632	2	491	138	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	380	2	695	3	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		4	630	634	2	491	139	1	1	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	382	2	695	3	

			JULLUNDHUR DIVN.	M.	D.																		
JUL-UNDHUR.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Deputy Commr.,	Major A. L. Busk, ...	0	10	3	3	6	...	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	30
			Mr. G. R. Elsmie, ...	4	29	2	16	18	...	8	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	...	15
			Major W. McNeile, ...	0	25	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	6
			Captain W. Paske, ...	0	5	...	5	5	...	3	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2
			Mr. G. R. Elsmie, ...	4	29	...	13	13	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	257	1
	II. Magte. of District, ...		Captain F. J. Miller, ...	5	22	...	18	18	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	6	...	14	2
			Major W. McNeile, ...	0	25	...	5	5	...	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	1	1
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Major J. B. Smyly, ...	0	24	...	33	33	...	20	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19	...	24	3
	Ditto,	Ex-Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. R. Spencer, ...	12	0	3	672	675	15	303	355	...	1	...	1	14	...	...	...	369	1	654	11
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Motee Lall, ...	9	18	1	50	51	...	33	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	33	...	7	7
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Syud Sooltan Ally, ...	9	0	1	291	292	...	166	126	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	147	...	34	9
	Ditto,	Judge Small Cause C.	Mr. J. H. Penn, ...	12	0	...	53	53	8	20	25	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	23	...	..	14
	Ditto,	Cantt. Magistrate, ...	Major J. C. Miller, ...	12	0	...	146	146	3	94	49	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	92	...	...	2
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. M. S. Champneys, ...	8	0	...	82	82	...	45	36	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	3	44	1	6	6
	Ditto,	Offg. Ex-Asst. Comr.	Peer Buksh, ...	3	0	...	130	130	...	87	43	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	...	71	3
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Jullundhur,	Akbur Khan, ...	4	17	...	197	197	...	149	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	61	...	63	2
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Peer Buksh, ...	2	19	...	144	144	...	65	79	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	75	...	76	2
	Ditto,	Do. Nawashaher,	Hissamooddeen, ...	12	0	...	235	235	...	130	105	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	140	...	160	5
	Ditto,	Do. Phillour, ...	Ooda Ram, ...	3	14	...	55	55	...	40	15	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	31	...	51	2
	Ditto,	Do. Ditto, .	Akbur Khan, ...	7	9	...	206	206	...	129	77	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	92	...	142	3
	Ditto,	Do. Nakodur, ...	Peer Buksh, ...	4	0	...	80	80	...	52	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	32	...	46	1
	Ditto,	Do. Ditto,	Hookum Chund, ...	5	29	...	261	261	...	97	164	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	123	...	11	6
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class.	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. M. S. Champneys, ...	4	0	...	9	9	...	4	5	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	2	3	..	32	2
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			5	20	25	..	11	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	.	...	18
			By Magistrate.			5	1.286	1,291	26	652	591	...	1	..	1	18	...	...	..	700	1	991	9
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			...	1.399	1,399	...	798	600	...	1	1	..	...	4	...	11	651	1	658	4
			GRAND TOTAL,			10	2,705	2,715	26	1.461	1.205	...	2	..	1	1	18	4	...	11	1,371	2	1,649	7
HOOSHEARPOOR.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Deputy Commr.	Major A. L. Busk, ...	1	18	...	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	..	25	...
	II. Magistrate of District		Mr. H. E. Perkins, ...	8	15	...	53	53	...	35	9	9	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	31	1	...	...
			Lieutenant Col. W. Elliot, ...	1	10	...	8	8	..	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	15	1
			Mr. H. E. Perkins, ...	8	15	...	77	77	...	64	13	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	42	...	573	6
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. T. W. Smyth, ...	2	0	...	31	31	...	14	17	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	15	...	89	2
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Captain T. J. Miller, ...	3	27	...	76	76	2	57	17	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	47	...	172	3
	Ditto,	Ex-Assistant Comr.	Mr. A. K. Blackall, ...	10	0	...	175	175	...	110	65	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	89	...	479	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Dya Shunker, ...	12	0	...	723	723	2	615	105	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	240	1	1,092	4
	Ditto,	Judge Small Court C.	Mr. A. J. S. Donald, ...	12	0	...	451	451	4	319	128	..	..	...	...	3	...	...	...	179	...	...	2
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. R. W. Trafford, ...	6	0	...	95	95	..	70	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	55	..	45	1
	Ditto,	Ex-Assistant Comr.	Anwur Shah, ...	6	2	..	19	19	...	15	4	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	2
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Hooshiarpoor,	Salig Ram, ...	12	0	2	497	499	...	402	97	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	167	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. Oonah, ...	Hookum Chund, ...	4	15	...	62	62	...	41	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Do. Ditto,	Dass Mull, ...	0	15	...	5	5	...	3	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	1
	Ditto,	Do. Ditto,	Abdool Summund Khan, ...	3	0	..	37	37	...	33	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	23	...	...	2
	Ditto,	Do. Dussooah, ...	Abdoolla, ...	4	5	2	95	97	...	66	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	52	...	...	6
	Ditto,	Do. Ditto,	Dass Mull, ...	8	0	...	420	420	...	349	49	...	22	...	22	...	...	...	...	157	3	...	2
	Ditto,	Do. Gurshunker,	Sheopershad, ...	12	0	...	197	197	...	122	74	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	99	1	...	3
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Tehr. of Oonah,	Salig Ram, (acting.)	12	0	1	130	130	...	72	58	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	73	1	...	6
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Dussooah,	Narain Dass, ...	12	0	...	110	110	...	75	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	77	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. Gurshunker.	Omeid Singh, ...	3	0	6	32	38	...	15	23	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	10
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1682.			...	56	56	...	35	12	9	...	...	9	...	...	...	...	34	1	25	...
			By. Magistrate, ...			...	1,541	1,541	8	1.187	345	1	...	...	1	6	...	...	...	613	1	2,420	3
			By. Sub-Magistrate, ...			11	1,699	1,710	...	1,263	423	...	24	...	24	...	...	...	1	766	5	45	3
			GRAND TOTAL,			11	3.296	3,307	8	2,485	780	10	24	...	34	6	...	..	1	1.413	7	2,490	3

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICERS.					*Total No. of persons for disposal.*			*Number of persons.*			*Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.*					CASES.								
																	ENQUIRIES.			TRIALS.					
DISTRICT.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	TOTAL.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	Custody.	On bail or recognizance.	*Since the* September.	November.	*month of* December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XIV.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.																				
KANGRA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Deputy Commr.	Major J. E. Cracroft, ...	12	0	...	37	37	...	14	18	2	3	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	20	2	3	11	
	II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Major J. E. Cracroft, ...	12	0	2	48	50	1	19	28	2	...	...	...	2	1	...	1	...	16	...	570	18	
	Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Captain F. J. Miller, ...	2	4	4	3	7	4	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	4	...	56	5	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. W. Coldstream, ...	7	17	...	135	135	...	46	71	2	16	...	...	18	...	...	...	...	84	5	125	14	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. W. M. Young, ...	7	26	...	557	557	5	390	162	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	197	...	493	15	
	Ditto,	Extra Asstt. Commr.	Syad Wuzeer Ally, ...	3	16	1	215	216	...	62	154	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	115	...	128	12	
	Ditto,	Hony. Magistrate, ...	Raja Naddown, ...	12	0	...	213	213	...	129	84	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	155	7	6	17	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Raja Seebah, ...	12	0	...	119	119	...	88	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	96	...	10	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. C. Burton, ...	8	0	...	124	124	...	65	59	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	72	...	104	5	
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Kangra,	Dewan Ram Nath, ...	9	17	4	779	783	...	282	501	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	348	...	124	14	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Bahaoodeen, ...	2	14	...	88	88	...	38	49	...	1	...	...	1	...	17	2	...	40	...	27	14	
	Ditto,	Do. of Dehra, ...	Mothee Ram, ...	5	10	...	322	322	...	223	99	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	125	...	17	5	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Bahaoodeen, ...	4	2	...	173	173	...	117	56	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	94	...	14	6	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lalljee Mull, ...	2	21	...	112	112	...	58	44	...	10	...	...	10	...	2	...	...	50	7	23	6	
	Ditto,	Do. Noorpoor,...	Abdool Samand Khan, ...	4	0	...	131	131	...	89	42	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	96	...	7	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Oodhey Ram, ...	8	0	...	297	297	...	157	140	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	196	...	70	9	
	Ditto,	Do. Humeerpoor,	Bunsee Dhur, ...	0	19	2	17	19	...	4	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	7	8	
	Ditto,	Do. Kullu, ...	Bahaoodeen, ...	0	6	...	68	68	...	58	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	47	...	5	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lalljee Mull.	3	27	...	14	14	...	10	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	11	...	...	14	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Do. of Kullu, ...	Ram Chund, (Offg.)	3	1	...	72	72	...	57	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	35	...	4	3	
	Ditto,	Do. Humeerpoor,	Nund Lall, (Offg.)	11	12	...	681	681	...	567	113	...	1	...	...	1	...	30	...	...	162	5	58	9	
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Plach,	Ram Chund, ...	8	26	...	88	88	...	75	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	34	...	2	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lall Singh, ...	1	0	...	11	11	...	10	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	4	
	Ditto,	Do. Palumpoor,	Tara Chund Negee, ...	3	25	...	242	242	...	57	185	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	108	...	19	11	
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.	...		...	37	37	...	14	18	2	3	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	20	2	3	11	
			By Magistrate, ...	...		7	1,290	1,297	10	736	531	4	16	...	...	20	6	...	1	...	667	12	1,388	13	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		6	3,269	3,225	...	1,867	1,346	...	12	...	...	12	...	87	2	3	1,431	12	481	8	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		13	4,546	4,559	10	2,617	1,895	6	31	...	...	37	6	87	3	3	2,118	26	1,872	10	

			AMRITSUR DIVISION.	M.	D.									Oct.	Nov.	Dec.							
AMRITSUR.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Deputy Commr.	Major G. Lewin, ...	12	0	...	146	146	...	99	46	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	65	1	...	17
	II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Ditto,	12	0	7	327	334	...	244	89	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	182	1	1,405	10
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. C. Roe, ...	12	0	...	466	466	41	222	191	7	5	...	...	12	20	...	...	294	4	435	11
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Captain E. P. Gurdon, ...	1	0	...	46	46	1	38	7	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	28	...	13	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant H. V. Riddell, ...	2	0	...	4	4	1	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	12
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. C. T. Owen, ...	12	0	12	391	403	5	183	202	2	11	...	4	9	2	...	3	217	6	269	17
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Aga Kulbabed, ...	10	18	...	493	493	...	228	262	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	1	288	..	415	21
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Diwan Byjnath, ...	4	1	19	169	188	3	93	92	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	98	...	129	19
	Ditto,	Jagirdar Magistrate,	Sirdar Shumsher Singh, ...	8	15	3	328	331	...	226	101	3	1	...	...	4	...	...	...	201	3	86	14
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commisssoner,	Mr. F. P. Beachcroft, ...	7	0	...	112	112	...	44	68	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	72	...	36	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. R. W. Trafford.	6	0	...	41	41	...	15	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	29	...	52	8
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant H. V. Riddell, ...	1	15	...	16	16	...	10	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	10	...	4	14
	Ditto,	Canal Officer, ...	Mr. E. C. Palmer, ...	3	0	...	2	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	1
	Ditto,	4th Division Baree Doab Canal, ...	Major J. Fulton, ...	3	0	...	3	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	..	...	1
	Ditto,	Asst. Engr. 4th Dn. Baree Doab Canal,	Lieutenant Garstin, ...	9	0	...	15	15	...	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	1
	Ditto,	4th Division Baree Doab Canal, ...	Mr. Asher, ...	3	0	...	19	19	...	13	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	1
	Ditto,	Honorary Magtes. ..	6 Honorary Magistrates, ...	12	0	...	2,777	2,777	...	2,474	303	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,101	...	535	1
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Amritsur,	Sohun Lall, ...	7	0	...	156	156	...	95	61	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	54	...	149	27
	Ditto,	Do. of Do. (*Offg.*)	Gobind Sahaie, ...	5	0	...	91	91	...	31	60	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	38	...	30	24
	Ditto,	Do. of Ajnalla, ...	Hidayut Alli, ...	12	0	...	1,449	1,449	...	1,199	242	...	8	...	...	8	...	...	...	3	452	3	258	40
	Ditto,	Do. of Ryea. ...	Mehr Chund, ...	12	0	...	1,234	1,234	...	1,055	179	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	337	...	210	6
	Ditto,	Raja Sansee, ...	Baba Sadoo Singh, ...	9	0	...	83	83	...	62	19	1	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	47	1	6	4
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Extra Assist. Commr.	Nawab Nasir Alli Khan, ...	6	15	...	201	201	...	54	133	3	11	...	...	14	...	...	...	4	86	4	45	10
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Turn Tarun,	Abdoolla Athim, ...	12	0	...	672	672	...	448	224	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	247	...	188	7
	Ditto,	Naib ditto ditto, .	Roop Kishour, ...	9	0	...	555	555	...	314	241	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	215	...	78	12
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,			...	146	146	...	99	46	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	65	1	...	17
			By Magistrate, ...			41	2,224	2,265	51	1,236	945	13	20	...	4	29	25	..	4	.	1,310	14	2,752	15
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			...	7,426	7,226	...	5,834	1,568	4	20	...	2	22	...	...	...	46	2,712	8	1,591	15
			Grand Total. ...	...		41	9,796	9,837	51	7,169	2,559	18	40	1	6	51	25	...	4	46	4,087	23	4,343	12
GOORDASPOOR	I. Under Act XV of 1862,	Dy. Commr.	Major R. Young, ...	12	0	5	58	63	.	40	22	..	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	41	1	...	10
	II. Magistrate of District,	Dy. Commr.	Major R. Young, ...	12	0	3	82	85	2	41	38	4	...	...	...	4	2	...	...	...	72	3	1,092	10
	Magistrate, ...	Assist. Commr. ...	Major W. Paske, ...	0	11	...	176	176	..	124	52	...	. .	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	127	...	79	6
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. J. Frizelle, ...	3	13	...	141	141	1	82	46	8	4	..	1	11	1	...	...	...	94	10	131	5
	Ditto,	Extra Assist Commr.	Kaim Alli, ...	12	0	8	729	737	...	217	520	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	. .	404	...	125	10
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Assist. Commr. ...	Mr. M. Macauliffe, ...	4	7	...	56	56	...	25	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	34	...	27	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. J. W. Gardiner, ...	7	9	...	106	106	...	21	85	...	...	...	...	...	...	. .	...	1	66	...	32	2
	Ditto,	Canal Officer, ...	Lt. H. C. Rowcroft, ...	6	0	...	10	10	...	10	. .	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	4
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Goordaspoor,	Bunseedhur, ...	12	0	...	258	258	...	111	143	3	1	...	...	4	...	4	...	...	135	3	100	7
	Ditto,	Ditto Buttala, ...	Sooruj Surun,...	12	0	...	380	380	...	207	167	...	6	...	...	6	...	9	...	...	201	1	60	3
	Ditto,	Ditto Puthankote, ...	Pohlo Mull, ...	11	0	1	303	304	...	175	129	...	...	...	...	.	...	2	...	1	139	...	67	7
	Ditto,	Ditto Shukurghur, ...	Taj Oodeen, ...	12	0	1	429	430	...	137	291	1	1	...	..	2	...	6	...	...	155	2	59	6
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	N. Tehr. Goordaspoor,	Bishumber Dass, ...	0	8	3	...	3	...	2	1	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	.	..	1	.	...	19
	Ditto,	Ditto Puthankote, ..	Madho Ram,...	8	7	...	144	144	...	71	73	..	...	...	...	.	...	...		.	68	.	...	10
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		5	58	63	...	40	22	.	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	41	1	...	10
			By Magistrate, ...	...		11	1,128	1,139	3	464	656	12	4	...	1	15	3	...	...	...	697	13	1,427	9
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		5	1,686	1,691	...	759	920	4	8	...	...	12	..	21	...	4	801	6	345	6
			Grand Total. ...	...		21	2,872	2,893	3	1,263	1,598	16	13	..	1	28	3	21	...	4	1,539	20	1,772	7

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICERS.					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.								
																	ENQUIRIES.			TRIALS.					
DISTRICT.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons, & received by transfer during the year.	TOTAL.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance	September.	Since the November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs 185 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.																				
SEALKOTE.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Dy. Commr.	Major T. W. Mercer, ...	12	0	2	148	150	...	104	44	1	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	102	1	...	12	
	II. Magistrate of District.	Dy. Commr.	Major T. W. Mercer, ...	12	0	...	122	122	1	82	34	..	2	...	...	2	1	...	...	...	70	2	543	9	
	Magistrate, ...	Assist. Commr. ...	Mr. G. M. Ogilvie, ...	1	12	...	27	27	...	16	11	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	20	...	62	16	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. T. Roberts, ...	3	0	...	123	123	...	61	59	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	..	60	1	157	6	
	Ditto,	Extra Assist. Commr.	Mr. T. C. Vaughan, ...	3	20	1	113	114	...	68	46	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	85	...	176	1	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Wuzeer Alli, ...	8	2	...	324	324	...	161	159	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		184	...	194	10	
	Ditto,	Cantonment Magiste.	Major Norgate, ...	12	0	...	91	91	...	68	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	59	...	8	5	
	Sub-Magistrate, 1st class,	Assist. Commr. ...	Mr. T. Roberts, ...	7	14	...	181	181	...	83	98	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	77	...	205	5	
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Sealkote,	Hurgoo Lal, ...	12	0	...	529	529	...	325	179	...	25	...	2	23	...	...	...	...	131	7	...	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto of Pusroor, ...	Ubdool Wahid, ...	9	0	...	83	83	...	78	5	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	39	...	...	9	
	Ditto,	Ditoo of Zufferwal,	Luchmee Suhoy, ...	3	22	...	93	93	...	67	26	..	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	...	...	12	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Luchmun Dass, ...	5	0	...	125	125	...	92	33	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	...	...	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto of Duska, ...	Luchmee Suhoy, ...	4	1	...	48	48	...	42	6	..	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	29	...	...	2	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Luchmun Dass, ...	2	1	...	3	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	2	...	...	1	
	Ditto,	Naib Tehr. of Sealkote.	Ussud Alli, ...	6	15	...	65	65	...	33	32	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	34	...	...	10	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Assist. Commr. ...	Lieut. H. Lawrence, ...	4	16	...	46	46	...	5	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	...	96	8	
	Ditto,	Offg. Tehr. of Zufferwal.	Jugger Nath, ...	3	8	...	29	29	...	17	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	20	...	...	7	
	Ditto,	Naib Tehr. of Sealkote.	Mahomed Ushruf, ...	2	0	...	12	12	...	8	4	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto of Pusroor, ...	Madho Ram, ...	3	0	...	81	81	...	79	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	35	...	...	17	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Oomeid Sing, ...	8	24	...	74	74	...	71	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	29	...	...	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto of Zufferwal,	Mehtab Rai, ...	7	0	...	136	136	..	104	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	50	...	...	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto of Duska, ...	Ditto, ...	5	0	.	129	129	...	102	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	31	...	...	1	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Ilraf Alli, ...	4	0	.	115	115	...	82	33	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	35	...	...	3	
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		2	148	150	...	104	44	1	1	.	2	...	...	...	..	...	102	1	...	12	
			By Magistrate, ...	...		1	800	801	1	456	331	...	3	...	...	3	1	...	..	...	478	3	1,140	8	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		...	1,749	1,749	...	1,191	533	...	25	...	2	23	...	...	.	...	621	7	301	7	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		3	2,697	2,700	1	1,751	908	1	29	.	4	26	1	...	...	...	1,201	11	1,441	8	

LAHORE.

LAHORE DIVISION.

			M.	D.																	
I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Mr. C. U. Aitchison, ...	9	0	...	76	76	..	48	25	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	38	1	...	5
		Mr. C. U. Aitchison, ...	9	0	...	140	140	12	94	34	...	..	...	...	...	2	...	.	94	...	890	3
		Mr. J.W. Smyth, ...	3	0	...	29	29	2	16	12	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	15	...	235	3
Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commr.,	Mr. J. D. Tremlett.	12	0	...	479	479	10	281	188	...	...	...	...	..	8	...	...	325	...	173	3
Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. B. H. Powell, ...	4	14	...	41	41	2	26	13	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	..	32	...	25	2
Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. C. M. Rivaz.	6	28	...	277	277	9	209	52	4	3	...	..	7	5	...	...	146	4	93	4
Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant Marshall, ...	1	0	...	7	7	...	2	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	8
Ditto,	Extra Asstt. Commr.	Mr. J. R. E. Gouldsbury, ...	6	24	...	228	228	4	192	32	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	130	...	345	3
Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. G. J. Ryall, ...	12	0	...	258	258	1	198	59	...	...	...	...	..	1	...	...	154	..	6	3
Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. C. Stephen, ...	0	21	...	202	202	5	88	89	6	14	...	7	13	3	...	...	70	8	15	4
Ditto,	Cantt. Magistrate, ...	Captain A. Stewart, ...	10	27	3	250	253	8	213	32	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	177	...	106	4
Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant H. F. Collis, ...	1	4	...	20	20	...	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	1
Ditto,	Hony. Magistrate, ...	Dewan Byjnath, ...	5	13	...	239	239	...	165	74	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	126	...	25	5
Sub-Magistrate 1st class.	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. C. M. Rivaz, ...	1	7	1	45	46	...	40	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	34	...	12	1
Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant Marshall, ...	7	5	...	64	64	...	54	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	49	...	...	3
Ditto,	Canal Officer, ...	Lieutenant Palmer, ...	12	0	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
Ditto,	Jagirdar Magte. ...	Kanh Singh, ...	12	0	1	121	122	...	66	56	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	55	...	...	6
Ditto,	Hony. Magistrate, ...	Dewan Ajoodhya Pershad, &c. ...	12	0	...	5.058	5,058	...	4.715	343	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	1,525	...	108	1
Ditto,	Tehsildar of Lahore,	Burkut Ally Khan, ...	10	14	...	45	45	...	34	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	17	...	...	4
Ditto,	Do. Shurrukpoor,	Dewan Chund, ...	0	17	...	7	7	...	4	3	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	1	...	...	4
Ditto,	Do. Kussoor, ..	Luchmee Sahoy, ...	10	26	...	59	59	...	49.	10	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	23	...	...	2
Ditto,	Ditto ditto,	Gobind Sahoy.	1	4	...	4	4	...	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	4
Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. C. M. Rivaz, ...	3	24	...	131	131	...	119	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	56	...	25	1
Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant Marshall, ...	3	24	...	40	40	...	27	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	13	...	10	1
Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. H. Priestley, ...	7	24	...	148	148	...	99	49	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	88	...	38	2
Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Mr. A. C. Raynor, ...	4	28	...	59	59	...	33	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	37	...	11	2
Ditto,	Tehsildar Chooneean,	Gowree Shunkur, ...	10	27	...	14	14	...	12	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	7	...	11	2
Ditto,	Ditto ditto,	Dhunput Rai, ...	9	3	...	101	101	...	82	19	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	49	...	75	2
Ditto,	Do. Shurrukpoor, .	Lehna Singh, ...	11	13	...	178	178	...	65	113	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	93	...	...	2
Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Kussoor,	Salig Ram, ...	2	0	...	170	170	...	158	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	54	...	...	1
Ditto,	Do. Chooneean, .	Jugut Kishore, ...	2	4	...	3	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	3	...	...	1
Ditto,	Ditto ditto,	Mahomed Shah.	1	27	...	8	8	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	4
	Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			...	76	76	...	48	25	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	38	1	...	5
		By Magistrate, ...			3	2,170	2,173	53	1,503	590	...	...	...	...		32	...	...	1,281	12	1,913	3
		By Sub-Magistrate, ...			2	6,256	6,258	...	5,572	686	10	17	...	7	20	...	1	...	37	2,116	...	290	1
		Grand Total, ...			5	8,502	8,507	53	7,123	1,301	10	20	...	7	23	32	1	...	37	3,435	13	2,203	2

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
District.	OFFICERS.					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.						Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of	Average duration.	Remarks.
																	Enquiries.			Trials.					
	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons, & received by transfer during the year.	Total.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of October.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.			
				M.	D.																				
FEROZEPOOR.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Deputy Commr.	Major P. Maxwell, ...	12	0	...	98	98	...	83	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	43	...	5	3	
	II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Ditto.	12	0	...	147	147	2	108	22	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	94	...	289	1	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. G. E. Wakefield, ...	12	0	..	216	216	9	135	64	4	4	...	1	7	6	...	..	...	156	6	115	10	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.,	Mr. E. W. Parker, ...	2	21	3	50	53	3	29	21	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	.	30	..	4	1	
	Ditto,	Cantt. Magistrate, ...	Captian R. G. L. Crutchley, ...	9	0	1	145	146	...	62	81	3	...	..	.	3	...	...	...	...	117	1	32	5	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. F. Venning, ...	9	0	..	105	105	...	53	52	..	..	.	...	.	...	...	...	3	64	...	35	3	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.,	Samunder Khan, ...	4	0	...	79	79	...	26	53	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	16	...	77	2	
	Ditto,	Honorary Magte., ...	Golab Singh, ...	12	0	...	22	22	...	12	10	...	..	..	..	..	...	...	...	...	11	...	11	1	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Zeera, ...	Hussun Shah, ...	12	0	...	360	360	...	266	94	...	...	..	...	..	..	...	...	...	173	...	64	5	
	Ditto.	Do., Ferozepoor,	Mahomed Hyat Khan, ...	12	0	...	344	344	...	247	96	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	143	...	28	5	
	Ditto,	Do. Moga, ..	Goordyal Singh, ...	12	0	...	215	215	...	118	97	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	131	...	62	4	
	Ditto,	Do. Mokutsur,	Nizamdeen.	10	0	...	343	343	...	241	102	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	1	174	...	40	3	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class.	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. F. Venning, ...	3	0	...	19	19	...	4	15	...	...	..	...	.	...	...	...	4	15	...	4	6	
	Ditto.	Ditto,	Mr. H St. G. Tucker, ...	6	4	...	109	109	...	22	87	...	...	...	..	.	...	...	...	3	37	...	19	2	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Samunder Khan, ...	8	0	...	79	79	...	19	60	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	57	...	63	3	
	Ditto,	Cantonment Magte.	Captain H. Hill, ...	3	0	...	17	17	...	12	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	4	2	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Mokutsur,	Nizamdeen, ...	2	0	...	12	12	...	8	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	7	2	
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Zeera,	Golam Kasaim, ...	12	0	...	127	127	...	101	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	80	...	9	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Ferozepoor, ...	Jowahir Singh, ...	11	8	...	87	87	...	60	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	45	...	10	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Moga, ...	Illahee Bux, ...	11	8	...	150	150	...	57	93	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	63	...	23	3	
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	98	98	...	83	15	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	43	...	5	3	
			By Magistrate, ...	...		4	558	562	14	334	188	7	4	.	1	10	8	..	...	...	397	7	440	6	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		...	2,068	2,068	...	1,246	821	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	...	20	1,032	...	456	3	
			Grand Total, ...	...		4	2,724	2,728	14	1,663	1,024	7	4	...	1	10	8	...	...	20	1,472	7	901	4	

				M.	D.																		
GOOJRANWALLA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862,	Dy. Commr.	Major H. P. Babbage, ...	11	27	...	34	34	...	31	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	30
	II. Magistrate of District,	Dy. Commr.	Major H. P. Babbage, ...	11	27	13	300	313	5	201	207	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	172	...	947	12
	Magistrate,	Asist. Commr., ...	Major H. P. Babbage, ...	0	4	...	5	5	...	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	10
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. G. M. Ogilvie,...	0	15	...	12	12	...	10	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. D. Bullock,...	3	0	...	118	118	...	34	82	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	56	2	317	7
	Ditto,	Extra Assist. Commr.	Fyzul Hussun, ...	0	24	1	8	9	...	7	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	25	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Zahoorooddeen, ...	12	0	9	392	401	...	217	182	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	6	271	1	720	12
	Sub-Magte. 1st class, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. G. M Ogilvie,...	4	2	...	110	110	...	61	49	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	62	...	144	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. D. Bullock,...	4	18	...	109	109	...	66	43	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	48	...	314	4
	Ditto,	Conservator of Forests,	Mr. P. Lennox, ...	12	0	11	1	12	...	8	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	28
	Ditto,	Honorary Magistrate,	Sirdar Jhunda Singh, ...	12	0	2	86	88	...	64	22	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	3	56	1	240	7
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Dewan Davee Dyal, ...	10	24	...	137	137	...	73	64	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	81	...	293	2
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Goojranwalla,	Abdoolwahid,...	2	24	...	15	15	...	7	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	22	5
	Ditto,	Do. Hafizabad, ...	Morad Allee, ...	10	19	...	38	38	...	38	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	22	...	163	1
	Ditto,	Naib Tehr. of do. ...	Ussud Allee, ...	4	8	...	51	51	...	40	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	25	...	66	7
	Sub-Magte. 2nd class, ...	Honorary Magistrate,	Sirdar Jawahir Singh, and others,	12	0	...	999	999	...	320	679	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	423	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Wuzeerabad,	Unwur Shah, ...	3	0	...	18	18	...	14	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...	48	2
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Gowree Shunkur, ...	8	28	...	90	90	...	65	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	36	...	192	1
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. Goojranwalla,	Muhamed Ushruf, ...	9	25	...	137	137	...	26	111	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	48	...	246	10
	Ditto,	Do Hafizabad, ...	Umur Nath, ...	11	5	...	4	4	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	38	1
	Ditto,	Do. do., ...	Saen Dass, ...	9	5	...	184	184	...	112	72	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	43	...	41	9
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV. of 1862,	...		...	34	34	...	31	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	30
			By Magistrate, ...	...		23	835	858	5	471	478	...	4	2	...	2	4	...	...	514	3	2,009	11
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		13	1,979	1,992	...	898	1,092	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	872	1	1,807	4
			Grand Total, ...	...		36	2,848	2,884	5	1,400	1,473	...	6	2	..	4	4	...	...	1,406	4	3,816	7
RAWUL PINDEE.			RAWUL PINDEE DN.	M.	D.																		
	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Dy. Commr.,	Major C. H. Hall, ...	12	0	...	44	44	...	26	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	...	...	9
	II. Magistrate of District.	Dy. Commr.,	Major C. H. Hall....	12	0	...	187	187	7	147	33	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	59	...	990	2
	Magistrate, ...	Assist. Commr., ...	Major R. J. D. Ferris, ...	2	28	17	151	168	7	121	40	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	46	...	164	21
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. R. Burney, ...	6	8	3	117	120	6	62	52	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	75	...	121	7
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. Fryer,...	5	2	...	376	376	3	266	107	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	169	...	89	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant E. C. Corbyn, ...	12	0	...	848	848	8	783	52	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	280	...	124	3
	Ditto,	Ex-Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. J. G. Delmerick, ...	12	0	...	319	319	5	200	114	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	124	...	189	4
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Hookum Chund, ...	12	0	6	1,202	1,208	1	816	382	...	9	...	9	12	...	...	...	391	2	601	10
	Ditto,	Cantont Magistrate,	Major J. Bean, ...	11	0	...	384	384	1	327	56	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	262	...	98	2
	...strate 1st Class,	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. T. Huddlestone, ...	1	15	...	78	78	...	4	74	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...	2	3
	Di...	Ditto,	Mr. F. Fryer,...	0	22	...	25	25	...	8	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	19	...	6	4
	Ditto,	Honorary Magistrate,	Sirdar Futteh Khan, ...	12	0	...	6	6	...	4	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	4

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued.)*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
District.	OFFICERS.					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.								
																	Enquiries.			Trials.					
	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	Total.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of October.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XIV.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	Remarks.
				M.	D.																				
Rawul Pindee.—*(Continued).*	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Tehr. of R. Pindee, ...	Zoolficar Allee, ...	6	0	...	111	111	...	31	80	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	50	...	4	7	
	Ditto,	Offg. do. Kohoota, ...	Gunput Rae, ...	1	0	...	3	3	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	2	3	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd Class,	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. T. Huddlestone, ...	4	0	...	86	86	...	11	75	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	27	...	4	5	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant Hunter, ...	4	9	...	100	100	...	16	84	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	55	...	...	5	
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Attock,	Doorga Pershad, ...	12	0	...	56	56	...	2	54	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	2	33	...	16	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto Pindee Gheb,	Mehndee Khan, ...	12	0	...	284	284	...	144	140	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	5	107	...	...	6	
	Ditto,	Ditto Futteh Jhung,	Umreek Singh, ...	12	0	...	782	782	...	511	271	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	2	192	...	...	8	
	Ditto,	Ditto Goojur Khan,	Ahmed Khan, ...	7	22	...	369	369	...	205	164	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	115	...	5	5	
	Ditto,	Offg. ditto ditto.	Bishen Singh, ...	5	0	...	76	76	...	21	55	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	45	...	30	3	
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Kahoota,	Hakim Roy, ...	10	10	1	133	134	...	61	73	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	53	...	...	10	
	Ditto,	Do. Murree, ...	Talib Allee, ...	6	24	...	161	161	...	74	87	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	61	...	39	4	
	Ditto,	Naib do. of R. Pindee,	Salig Ram, ...	3	0	...	29	29	...	12	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	...	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto ditto,	Gunput Roy, 2nd, ...	12	0	1	100	101	...	79	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	70	...	9	4	
	Ditto,	Do. Attock, ...	Kirpal Singh, ...	12	0	6	134	140	...	65	75	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	...	...	8	
	Ditto,	Do. Futteh Jhung,	Ram Dass, ...	12	0	...	105	105	...	25	80	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	51	...	9	6	
	Ditto,	Do. of Goojur Khan,	Kishen Dyal, ...	12	0	...	137	137	...	55	81	...	1	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	66	1	45	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto of Kahoota, ...	Abdool Huq, ...	7	11	...	72	72	...	28	44	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	37	...	7	4	
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	44	44	...	26	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	...	...	9	
			By Magistrate	...		26	3,584	3,610	38	2,727	836	...	9	...	...	9	34	...	...	...	1,406	2	2,376	6	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		8	2,847	2,855	...	1,358	1,496	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	14	1,078	1	178	6	
			Grand Total, ...	...		34	6,475	6,509	38	4,111	2,350	...	10	...	...	10	34	30	...	14	2,521	3	2,554	6	
Jhelum.	I. Under Act XV of 1862,	Deputy Commr.,	Lieut. Col. J. W. Bristow, ...	11	0	4	33	37	...	22	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	...	8	
	II. Magte. of District, ...					1	61	62	2	54	6	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	14	...	375	6	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. F. E. Moore, P. Dadun Khan,	8	23	1	294	295	4	231	62	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	139	...	604	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Captain F. D. Harington, ...	11	16	...	438	438	...	232	209	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	217	...	204	7	
	Ditto,	Ex-Asst. Commr., ...	Gunga Purshad, at Jhelum, ...	6	1	3	52	55	...	41	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	38	...	98	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Ditto, at P. D. Khan, ...	2	29	...	45	45	...	33	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	78	7	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. H. W. Steel, ...	0	14	...	2	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	

				M.	D.																		
JHELUM.—(Continued).	Ditto,	Tehr. of Jhelum, ...	Jugut Narayun, ...	11	16	...	234	234	...	209	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	79	...	50	4
	Ditto,	Do. of Tullagung,	Goolab Raie, ...	10	20	...	284	284	...	248	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	110	...	87	3
	Ditto,	Do. of P. D. Khan.	Irshad Allee, ...	5	18	...	317	317	...	265	52	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	96	...	93	2
	Ditto,	Do. of Chukowal...	Ditto,	6	12	...	264	264	...	219	45	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	104	...	72	5
	Ditto,	Do. of P. D. Khan,	Sheikh Abdoolla, ...	6	12	...	173	173	...	140	33	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	84	...	93	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Chukowal,...	Gunput Raie 1st, ...	0	25	9	...	9	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	1	2
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Tullagung,	Ditto,	8	5	...	189	189	...	169	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	48	...	35	2
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Tehr. of Chukowal,	Tallub Allee, ...	4	10	...	98	98	...	81	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	...	22	6
	Ditto,	Naib Tehr. of Do.	Gamoon Khan, ...	5	25	2	23	25	...	20	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	29	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Jhelum, ...	Ditto,	6	5	...	16	16	...	13	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	54	1
	Ditto,	Do. of P. D. Khan,	Gunput Raie, 2nd	12	0	...	535	535	...	499	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	76	...	54	4
	Ditto,	Do. of Chukowal,...	Deena Nath, ...	6	0	...	78	78	...	49	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...	34	6
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		4	33	37	..	22	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	...	8
			By Magistrate, ...	...		5	890	895	6	591	304	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	434	...	1,359	6
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		11	2,213	2,224	...	1,923	301	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	694	...	624	4
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		20	3,136	3,156	6	2,536	620	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	1,153	...	1,983	5
GOOJRAT.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Deputy Commr.	Captain E. Paske, ...	11	21	...	64	64	...	38	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	31	...	...	7
	II. Magistrate of District		Major H. Dwyer, ...	0	10	28	84	112	...	85	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	...	...	3
	Ditto,		Captain E. Paske, ...	11	21	...	497	497	2	328	165	1	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	320	2	114	4
	Magistrate, ...	Ex-Assistant Comr.	Yar Mahomed Khan, ...	5	12	...	486	486	...	282	200	...	4	...	4	...	3	...	...	224	3	35	6
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. H. W. Steel, ...	8	9	...	176	176	...	129	47	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	67	...	...	8
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. M. R. Fryer, ...	3	0	...	139	139	...	72	67	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	53	...	...	5
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Ditto,	Mr. H. W. Steel, ...	3	21	...	87	87	...	59	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. M. R. Fryer, ...	3	0	...	38	38	...	15	23	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	22	...	...	9
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Goojrat.	Mahomed Ameer Khan, ...	1	0	...	28	28	...	16	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	30	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. Kharian, ...	Ditto, ...	2	0	...	68	68	...	36	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	...	2
	Ditto,	Do. Ditto, ...	Sooruj Koul, ...	1	0	...	34	34	...	15	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	134	...	...	4
	Ditto,	Do. Goojrat, ...	Ditto, ...	11	0	...	285	285	...	185	100	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	14	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. Kharian, ...	Gholam Mohodeen, ...	5	15	...	272	272	...	120	152	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	136	...	...	6
	Ditto,	Do. Phalian, ...	Hakim Rae, ...	12	0	...	424	424	...	213	211	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	147	...	...	9
	Ditto,	Naib Tehr. of Kharian,	Balee Ram, ...	5	15	...	299	299	...	181	118	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	127	...	...	2
	Ditto,	Do. of Goojrat,	Ditto.	6	15	...	52	52	...	25	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	6
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	64	64	...	38	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	31	...	...	7
			By Magistrate, ...	...		28	1,067	1,095	2	695	392	1	5	...	6	...	3	...	...	586	5	149	4
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		...	1,902	1,902	...	1,066	836	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	17	809	...	...	6
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		28	3,033	3,061	2	1,799	1,254	1	5	...	6	...	11	...	17	1,426	5	149	5

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICERS.					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.								
																	ENQUIRIES.			TRIALS.					
DISTRICT.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAMES.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	TOTAL.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of October.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.																				
SHAHPOOR.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II Magistrate. of District,	Dy. Commissioner,	Captain W. J. Davies, ...	12	0	...	48	48	...	31	10	2	5	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	25	2	1	8	
			Ditto,	12	0	...	204	204	...	172	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40	...	23	2	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. R. T. Burney, ...	1	8	...	18	18	...	11	6	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	13	1	24	2	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Mr. W. H. Rattigan, ...	9	0	...	204	204	1	134	69	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	100	...	188	5	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Hadee Hossein Khan, ...	10	12	...	373	373	...	139	226	5	3	1	1	6	...	...	...	...	225	8	205	10	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Yar Mahomed Khan, ...	6	15	2	176	178	...	109	69	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	107	...	131	6	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. A. G. Walker, ...	7	0	8	122	130	...	39	91	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	61	...	21	9	
	Ditto,	Tehsr. of Shahpoor,	Allah Dad Khan, ...	4	26	...	83	83	...	5	78	...	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	...	29	...	3	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Kooshab,	Ram Sahae, ...	12	0	...	612	612	...	353	244	...	15	...	...	15	...	22	...	...	150	7	...	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Assistant Commr., ...	Lieutenant Armstrong, ...	1	15	...	7	7	...	3	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4	...	...	1	
	Ditto,	Customs Officers ...	Messrs. Hickie and Jameson, ...	12	0	...	3	3	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	..	...	1	
	Ditto,	Tehsr. of Bhera, ...	Hakim Khan, ...	12	0	...	396	396	...	114	282	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	145	...	1	1	
	Ditto,	Actg do. of Shahpoor,	Hurbuns Lall, ...	2	6	...	58	58	...	28	30	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	16	...	...	2	
	Ditto,	Naib do. of Kooshab,	Ditto,	4	25	...	219	219	...	89	130	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	60	...	...	3	
	Ditto,	Do. of Shahpoor,	Niaz Ally, ...	12	0	...	350	350	...	175	175	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	110	...	...	1	
	Ditto,	Do. of Bhera, ...	Kissun Dial, ...	12	0	...	148	148	...	46	102	..	...	...	...	..	...	5	...	...	59	..	2	2	
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.	...		...	48	48	...	31	10	2	5		..	7	..	...	...	...	25	2	1	8	
			By Magistrate, ...	...		2	975	977	1	565	402	6	3	1	1	7	2	..	...	...	485	9	571	7	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		8	1,998	2,006	...	834	1,137	...	15	..	...	15	...	35	...	7	635	7	27	3	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...	...		10	3,021	3,031	1	1.450	1,549	8	23	1	1	29	2	35	...	7	1,145	18	599	5	
			DERAJAT DIVISION.																						
BUNNOO.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Captain H B. Urmston, ...	0	15	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	27	
			Captain C. C. Minchin, ...	5	1	...	15	15	...	13	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	14	
			Major F. M. Birch, ...	4	4	...	6	6	...	4	1	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	4	1	...	12	
			Captain H. B. Urmston, ...	0	15	5	5	10	...	8	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	..	18	29	
			Captain C. C. Minchin, ...	5	1	...	127	127	...	105	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41	.	92	7	
			Major F. M. Birch, ...	4	4	...	77	77	1	70	6	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	28	..	74	11	
	Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Lieutenant R. G. Sandeman, ...	2	10	6	109	115	1	85	29	...	...	...	...	...	1	..	...	...	30	.	24	10	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. G. M. Ogilvie, ...	4	18	...	219	219	16	136	62	4	1	...	...	5	3	...	...	...	93	3	73	10	
	Ditto,	Extra Assist Commr,	Mr. T. W. Moore, ...	8	0	...	231	231	8	169	52	...	2	...	...	2	4	...	...	..	93	1	88	16	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mahomed Hyat Khan, ...	4	25	...	302	302	10	201	91	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	133	...	51	10	

				M.	D.																			
BUNNOO.—(Contd.).	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant T. G. Hearn, ...	4	15	...	99	99	...	55	45	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	36	8
	Ditto,	Tehsr. of Bunnoo, ...	Gunga Ram, ...	12	0	...	475	475	...	335	140	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	187	...	37	8
	Ditto,	Do. Lukkee, ...	Utaoolla Khan, ...	12	0	...	323	323	...	221	102	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	89	...	26	8
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Gholam Mahomed Khan, ...	7	0	...	139	139	...	72	67	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	51	...	48	10
	Ditto,	Tehsr. of Esakhail,	Misree Khan, ...	11	0	...	190	190	...	81	109	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	55	...	19	7
	Ditto,	Do. Kutchee, ...	Nusseeroodeen Ahmed Khan, ...	8	0	...	187	187	...	144	43	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	70	...	42	8
	Ditto,	Offg. do. do.	Gholam Mahomed, ...	8	2	1	195	196	...	145	51	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	47	...	17	7
	Ditto,	N. Tehsr. of Bunnoo,	Mehar Bux, ...	7	0	...	153	153	...	111	42	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	46	...	...	6
	Ditto,	Do. Kutchee, ...	Abdool Huq, ...	2	25	...	45	45	...	36	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Do. Lukkee, ...	Hurree Ram	12	0	...	205	205	...	101	104	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	69	...	12	8
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,			1	21	22	...	18	3	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	17	1	..	14
			By Magistrate, ...			11	1,070	1,081	36	774	264	1	3	...	...	7	13	...	...	...	423	4	420	12
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			1	2,011	2,012	...	1,301	712	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	655	...	237	8
			Grand Total, ...			13	3,102	3,115	36	2.093	978	5	8	...	...	8	13	...	...	...	1,095	5	657	9
DERA ISMAIL KHAN.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Major S. F. Graham, ...	5	25	2	24	26	...	25	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	...	27
			Captain C. Minchin, ...	2	5	...	5	5	...	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	42
			Major S. F. Graham, ...	5	25	...	91	91	...	41	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	61	...	53	23
			Captain C. Minchin, ...	2	5	...	5	5	...	3	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	21
			Lieutenant L. Grey, ...	3	26	...	103	103	1	35	65	2	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	...	57	2	23	11
	Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Lieutenant P. Cavagnari, ...	10	25	5	53	58	...	33	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	46	...	2	6
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Liutenant H. Gibson, ...	2	28	1	71	72	9	24	39	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	39	...	8	9
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Liutenant L. Grey,...	3	1	...	21	21	...	15	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	6	7
	Ditto.	Extra Asstt. Commr.	Mr. T. Moore, ...	4	4	5	71	76	...	44	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	49	...	30	16
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Atta Mahomed Khan, ...	4	10	...	238	238	3	168	60	5	2	...	4	3	2	...	...	...	95	5	27	5
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Assistant Commr., ...	Lieutenant R. J. Armstrong, ...	4	27	...	30	30	...	14	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	25	...	17	3
	Ditto,	Hony. Magistrate, ...	Nawab Surfuraz Khan, ...	12	0	...	19	19	...	14	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	11
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Ditto Foujdar Khan, ...	9	0	...	58	58	...	17	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	2	37	...	2	13
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Ditto Shah Nawaz Khan, ...	12	0	4	259	263	...	71	159	5	28	3	...	30	...	37	...	15	92	11	...	11
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Leia, ...	Radha Kishen, ...	12	0	2	548	550	...	443	107	...	...	...	...	...	...	28	...	9	239	...	103	6
	Ditto,	Do. of Bukkur, ...	Nyamut Rai, ...	12	0	...	215	215	...	142	73	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	2	73	...	6	8
	Ditto,	Do. Koolachee, ...	Heera Singh, ...	12	0	...	80	80	...	70	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	37	...	2	4
	Ditto,	Do. Dera, ..	Nuthoo Mull, ...	7	0	...	56	56	...	38	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	19	...	12	3
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieut. C. E. Armstrong, ...	4	0	...	20	20	...	15	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	12	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant R. Bartholomew, ...	3	25	...	7	7	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	..	1
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Gholam Mahomed Khan, ...	4	9	...	36	36	...	22	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	7
	Ditto,	Customs Officer, ...	Mr. J. Ross, ...	12	0	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	8
	Ditto,	Tehseeldar of Dehra,	Nuthoo Mull,...	5	0	...	18	18	...	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	1	4
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Leia, ...	Ghoolam Mahomed, ...	3	24	...	18	18	...	11	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	10
	Ditto,	Do. of Dehra, ...	Dewan Chund, ...	3	0	...	16	16	...	2	7	...	7	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	3	1	...	7
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.	...		2	29	31	...	29	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	...	30
			By Magistrate, ...	...		11	653	664	13	363	279	7	2	...	4	5	8	...	...	...	362	7	149	12
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		6	1,381	1,387	...	878	479	5	35	3	...	37	...	74	...	33	573	12	143	7
			Grand Total, ...			19	2,063	2,082	13	1,270	750	12	37	3	4	42	8	74	...	33	953	19	292	9

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICER'S					*Total No. of persons for disposal.*			*Number of persons.*			*Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.*					CASES.								
																	ENQUIRIES.			TRIALS.					
DISTRICT.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAME.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons, & received by transfer during the year.	TOTAL.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail of recognizance.	*Since the month of* October.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 136 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.																				
DERA GHAZEE KHAN.	I. Under Act XV of 1862.	Dy. Commissioner,	Captain C. C. Minchin, ...	2	15	...	9	9	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	1	15	
	II. Magte. of District, ...	Dy. Commissioner,	Lieutenant R. G. Sandeman, ...	9	0	...	27	27	...	9	17	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	20	1	4	48	
		Dy. Commissioner,	Captain C. C. Minchin, ...	2	15	5	42	47	5	38	4	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	27	...	65	12	
		Dy. Commissioner,	Lieutenant R. G. Sandeman, ...	9	0	...	121	121	...	91	30	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	80	...	297	12	
		Offg. Dy. Comr.,	Lieutenant E. G. Wace, ...	0	16	..	46	46	1	37	8	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	34	...	28	6	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant E. G. Wace, ...	3	15	19	34	53	...	26	27	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	45	...	54	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. M. Macauliffe, ...	7	10	...	209	209	11	76	92	28	2	1	11	18	3	...	...	7	98	15	176	11	
	Ditto,	Ex-Asst. Commr., ...	Mr. R. J. Bruce, ...	12	0	3	365	368	11	229	113	6	9	3	6	6	7	...	...	5	210	11	521	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. A. Mohunbeer, ...	12	0	2	460	462	...	379	83	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	241	...	187	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Canal Officer, ...	Mr. D. Kirwan, ...	12	0	..	24	24	...	18	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	...	8	
	Ditto,	Honorary Magte., ...	Shah Newaz Khan, ...	12	0	...	21	21	...	17	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	15	...	...	5	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Jamal Khan, ...	12	0	...	95	95	...	51	44	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	52	...	2	10	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Emam Buksh Khan, ...	12	0	2	145	147	...	103	43	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	40	94	1	4	7	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Dera, ...	Chimmun Lall, ...	12	0	...	71	71	...	54	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	46	...	17	5	
	Ditto,	Do. of Sunghur, ...	Sooltan Mohomed Khan, ...	12	0	...	171	171	...	109	59	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	4	116	2	...	4	
	Ditto,	Do. Rajanpoor, ...	Noor Mahomed Khan, ...	12	0	...	183	183	...	95	88	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	67	...	...	4	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class.	Honorary Magte., ...	Golam Hyder Khan, ...	12	0	...	126	126	...	64	62	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	77	...	...	2	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Meerun Khan, ...	12	0	...	20	20	...	11	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	4	22	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Dajil, ...	Fuzul Deen, ...	12	0	...	122	122	...	54	67	...	1	...	1	..	...	...	...	5	77	1	16	11	
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Rajanpoor,	Kullian Dass, ...	12	0	...	30	30	...	16	10	4	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	4	13	2	...	3	
		TOTAL, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,			...	36	36	...	18	17	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...		26	1	5	40	
			By Magistrate, ...			29	1,277	1,306	28	876	357	34	11	4	17	24	12	...	...	12	735	26	1,328	9	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			2	1,008	1,010	...	592	409	4	5	.	6	3	...	...	...	93	584	6	43	6	
			GRAND TOTAL, ...			31	2,321	2,352	28	1,486	783	39	16	4	23	28	12	...	...	105	1,345	33	1,376	8	

				M.	D.																			
MOOLTAN.			**MOOLTAN DIVISION.**																					
	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr.	Genl. H. C. Van Cortland, C. B. ...	8	5	...	8	8	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	5
			Genl. H. C. Van Cortland, C. B. ...	8	5	...	52	52	...	38	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28	...	320	7
			Captain C. Beadon, ...	1	6	...	15	15	...	6	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	2
			Mr. F. E. Moore, ...	2	19	...	54	54	1	49	4	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	32	...	23	4
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. R. G. Melvill, ...	1	17	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. P. Beachcroft, ...	2	0	...	5	5	...	3	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	5
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Mashoom Ali Khan, ...	12	0	12	543	555	3	353	191	6	1	...	7	2	...	...	...	315	4	312	6
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. J. E. Rowe, ...	12	0	3	310	313	12	151	142	8	...	...	5	3	8	...	...	153	4	123	13
	Ditto,	Cantonment Magiste.	Captain C. Beadon, ...	10	9	...	43	43	1	36	6	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	38	...	...	3
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commisssoner,	Lieut. H. V. Riddell, ...	2	22	...	41	41	...	15	26	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	20	6
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. F. P. Beachcroft, ...	3	8	...	34	34	...	23	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	25	...	15	7
	Ditto,	Cantont. Magistrate,	Lieut. C. Armstrong, ...	1	21	...	11	11	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	1
	Ditto,	Customs Officer, ...	Mr. H. Rich, ...	6	0	...	5	5	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	6
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Shoojabad.	Khooshal Roy, ...	12	0	...	304	304	...	223	79	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	4	104	1	57	8
	Ditto,	Do. of Loodhran,	Surub Sookh Roy, ...	3	11	...	27	27	...	12	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	11	...	8	2
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Thakoor Dass, ...	9	0	...	124	124	...	76	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	59	...	23	5
	Ditto,	Do. of Mylsse, ...	Nuthun Lall, ...	8	0	...	171	171	...	84	87	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	76	...	27	4
	Ditto,	Do. of Serai Sidhoo,	Dhurm Dass, ...	8	0	...	93	93	...	85	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	63	...	25	4
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieut. H. V. Riddell, ...	4	0	...	28	28	...	20	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	18	...	8	3
	Ditto,	Tehsildar of Mooltan.	Thakoor Dass, ...	3	0	...	33	33	...	5	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	15	6
	Ditto,	Do. of Mylssee, ...	Nuthun Lal, ...	4	0	...	64	64	...	23	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	27	...	15	3
	Ditto,	Do. of Serai Sidhoo,	Dhurm Dass, ...	4	0	...	21	21	...	17	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	12	2
	Ditto,	Do. of Mooltan, ...	Quazi Gholam Mortaza, ...	6	11	...	202	202	...	96	106	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	66	...	25	4
	Ditto,	N. Tehr. of Mylssee,	Rahim Shah, ...	9	0	...	129	129	...	63	66	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	56	...	18	3
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,			...	8	8	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	5
			By Magistrate, ...			15	1,023	1,038	17	637	368	14	1	...	5	10	12	...	...	579	8	778	8
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			...	1,287	1,287	...	758	527	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	17	564	1	268	5
			Grand Total, ...			15	2,318	2,333	17	1,399	899	14	3	...	5	12	12	...	...	17	1,148	9	1,046	6
JHUNG.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Dy. Commr.	Captain W. M. Lane, ...	2	25	1	23	24	...	15	9	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	...	...	15
			Captain W. M. Lane, ...	2	25	6	87	93	2	73	18	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	35	...	320	11
			Major R. J. D. Ferris, ...	8	24		168	168	9	113	38	8	...	...	...	8	13	...	1	74	5	800	13
	Magistrate, ...	Assist. Commr. ...	Mr. A. H. Benton, ...	12	0	16	337	353	12	160	174	5	2	5	1	1	12	...	...	15	186	4	307	14
	Ditto,	Extra Assist Commr	Fuzul Hussein, ...	10	23	...	278	278	...	180	98	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	138	...	81	14
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Tehsildar of Shore Kote	Lutchmee Narain, ...	12	0	...	223	223	...	138	85	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	76	...	...	7
	Ditto,	Ditto of Chiniote,	Fuzul Hussein, ...	12	0	...	264	264	...	207	57	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	98	...	...	4
	Ditto,	Ditto of Jhung, ...	Rooheemoodeen, ...	8	23	...	226	226	...	164	51	10	1	...	...	11	...	...	4	100	...	...	6
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Assist. Commr. ...	Mr. F. D. O. Bullock, ...	2	27	1	20	21	...	14	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	11	...	...	3
	Ditto,	Offg. Tehr. of Jhung	Alla Dad Khan, ...	2	17	...	16	16	...	6	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	7
	Ditto,	Naib Tehr. of Do.,	Jus Ram, ...	12	0	...	109	109	...	73	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	37	...	...	10
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			1	23	24	...	15	9	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	...	...	15
			By Magistrate, ...			22	870	892	23	526	328	13	2	5	1	9	27	...	1	15	433	9	1,508	13
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			1	858	859	...	602	246	10	1	...	...	11	...	...	...	14	330	...	...	6
			Grand Total. ...			24	1,751	1,775	23	1,143	583	23	3	5	1	20	37	...	1	29	763	9	1,508	10

Appendix No. XI.—*(Continued).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
District.	Officer's					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					Cases.								
																	Enquiries.			Trials.					
	Powers.	Office.	Name.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year.	Apprehended, attended on summons, & received by transfer during the year.	Total.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of October.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	Remarks.
				M.	D.																				
MONTGOMERY.	I. Under Act XV of 1862, II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr,	Mr. W. E. Blyth, ...	12	0	...	37	37	...	34	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	...	13	
			Mr. W. E. Blyth, ...	12	0	6	396	402	8	307	87	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	251	...	374	4	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. T. Smyth, ...	8	0	...	146	146	3	71	69	3	...	...	...	3	2	...	...	...	67	2	213	9	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Goopal Soohai, ...	12	0	3	682	685	1	482	202	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	371	..	507	3	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st Class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Mr. T. Smyth, ...	2	12	...	40	40	...	24	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	18	...	60	3	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Montgomery,	Lalljee Persad.	9	0	...	80	80	...	59	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	28	31	..	23	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Googaira, ...	Moobaruck Alley, ...	12	0	...	93	93	...	60	33	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	38	46	...	...	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Hoojra, ...	Mahomed Yacoob, ...	12	0	...	167	167	...	117	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	38	51	...	16	4	
	Ditto,	Do. Pak Puttun ...	Sheik Urjmund	10	0	...	273	273	...	219	54	...	...	...	...	...	..	4	...	17	110	...	...	2	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Naeb Tehr. of Montgy.	Burkut Alley, ...	12	0	...	56	56	...	46	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	15	...	2	2	
	Ditto,	Tehr. Googaira, ...	Ram Lall, ...	12	0	...	44	44	...	39	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	24	...	...	2	
	Ditto,	Do. Hoojra, ...	Luchmun Dass, ...	12	0	...	56	56	...	46	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	19	...	1	2	
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			...	37	37	...	34	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	...	13	
			By Magistrate, ...			9	1,224	1,233	12	860	358	3	...	...	...	3	8	...	...	...	689	2	1,094	5	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			...	809	809	...	610	199	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	149	314	...	102	3	
			Grand Total, ...			9	2,070	2,079	12	1,504	560	3	...	...	...	3	8	11	...	149	1,021	2	1,196	4	
FERGURH.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District,	Deputy Commr,	Major H. J. Hawes, ...	3	24	...	21	21	...	13	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	11	
			Mr. R. G. Melvill, ...	3	16	...	3	3	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	2	
			Major H. J. Hawes, ...	3	24	3	127	130	...	98	32	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	78	...	2	5	
			Mr. R. G. Melvill, ...	8	7	...	254	254	2	159	93	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	..	156	...	81	4	
	Magistrate, ...	Assistant Commr., ...	Mr. R. G. Melvill, ...	0	15	...	3	3	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.	Mahomed Sooltan Khan, ...	8	0	...	128	128	1	95	32	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	92	..	28	5	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant C. E. Armstrong, ...	5	20	...	101	101	...	37	62	2	...	...	...	2	...	21	...	3	48	1	16	6	
	Ditto,	Offg. Ex-Asst. Comr.	Sohun Lall, ...	4	17	...	102	102	...	54	40	8	...	...	2	6	...	22	...	2	50	2	9	9	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Seetpoor, ...	Niyamut Rae, ...	11	23	...	211	211	...	184	27	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	12	110	...	28	4	
	Ditto,	Ditto ditto, ...	Dhurm Dass, ...	0	8	...	3	3	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	

MOOZUF	Ditto,	Do. Kote Uddu, ...	Meer Mahomed, ...	5	27	...	48	48	...	32	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	25	...	3	3
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd-class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant H. Gibson, ...	3	17	...	23	23	...	6	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	..	6	8	...	6	2
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Moozuffergurh,	Abdool Kadir, ...	12	0	...	157	157	...	139	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	64	...	6	6
	Ditto,	Do. Kote Uddu....	Meer Mahomed, ...	2	21	...	12	12	...	8	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	8	2
	Ditto,	Ditto ditto, ...	Ferozdeen, ...	1	0	...	9	9	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	1
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			...	24	24	...	15	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	9
			By Magistrate, ...			3	512	515	3	352	157	3	...	...	...	3	6	...	...	...	326	2	111	4
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			...	666	666	...	470	186	10	...	...	2	8	...	55	...	24	321	3	76	9
			Grand Total, ...			3	1,202	1,205	3	837	352	13	...	..	2	11	6	55	...	24	658	5	187	5
PESHAWUR.			**PESHAWUR DIVN.**	M.	D.																			
	I. Under Act XV of 1862,	Deputy Commr.	Mr. D. C. McNabb, ...	10	18	5	205	210	...	126	73	11	...	16th Jy.		...	...	...	...	124	4	...	4	
	II. Magistrate of District,		Mr. D. C. McNabb, ...	10	18	2	443	445	6	380	51	8	..	Do.		4	...	...	...	176	3	793	2	
	Magistrate, ...	Offg. Dy. Commr.	Lieutenant E. G. Hastings, ...	1	12	...	20	20	...	13	7	...	...	..		...	...	...	...	15	...	80	1	
	Ditto.	Asst. Comr. Eusufzye,	Lieutenant E. L. Ommaney, ...	3	21	21	200	221	14	133	74	..		.		5	...	...	...	76	...	209	9	
	Ditto,	Ditto, ditto,	Lieutenant E. G. Hastings, ...	6	24	...	261	261	22	175	53	1	6	6th Oct.		6	...	...	...	113	2	47	5	
	Ditto,	Do. Peshawur ...	Lieutenant E. G. Hastings, ...	3	0	15	84	99	...	52	47	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	44	...	162	13	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant McNeile, ...	11	7	...	488	488	5	304	162	13	4	4th Dcr		4	...	...	...	215	3	318	4	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst Commr.,	Mr. J. G. Goldney, ...	11	22	4	360	364	13	124	207	9	9	10th Oct		4	...	...	...	184	9	92	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Colonal Dhunraj, ...	12	0	21	593	614	...	277	288	24	23	20th do		...	...	...	...	278	13	300	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Atta Mahomed Khan, ...	3	0	...	3	3	.	3	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mahomed Hyat Khan, ...	4	13	...	236	236	37	133	66	..	...	...		1	...	...	...	77	...	21	8	
	Ditto,	Judge S. C. Court, ...	Mr. F. R. Scarlett, ...	3	0	5	19	24	..	20	4	..	...	.		...	...	...	...	17	...	41	5	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. W. P. Woodward, ...	10	0	...	580	580	2	470	100	8	...	17th D.		1	...	...	...	288	3	375	4	
	Ditto,	Cant. Magistrate, ...	Major W. Elwyn.	12	0	...	176	176	1	145	30	.		...		1	...	...	...	130	...	43	2	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Hony. Magistrate,	Ayuddeen, ...	8	0	...	19	19	...	16	3	..	...	...		...	...	...	...	8	...	4	6	
	Ditto,	Tehr. Peshawur. ...	Raja Ram, ...	12	0	...	61	61	...	50	11	...	.	.		...	...	.	...	26	...	14	3	
	Ditto.	Do. Hashtnuggur,	Lushkur Khan, ...	12	0	...	540	540	...	318	214	..	8	22th D.		...	...	...	35	201	4	98	3	
	Ditto.	Do. Eusufzye, ...	Shahzada Sooltan Jan, ...	12	0	...	305	305	...	166	139	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	99	...	10	4	
	Ditto.	Do. Khatak, ...	Behari Lall, ...	12	0	6	200	206	...	126	80	..	...	...		...	...	...	..	100	...	...	5	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class,	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant Miguon, ...	4	5	...	180	180	...	144	36	..	..	..		...	...	...	...	85	...	116	2	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Lieutenant A. S. Roberts.	5	0	...	74	74	...	34	38	2	...	5th Dcr.		...	...	...	3	20	1	7	3	
	Ditto,	Tehr. of Dooba, ...	Mahomed Abbas Khan, ...	12	0	...	487	487	...	202	285	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	184	...	...	8	
	Ditto,	Do. of Daoodzai,	Mahomed Akbur Khan, ...	12	0	...	292	292	...	131	161	...	...	...		..	...	...	..	112	...	...	6	
		Total, ..	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862.			5	205	210	...	126	73	11	.	...		...	...	...	...	124	4	...	4	
			By Magistrate, ...			68	3,463	3,531	100	2,229	1.089	63	44	...		26	...	...	..	1.614	33	2,481	5	
			By Sub-Magistrate			6	2.158	2,164	...	1,187	967	2	8	...		...	...	...	38	835	5	249	5	
			Grand Total, ...			79	5,826	5,905	100	3,542	2,129	76	52	...		26	...	..	38	2,573	42	2,730	5	

One prisoner who died, and 5 who escaped are included here as disposed of.

Appendix No. XI.—*(Concluded).*

1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14			15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
	OFFICER'S					Total No. of persons for disposal.			Number of persons.			Persons undisposed of at the close of the year.					CASES.								
																	Enquiries.			Trials.					
District.	POWERS.	OFFICE.	NAME.	Time during which he held office.		Undisposed of at the close of the year	Apprehended, attended on summons & received by transfer during the year.	Total.	Committed.	Convicted.	Discharged and acquitted.	In custody.	On bail or recognizance.	Since the month of October.	November.	December.	Disposed of under Chapter XII.	Do. by Sub-Magte. under Secs. 135 & 186, & Chapter XVI.	Pending.	Submitted to Magistrate under Sec. 277.	Disposed of under Chapters XIV and XV.	Pending.	Miscellaneous proceedings, applications and petitions of every description disposed of.	Average duration.	Remarks.
				M.	D.																				
HAZARA.	I. Under Act XV of 1862. II. Magistrate of District.	Deputy Comnfr.	Major A. A. Munro, ...	4	0	...	32	32	..	27	5	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	9	
			Captain E. L Ommanney, ...	8	0	...	47	47	...	28	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...	...	7	
			Major A. A. Munro, ...	4	0	..	47	47	1	34	12	...	...	...	...	..	2	...	..	5	17	...	83	6	
			Captain E. L. Ommanney, ...	8	0	...	92	92	4	48	31	9	..	...	2	7	5	...	2	6	26	2	489	5	
	Magistrate, ...	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant R. T. M. Lang.	12	0	3	191	194	8	116	70	.	...	...	...	..	3	...	...	...	69	...	506	7	
	Ditto,	Extra Asst. Commr.,	Mirza Azim Beg, ...	0	21	...	8	8	...	2	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	27	2	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Pundit Huree Shunkur, ...	10	0	...	311	311	...	234	56	...	21	.	19	2	..	...	...	...	95	2	311	5	
	Sub-Magistrate 1st class,	Tehr. of Munserah,	Abdool Russool, ...	12	0	...	465	465	...	264	201	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	245	...	178	6	
	Ditto,	Do of Hurripoor,	Gholam Moortaza, ...	12	0	...	306	306	...	247	59	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	100	...	551	20	
	Sub-Magistrate 2nd class.	Asst. Commissioner,	Lieutenant E. R. Conolly, ...	2	22	..	6	6	...	..	6	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	1	
			By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862			.	79	79	..	55	24	...	..	...	...	..	.	...	...	...	42	...	...	8	
		Total, ...	By Magistrate.			3	649	652	13	434	175	9	21	...	21	9	10	...	2	11	214	4	1,416	6	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...			.	777	777	...	511	266	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	348	...	233	8	
			Grand Total, ...			3	1,505	1,508	13	1,000	465	9	21	...	21	9	10	...	2	11	604	4	1,649	9	
KOHAT	I. Under Act XV of 1862, II. Magistrate of District.	Dy. Commr.	Captain J. R. G. G. Shortt, ...	3	20	...	9	9	..	5	3	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	17	
			Lieut. P. L. N. Cavagnari, ...	4	0	...	13	13	.	14	...	.	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	52	
			Captain J. R. G. R. Shortt, ...	3	20	1	108	109	1	77	25	...	...	.	.	...	5	...	...	...	42	...	135	7	
			Lieut. P. L. N. Cavagnari, ...	8	10	...	355	355	16	221	116	2	...	...	1	1	11	...	1	...	125	1	534	11	
	Magistrate, ...	Assist. Commr. ...	Lieut. P. L. N. Cavagnari, ...	0	23	...	37	37	...	39	2	..	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	...	14	...	68	3	
	Ditto,	Ditto,	Mr. J. W. Gardiner, ...	3	23	...	100	100	8	32	56	3	1	...	2	2	2	2	4	2	59	2	73	3	
	Sub-Magistrate, 1st class,	Ditto,	Mr. W. M. Young, ...	2	0	...	28	28	..	10	18	...	...	...	.	.	...	3	...	3	20	...	31	7	
	Ditto,	Ditto;	Lieut. R. S Armstrong, ...	6	13	...	77	77	...	16	61	...	...	...	...	...	..	7	...	7	54	...	74	2	
	Ditto,	Extra Assist. Commr.	Shahzada Mohamed Zumboor, ...	8	14	...	119	119	...	67	52	.	...	...	...	...	.	2	...	2	63	...	36	3	
	Ditto,	Honorary Magistrate.	K. B. Khwaja Mohamed Khan, ...	12	0	2	568	570	..	251	316	3	...	.	...	3	.	35	...	35	216	1	177	17	
		Total, ...	By Dy. Comr. under Act XV of 1862,	...		...	22	22	..	19	3	.	...	...	...	.	.	...	...	..	7	...	...	37	
			By Magistrate, ...	...		1	600	601	25	369	199	5	1	...	3	3	18	2	5	2	240	3	810	9	
			By Sub-Magistrate, ...	...		2	792	794	...	344	447	3	..	...	...	3	...	47	...	47	353	1	318	10	
			Grand Total, ...	...		3	1,414	1,417	25	732	649	8	1	...	3	6	18	49	5	49	600	4	1,128	10	

Appendix No. XII.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE AGENCY BY WHICH ORIGINAL CRIMINAL TRIALS WERE DISPOSED OF IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB, IN 1866.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
	Deputy Commissioners.		Assistant Commissioners.			Extra Assistant Commissioners.						Tehsildars.		Naib Tehsildars.		Cantonment Magistrates.				Canal Officers.		Customs Officers.				Honorary Magistrates.				
						Europeans.			Natives.																		Sitting separately throughout the Province-Jagirdar Magistrates.			
DISTRICTS.	In the exercise of powers under Act XV of 1862.	Do. of their ordinary powers as Magistrates of Districts.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Do. 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Judges of Small Cause Courts as Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Do. 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Forest Officers as Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Officers in charge of jails as Magistrates.	Sitting as Boards in towns, Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Total.
Delhi, ...	33	60	108	160	21	168	...	...	322	...	...	59	75	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	635	..	...	...	1,662
Goorgaon, ...	26	252	80	14	52	...	...	...	263	.	...	341	156	...	135	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	22	...	1,345
Kurnal, ...	42	115	11	49	46	...	...	3	604	...	...	257	27	...	53	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	84	270	1,561
Total ..	101	427	199	223	119	168	...	3	1,189	...	...	657	258	...	188	...	...	...	21	...	...	...	4	...	...	635	...	106	270	4,568
Hissar, ...	13	292	68	.	...	..	...	..	106	...	...	456	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	875
Rohtuk, ...	9	106	..	...	...	101	...	...	154	...	...	340	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	712
Sirsa, ...	5	143	...	64	27	...	...	...	186	...	...	238	55	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	.	...	...	...	718
Total, ...	27	451	68	64	27	101	...	...	446	...	..	1,034	84	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	.	...	...	...	.	2,305
Umballa, ...	33	34	252	...	..	114	..	...	299	...	...	359	274	...	...	258	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	159	...	1,784
Loodiana, ...	62	85	13	113	..	144	12	...	238	...	...	686	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	66	...	1,440
Simla, ...	2	51	81	...	...	156	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	92	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	382
Total, ...	97	170	346	113	...	414	12	...	537	...	...	1,045	274	...	...	258	...	...	113	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	225	...	3,606
Jullundhur, ...	20	17	19	47	5	369	...	...	180	50	...	560	...	...	..	92	...	...	23	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,382
Hooshyarpoor, ...	34	43	62	56	...	89	...	...	240	11	...	540	73	...	87	...	...	.	179	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1.414
Kangra, ...	20	16	285	74	...	...	...	...	115	...	...	1,013	197	...	150	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	251	...	..	2,121
Total, .	74	76	366	177	5	458	...	...	535	61	.	2,113	270	...	237	92	...	...	202	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	251	...	...	4,917
Umritsur, ...	65	132	541	132	...	...	...	...	386	...	90	891	254	...	219	..	...	...	...	24	...	...	...	...	...	1,101	201	47	...	4,133
Goordaspoor, ...	41	72	221	103	...	...	...	...	404	...	...	631	...	...	69	.	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,543
Sealkote, ...	102	70	80	77	23	85	...	...	184	...	..	280	20	34	187	59	...	..	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,201
Total, ..	208	324	842	312	23	85	...		974	...	90	1,802	274	34	475	59	...	...	...	26	...	...	...	...	...	1,101	201	47	...	6,877

Appendix No. XII.—*Concluded.*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
	Deputy Commissioners.		Assistant Commissioners.			Extra Assistant Commissioners.						Tehsildars.		Naib Tehsildars.		Cantonment Magistrates.				Canal Officers.		Customs Officers.				Honorary Magistrates.				
						Europeans.			*Natives.*																		*Sitting separately through the Province-Jagirdar Magistrates.*			
DISTRICT.	In the exercise of powers under Act XV of 1862.	Do. of their ordinary powers as Magistrates of Districts.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Do. 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Judges of Small Cause Courts as Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Do. 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Forest Officers as Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Officers in charge of jails as Magistrates.	Sitting as Boards in towns, Sub-Magistrates, 1st class.	Magistrates.	Sub-Magistrates 1st class.	Do. 2nd class.	Total.
Lahore, ...	38	109	506	84	183	354	...	38	...	..	...	46	149	...	64	186	...	..	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1,531	126	57		3,472
Ferozepore, ...	43	94	156	67	59	30	...	...	...	16	63	625	9		188	117	...	14	..	...	..	..	...	...	...	...		11		1,492
Goojranwala, ...	20	172	67	129	...	...	.	...	281	...	..	31	52	31	94	.	..	...	...	..	..	..	...	3	...		...	157	427	1,464
Total, ...	101	375	729	280	242	384	...	38	281	16	63	702	210	31	346	303	...	14	..	1	..	...	...	3	...	1,531	126	225	427	6,428
Rawul Pindee, ...	37	59	570	49	83	124	...	...	391	...	...	52	617	...	289	262	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	..	2,535
Jhelum, ...	25	14	356	1	...	...	...	...	64	...	...	478	37	48	130	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...		1,153
Goojrat, ...	31	362	...	126	65	...	...	...	224	...	...	...	488	...	147	...	...	...	...	.	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	1,443
Shahpoor, ...	25	40	13	64	6	100	...	..	332	...	...	179	161	...	229	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	3	.	.	...	...	...	...	1,152
Total, ...	118	475	939	240	154	224	...	...	1,011	...	...	709	1,303	48	795	262	...	...	.	...	...	...	3	..	...	...	...	2		6,283
Bunnoo, ...	17	74	123	25	...	93	.	...	133	...	51	276	172	...	131	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	1,095
Dera Ismael Khan, ...	18	123	95	26	19	49	...	...	95	...	7	381	7	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	.	...	...	...	154	..	986
Dera Gazee Khan, ...	26	141	150	...	...	215	...	...	241	...	..	251	82	...	17	...	...	...	.	16	...	.	..	..	...	...	..	215	96	1,450
Total, ...	61	338	368	51	19	357	...	...	469	...	58	908	261	...	159	...	...	...	..	16	...	...	1	..	...	...	...	369	96	3,531
Mooltan, ...	5	68	5	49	19	153	...	...	315	...	...	322	123	...	58	38	6	...	...	...	.	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	1,165
Jhung, ...	...	109	201	16	...	...	...	...	138	...	..	282	8	...	38	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	792
Montgomery, ...	18	251	67	19	...	...	...	...	371	...	...	359	85	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,179
Mozuffergurh, ...	11	234	.	51	14	...	...	..	92	52	...	150	78	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	682
Total, ...	34	662	273	135	33	153	...	...	916	52	...	1,113	294	...	96	38	6	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,809
Peshawur, ...	124	191	448	...	108	184	...	...	356	..	...	461	296	...	...	130	...	...	305	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	2,611
Huzara, ...	42	54	69	...	3	...	...	...	102	...	...	345	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	615
Kohat, ...	7	167	75	84	...	...	...	...	...	65	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	251	...	649
Total, ...	173	412	592	84	111	184	...	...	458	65	...	806	296	...	...	130	...	...	305	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	259	...	3,875
Grand Total, ...	994	3,710	4,722	1,679	733	2,528	12	41	6,816	194	211	10,889	3,524	113	2,296	1,142	6	14	641	44	1	4	9	3	2	3,267	578	1,233	793	46,199

No. 831.

Dated Lahore, 31st May 1867.

Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant Governor of the Punjab in the Judicial Department, dated 30th May 1867.

Read letter No. 1884, dated 7th April, from Registrar of the Chief Court, submitting the Court's Report on the Administration of Criminal Justice during the year 1866, together with the usual Statements.

The Lieutenant Governor concurs in the observations of the Judges of the Chief Court upon the causes of the steady increase in the number of non-bailable offences, and he joins with them in regarding with satisfaction the late imposition of a moderate stamp-duty on petty criminal complaints. His Honor regrets to learn that the course adopted by Major Mercer, Deputy Commissioner of Sealkote, of returning petitions in the most trivial cases for a day or two to allow of the complainant's temper cooling down before he comes into Court, is considered irregular by the Chief Court. The practice would appear *primâ facie* to be a salutary one, if carried out with judgment; and if its adoption is contrary to law, the law would appear to require amendment on this point.

2. His Honor notices the unusually large number of prosecutions for breaches of the Stamp Act in the Hooshiarpore district, and he suggests that the Commissioner of the Division be instructed to satisfy himself that the proceedings were conducted with judgment as well as vigor.

3. Considering the increase which has taken place in the criminal business of the Courts, the disposal of cases appears to have been generally satisfactory; few cases of more than three months duration were pending at the close of the year; and the number of pending cases was small in all districts except Sirsa, Kangra, Umritsur, Goordaspore, Shahpore, Dera Ismail Khan, Dera Ghazee Khan, and Peshawur. No explanation appears to have been afforded of the long pending cases in the districts of Dera Ghazee Khan, Rohtuk, Kangra and Delhi, and that afforded by the Deputy Commissioner of Peshawur cannot be considered satisfactory.

Goojranwalla.
Simla.
Rawulpindee.
Dera Ghazee Khan.
Jhelum.
Huzara.
Rohtuk.
Umballa.

4. The special attention of the Deputy Commissioners of the districts marginally noted should be drawn to the long average duration of enquiries in their districts —and there is room for improvement in the despatch of criminal business in the districts of Umritsur and Kohat.

5. The Lieutenant Governor observes with great satisfaction the testimony borne to the continued success of the Courts of the Jageerdar Magistrates and of the Boards of Honorary Magistrates of the towns of Lahore, Umritsur, Delhi and Goojranwalla. Act XXVIII of 1867 will be extended to the province as proposed, and His Honor trusts that these useful tribunals may be gradually multiplied, entertaining as he does a strong conviction that very important benefits will result from such extension. During the year the Lieutenant Governor had an opportunity of inspecting the offices of two of the Jageerdar Magistrates of the province, Sirdar Jewun Singh of Boorea, and Imam Buksh Khan the Mazari Chief, and was well satisfied with the correctness of the procedure observed and the apparent care with which the enquiries were conducted.

6. His Honor would be glad to see a larger decrease in the number of cases disposed of by Deputy-Commissioners under the enhanced powers conferred under Act XV of 1862, as he is given to understand that the time occupied in the disposal of these cases interferes seriously with the executive duties of those officers and with their movements in the marching season. It is in particular to be regretted that the Deputy Commissioner of Peshawur should not have been relieved by the Additional Sessions Judge of some out of the large number of Sessions cases disposed of by him.

7. It is noticed with regret that the Courts of Kohat, Huzara, and Mooltan are still conspicuous for the long detention of witnesses; but improvement is observable in those of Bunnoo and Peshawur.

8. There appears to be a marked improvement in the realization of fines imposed; and Mr. Aitchison, Deputy Commissioner of Lahore, is entitled to special credit for the efficient manner in which he has given effect to the instructions of the Chief Court. His Honor is not aware on what grounds the legislature has declared that fines can only be realized from moveable property, *within the jurisdiction* of the Magistrate by whom they are imposed; *primâ facie*, the restriction appears undesirable, and the statistics furnished in this report shew that in some cases it has led to a failure of justice.

9. The remarks of the Chief Court regarding the defects in Act VI of 1864, contained in paras 70 and 71 of the report, in which His Honor concurs, will be communicated to the Supreme Government; as were the observations contained in the Registrar's letter No. 3366, dated 17th October 1866.

10. The general result of Sessions trials is favorable to the judgment of the committing officers, but the average duration of cases in the Jullundur, Mooltan, Rawulpindee, and Derajat Divisions; and especially in the Peshawur Division, was high. With regard to the latter, His Honor learns with regret that notwithstanding the appointment of an Additional Sessions Judge there were sixteen cases pending at the close of the year, of which two were of a year's standing or upwards. The circumstance, even after making due allowance for the exceptional position of Peshawur, is not creditable to the Criminal Administration of the Peshawur Division, and His Honor trusts such lamentable delay of justice may not occur in future.

11. The Lieutenant Governor concurs in the remarks of the Chief Court regarding the employment of Assessors; but he believes that they will be valuable only in proportion as the presiding judge exercises a sound judgment in availing himself of their aid.

12. The result of appeals from the decisions of Subordinate Courts to Deputy Commissioners is very satisfactory—indicating that the enquiries of Subordinate Magistrates are becoming steadily more careful and complete; but the average duration of appeals in the districts of Dera Ghazee Khan, Dera Ismail Khan, Umritsur and Goojranwalla was high.

13. The large number of appeals pending in the Umballa Sessions Court is unsatisfactory, and great delay appears to have occurred in the submission of the Criminal Annual Report. Some appeals of very old date are observable in the Peshawur and Umritsur Divisions; and His Honor is sorry to note that there appears to have been some falling off in the promptness of disposal of appellate work in most of the Sessions Courts.

14. The results of the appeals appear to have been generally favorable to the lower Courts.

15. The state of the files of the Chief Court at the close of the year was very satisfactory. No mention is made in the report of the measures taken by the Chief Court for supervising the working of the lower Courts; but His Honor trusts that this important part of the functions of the late Judicial Commissioner is still carried on by the Judges of the Chief Court.

By order of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant Governor,

(Signed) T. H. THORNTON,

Secretary to Government Punjab.